

Final examination EBL TD course 2004

Tuesday February 10 14.00 – 16.30 h

The candidates are asked to give a clear decision for each question/problem and to add a brief explanation and the most relevant laws (not more than two). The decisions should be equity oriented with the possibility to use L12C3.

E1

S/NS

♠ K6
♥ KQ742
♦ AJ
♣ A754

♠ QT973
♥ J963
♦ 973
♣ 2

♠ 54
♥ 5
♦ KQ1064
♣ QJT98

♠ AJ82
♥ AT8
♦ 852
♣ K63

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
			1♦
pass	1♥	2NT	pass
4♠	double	4NT	5♥
double	all pass		

1♦ is precision (alerted)

West explains 2NT as 'the other suits'.

After 4NT west adds that if the 1♦-bid is conventional the 2NT could have shown the minors. The result for NS is -500 and they call the TD, contesting the 4NT bid. EW argue that West can't have good spades anymore and that 5♥ is crazy.

The Chief-TD agrees that the 5♥-bid should not have been made and doesn't allow the 4NT-bid.

Calculate the results in imps for both teams (the result at the other table is 4♥ -1).

E2

N/EW

♠ K5
♥ KJ983
♦ QJT3
♣ T4

♠ J9
♥ AQ6
♦ 7
♣ AKQJ987

♠ AQ8643
♥ 752
♦ K4
♣ 32

♠ T72
♥ T4
♦ A98652
♣ 65

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	pass	2♦	pass
2NT	pass	3♦	pass
3NT	all pass		

2NT and 3♦ were not alerted: forcing and showing good weak two in spades.

East explained these calls before the lead from north but south did not use the possibility to change his last call. Instead he made a remark that could be understood as not being happy not to have known the meaning at the time the 3♦-bid was made.

North now led ♦Q and the contract went 2 off. EW called the TD, telling him that North had UI possibly influencing his first lead. TD decision?

E3

S/NS

♠ J2
♥ A73
♦ J954
♣ T642

♠ AQT74
♥ 64
♦ AKQ2
♣ KQ

♠ K3
♥ KJ852
♦ 63
♣ AJ97

♠ 9865
♥ QT9
♦ T87
♣ 853

West plays 6NT. He wins the ♦-lead, plays spades to the K and another spade. Seeing the ♠ J dropping he now claims 12 tricks: 5 spades 3 diamonds and 4 clubs.

- NS ask West to show his hand and discover that there is no cheap entry to dummy. TD.
- NS do agree but playing the next board they realise that West only has KQ in clubs. TD!

E4

N/--

♠ Q2
 ♥ QT94
 ♦ AJ65
 ♣ A64

♠ AK74
 ♥ K42
 ♦ T987
 ♣ Q8

♠ JT93
 ♥ 53
 ♦ Q3
 ♣ JT953

♠ 865
 ♥ AJ76
 ♦ K42
 ♣ K72

South plays 4♥ (1♦-1♥-2♥-4♥). The play goes ♠A and K and the third spade ruffed in dummy with East revoking and playing a club. Declarer plays trump A and small to the Q. West takes the K and plays another trump on which East discards the ♠3. Dummy draws attention to the revoke by telling East that he didn't follow suit in trick 3. West tells dummy he isn't allowed to do this and calls the TD. The TD affirms West's statement and asks South whether he was aware of the revoke. The answer is 'no'. The TD explains the relevant laws.

a) Should the TD deny the penalty tricks NS normally are entitled to? Motivation?

Play continues with diamond K and A, dropping the ♦Q, after which South makes 9 tricks (East doesn't win a spade trick).

The result for NS becomes:

- b) 9 tricks
- c) 10 tricks

E5

N/NS

South holds:

♠ 5
 ♥ 3
 ♦ J8654
 ♣ J87652

His partner opens 1NT and South bids 2NT explained as 'limit' with diamonds. North bids 3NT and South 4♣ after which North completes the auction with 5♣. Before the lead South restores the explanation and tells that 2NT is weak with a long minor, which is on their CC. Does the TD allow the 4♣ and 5♣-bid?

E6

N/NS

♠ AJ73
♥ K5
♦ KT96
♣ K75

♠ Q64
♥ AQT84
♦ J2
♣ T64

♠ KT85
♥ J32
♦ Q743
♣ 93

♠ 92
♥ 976
♦ A85
♣ AQJ82

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	1♦	pass	1NT
pass	2♣	pass	2♦
pass	3NT	all pass	

NS play precision with the 1NT showing 10+ and the 2♣ kind of Stayman.

West led the ♥ 8 and asked about the agreement declarer was told 'we play top of nothing'. Apart from that the convention card showed also 'attitude': the lower the better. South played small from dummy and went one off.

He calls the TD to complain about the explanation, by which he feels damaged. Asked what he did West tells the TD that without much thinking he started fourth best.

Decision?

