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## ALMOST ALL QUIET ON THE WESTERN FRONT



## A view from a Balcony

With the teams in the Open and Women's Championships missing in action, attention was focused on the Seniors, with the top ten teams starting out in a Round Robin that will determine the medal winners and the countries who will represent Europe in next year's d'Orsi Trophy.
After three matches the race to Chennai is led by Poland, Austria and Norway.

## !!!! Most Important Information !!!!

The Prize Giving and Closing Ceremony for the Seniors Teams will be held tomorrow, Saturday 28 June, at 19.30 at the Congress Hall on the second floor of the Grand Hotel 4 Opatijska Cvijeta, opposite the Camelia Hotel, v.Cara Emina 6 (near the Opatija Harbour)

The EBL General Assembly will be held on Sunday 29 June in the Congress Hall on the second floor of the Grand Hotel 4 Opatijska Cvijeta, opposite the Camelia Hotel, v.Cara Emina 6 (near the Opatija Harbour).
Agenda
09.30 Extraordinary General Assembly 10.00 Ordinary General Assembly


## BBO SCHEDULE 10.30

Monaco v Norway (O) BBO I* Russia v Sweden (O) BBO 2 Germany v Iceland (O) BBO 3 Denmark v Latvia (O) BBO 4 France v England (W) BBO 5

### 14.30

The five matches will be announced later

### 17.20

The five matches will be announced later
*BBO I = VuGraph


## OPEN

## O 12 Group A 10.30

| I | RUSSIA | SWEDEN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | GERMANY | ICELAND |
| 3 | MONACO | NORWAY |
| 4 | SCOTLAND | FRANCE |
| 5 | SLOVAKIA | ESTONIA |
| 6 | CROATIA | IRELAND |
| 7 | SPAIN | PORTUGAL |
| 8 | FINLAND | GREECE |
| 9 | SLOVENIA | AUSTRIA |

## O 13 Group A 14.30

| I | SWEDEN | ICELAND |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | RUSSIA | NORWAY |
| 3 | GERMANY | FRANCE |
| 4 | MONACO | ESTONIA |
| 5 | SCOTLAND | IRELAND |
| 6 | SLOVAKIA | PORTUGAL |
| 7 | CROATIA | GREECE |
| 8 | SPAIN | AUSTRIA |
| 9 | FINLAND | SLOVENIA |

## 12 Group B 10.30

| II | DENMARK | LATVIA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | TURKEY | SWITZERLAND |
| 13 | ENGLAND | HUNGARY |
| 14 | ISRAEL | WALES |
| 15 | POLAND | BELGIUM |
| 16 | BULGARIA | ROMANIA |
| 17 | NETHERLANDS | LITHUANIA |
| 18 | ITALY | SERBIA |
| 19 | BOSNIA H. | FAROE ISLANDS |

## O 13 Group B 14.30

| II | BULGARIA |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12 | NETHERLANDS |

## IN EMERGENCY

I. Call 112
2.Ask Hospitality to call a doctor

Heart starter is based in the window at the book stall on Ist floor.

## O 14 Group A 17.20

| I | GERMANY | RUSSIA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | MONACO | SWEDEN |
| 3 | SCOTLAND | ICELAND |
| 4 | SLOVAKIA | NORWAY |
| 5 | CROATIA | FRANCE |
| 6 | SPAIN | ESTONIA |
| 7 | FINLAND | IRELAND |
| 8 | AUSTRIA | PORTUGAL |
| 9 | SLOVENIA | GREECE |

## O 14 Group B 17.20

| II | BELGIUM | ROMANIA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I2 | WALES | LITHUANIA |
| I3 | HUNGARY | SERBIA |
| I4 | SWITZERLAND | FAROE ISLANDS |
| I5 | LATVIA | ITALY |
| I6 | DENMARK | NETHERLANDS |
| I7 | TURKEY | BULGARIA |
| I8 | ENGLAND | POLAND |
| 19 | BOSNIA HERZEGOVINA | ISRAEL |

## General Conditions of Contest

### 7.1.1 Smoking (including the use of electronic cigarettes)

Smoking in the playing area is prohibited. No player may leave the playing area before completion of play in a session in order to smoke.
Any player smoking in the playing area will cause his team to be fined 2 VP (see Section 22.2.2 for a knockout match) or, in the case of pairs events, will result in his partnership being fined $25 \%$ of the match points available on a board during the session, plus a fine of $€ 100$. Repeated violation of this regulation may, and constant violation will, result in the player being prohibited from playing. Failure to pay the fine will result in the player being prohibited from playing.

A player in breach of this regulation has already been fined $€ 100$ and his team fined VP.

Ignore at your peril


## Eyes on the Prize

Members of the WBF Management Committee met the President of the Indian Federation and signed the contract for the 2015 World Bridge Teams which will be held at the ITC Grand Chola Hotel in Chennai (formerly Madras) India from 26th September - IOth October. http://www.itchotels.in/itcgrandcholanew/


| W 12 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | ROMANIA | RUSSIA |
| 22 | POLAND | SCOTLAND |
| 23 | NORWAY | SERBIA |
| 24 | NETHERLANDS | SPAIN |
| 25 | LEBANON | SWEDEN |
| 26 | ITALY | TURKEY |
| 27 | ISRAEL | AUSTRIA |
| 28 | IRELAND | BULGARIA |
| 29 | GREECE | CROATIA |
| 30 | GERMANY | DENMARK |
| 31 | FRANCE | ENGLAND |
| 32 | ESTONIA | BYE |


| W \|3 | \| $\mathbf{4 . 3 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | FRANCE | GERMANY |
| 22 | ESTONIA | GREECE |
| 23 | ENGLAND | IRELAND |
| 24 | DENMARK | ISRAEL |
| 25 | CROATIA | ITALY |
| 26 | BULGARIA | LEBANON |
| 27 | AUSTRIA | NETHERLANDS |
| 28 | TURKEY | NORWAY |
| 29 | SWEDEN | POLAND |
| 30 | SPAIN | ROMANIA |
| 31 | SERBIA | RUSSIA |
| 32 | SCOTLAND | BYE |


|  |  | W \|4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | I7.20 |  |
| 22 | ENGLAND | ESTONIA |
| 23 | CROATIA | FRANCE |
| 24 | BULGARIA | GERMANY |
| 25 | AUSTRIA | GREECE |
| 26 | TURKEY | IRELAND |
| 27 | SWEDEN | ISRAEL |
| 28 | SPAIN | ITALY |
| 29 | SERBIA | LEBANON |
| 30 