E7

W/--

♠ Q97
♥ KQJ865
♦ 85
♣ 54

♠ AT652
♥ T
♦ QT93
♣ 932

♠ J843
♥ 742
♦ K7642
♣ 7

♠ K
♥ A93
♦ AJ
♣ AKQJT86

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
pass	2♦	pass	2NT
pass	3♣	pass	4NT
pass	5♦	pass	7♣
all pass			

2♦ multi, 2NT forcing. Before the first lead 3♣ was explained as strong with clubs by South, while the convention card didn't show anything about its meaning. North did not rectify the explanation.

East led the ♥ 7 and South made the contract.

EW called for the TD.

Asking North why he did not rectify the explanation he told the TD he thought to have made a misbid. Asking South how he could explain the 3♣-bid this way with the knowledge of his own hand, he said that he thought to tell their agreement.

Decision?

E8

N/--

♠ KQJ6
 ♥ KJT874
 ♦ 942
 ♣ --

♠ A52
 ♥ 2
 ♦ AQT87
 ♣ AK53

♠ 874
 ♥ AQ5
 ♦ 65
 ♣ QT762

♠ T93
 ♥ 963
 ♦ KJ3
 ♣ J984

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	1♥	pass	1NT
2♦	2♥	... pass	pass
double	pass	3♣	pass
3♥	pass	3NT	pass
4♣	pass	5♣	all pass

East makes 5♣. NS have called the TD after the hesitation before the second pass by East, which is confirmed by EW.

What decision does the TD take?

E9

S/EW

♠ T9653
 ♥ 754
 ♦ AT5
 ♣ QT6

♠ KQJ74
 ♥ A962
 ♦ --
 ♣ 9852

♠ A82
 ♥ Q3
 ♦ KQJ743
 ♣ A6

♠ --
 ♥ KJT8
 ♦ 9862
 ♣ KJ743

East is declarer in 6NT and gets the ♦9 lead, He wins with the Q and plays the K which wins the second trick. He now plays a small diamond and claims for 12 tricks when North wins this trick with the ace. No line of play stated. The opponents accept the claim. Some boards later North asks what trick East thought to be the 12th one and hears that East counted 5 spade tricks. Reason enough to call the TD.

Decision?

E10

Pairs

W/All

♠ KJ87
♥ T95
♦ KQ8
♣ A85

♠ 942
♥ J873
♦ AJ7
♣ K76

♠ AQ653
♥ Q6
♦ 52
♣ QT42

♠ T
♥ AK42
♦ T9643
♣ J93

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
pass	1♣	1♠	double
2♣	pass	2♠	double
pass	2NT	pass	...3♦
pass	3NT	all pass	

After the lead of ♠5 declarer made 9 tricks. EW called the TD and reported the hesitation by South before bidding 3♦. South agreed that he took some extra time. What decision should the TD take?

E11

E/NS

♠ A653
♥ AQJ43
♦ 732
♣ J

♠ 982
♥ 876
♦ AKJ8
♣ T98

♠ KT7
♥ KT5
♦ T654
♣ Q53

♠ QJ4
♥ 92
♦ Q9
♣ AK7642

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
		pass	1♣
pass	1♥	pass	2♣
pass	2♠	pass	3♣
all pass			

West leads the ♦K and then the ♠8 for the K; from East the ♦6 to the A. West plays a heart for East's K. At that moment South started talking and said: 'In that case we also could have played 3NT', with which he wanted to say that he was going down in both contracts, so their careful bidding had been of no use. Having said that he added that the opponents should ignore his remarks, being nonsense. But East, being on lead, drew the conclusion that

South thought he was able to make 3NT and then needed 7 club tricks. Trying to confuse South he led a small club which went to the J allowing South to make his contract. East called the TD and told him that he felt misled by the remarks from South. Without South talking like this he never would have played that small club.

Decision?

E12

W/--

♠ AT
♥ J4
♦ A98762
♣ KQ3

♠ QJ83
♥ K2
♦ 3
♣ AT7654

♠ K97654
♥ Q987
♦ T5
♣ 8

♠ 2
♥ AT653
♦ KQJ4
♣ J92

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
1♣	1NT	2♠	3♦
3♥	4♦	4♥	double
4♠	double	all pass	

3♦ explained by South to West as a transfer for hearts (Rubensohl); 3♥ then is an invite with spade support.

3♦ explained by North to East as Lebensohl, showing a good hand with diamonds; 3♥ then shows hearts.

The play: ♦K holds; ♥3 for 2, J and Q; club to the ace, club ruffed, a spade from hand to the Q and A. North continues hearts and gets a ruff: the contract is one off.

East is surprised to see 5 hearts and only 4 diamonds in the South hand and asks what happened? Realising the 'split' explanation he calls the TD telling him that with the explanation as given by South he would not have played the hand like this, with long hearts in South he would have ruffed the third heart high in dummy and with the 3-3 break in clubs have made his contract.

North tells the TD that they play Lebensohl after a 1NT overcall and Rubensohl after a 1NT opening. South seems not aware of such agreement. And North added that – also influenced by his own diamond holding - he got the feeling that his partner was misbidding the hand. What decision does the TD take?

E13

S/EW

♠ KJ6
♥ Q652
♦ KQT63
♣ J

♠ Q98
♥ J73
♦ 942
♣ AK64

♠ AT7
♥ T94
♦ 875
♣ QT53

♠ 5432
♥ AK8
♦ AJ
♣ 9872

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
			1♣
pass	1♥	pass	1♠
pass	3NT	all pass	

1♣ shows at least a 3-card.

East starts with ♦8 and North makes 9 tricks. East calls the TD and tells him that South did not alert the 1♥ bid, while North could have longer diamonds than hearts. He feels damaged by the misinformation and might have started a club with the right information. Decision?

E14

S/EW

♠ 743
♥ J954
♦ AJ
♣ 5432

♠ QT2
♥ 63
♦ QT76
♣ KT96

♠ AK865
♥ AT7
♦ K832
♣ 7

♠ J9
♥ KQ82
♦ 954
♣ AQJ8

East plays 3♠. South leads ♥K which he wins, heart continuation for Ace and a heart ruff. Now three rounds of spades and the ♦K. North uses some extra time (10 seconds) before playing the ace. Heart ruffed and a losing diamond finesse. East objects. How can North hesitate with AJ in diamonds, he wants to know and calls the director. North will say that when thinking about playing the ace he didn't realise that the ♦J was the only alternative. Decision please.

E15

W/NS

♠ QJ5

♥ J763

♦ T53

♣ 864

♠ 863

♥ AQ8

♦ K972

♣ A75

♠ T9742

♥ KT4

♦ J64

♣ 32

♠ AK

♥ 952

♦ AQ8

♣ KQJT9

The bidding has gone:

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
1♦	pass	pass	2NT
pass	3♣	pass	3NT
all pass			

West leads the ♦2 for 3, J and Ace (!). South plays ♠ A, K and ♣ K and Q, taken by West with the ace. When East plays to the second club trick the ♥ K drops on the table, faced up. The TD is called and declarer chooses to forbid a heart lead from West. West now continues clubs and declarer goes 1 off. South calls the TD and wonders whether the club continuation is acceptable. What should the TD do?

E16

E/All

♠ AJ86
 ♥ Q
 ♦ K974
 ♣ J642

♠ Q52
 ♥ A643
 ♦ QJ5
 ♣ K93

♠ 97
 ♥ KJT5
 ♦ A862
 ♣ AT7

♠ KT43
 ♥ 9872
 ♦ T3
 ♣ Q85

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
		1♣	pass
1♥	pass	2♥	pass
3NT	pass	4♥	all pass

North led the ♦7 to declarer's J. West played a small heart for Q, K and 8 (the 8 by agreement showed at least a second diamond in South). West took some time before playing the ♠9 from dummy, South played small and West took considerable time before playing the Q. North ducked and declarer made 4♥. Discovering Qxx in West the North player called the TD, telling him that he felt misled by the long pause for thought. This could only mean that declarer held KQT in which case North was endplayed by playing the spade ace, so he said. West's defence is that he didn't expect a small spade from South and had to reconsider the situation.

Decision?

E17

pairs event

S/EW

♠ T7632
♥ T6
♦ J
♣ AT943

♠ AQ

♥ 754

♦ AQT762

♣ Q7

♠ 95

♥ QJ932

♦ K84

♣ 986

♠ KJ84

♥ AK8

♦ 953

♣ KJ2

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
			1NT (15-17)
2♦	2♠	...pass	pass
3♦	all pass		

East hesitated for considerable time before passing the first time. During the play North made a revoke which became established. Therefore the contract which went one off was adjusted to just making. But then NS complained about the 3♦ bid after the hesitation. The TD didn't allow this bid. What is the consequent and what the subsequent damage, assuming that NS can make 10 tricks in 3♠, when the other results for NS are:

+170	2 times
+140	2 times
+100	2 times
- 110	1 time.

E18

S/--

♠ QJ97

♥ JT63

♦ T2

♣ 764

♠ AK842

♥ Q4

♦ 854

♣ T53

♠ T6

♥ AK87

♦ AKQ9

♣ J92

♠ 53

♥ 952

♦ J763

♣ AKQ8

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
			pass
pass	pass	1♣	2♣
double	pass	2NT	pass
3NT	all pass		

1♣ strong; double 8⁺ hcp

2♣ in the NS system is a normal overcall. South leads ♣A and K and when leading the ♣Q in trick 3 declarer says: 'Ok, you get your clubs, I have the rest'. After another couple of boards

the session is ended and they check the results. It appears that East has written the board for one down and South as making (North did not play (show) his third club). They call the TD. What should his decision be?

E19

N/All

♠ KT854

♥ QJ63

♦ A53

♣ A

♠ Q73

♥ KT984

♦ 64

♣ 863

♠ AJ6

♥ 75

♦ T987

♣ KJ42

♠ 92

♥ A2

♦ KQJ2

♣ QT975

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	1♠	pass	2♣
pass	2♥	pass	3NT
all pass			

The play:

♥T to ♥Q. ♥A, ♣A and then four rounds of diamonds and ♠9. West hesitated about a minute and played low. Declarer played the spade king. One off. South called the TD complaining about West's hesitation.

Decision?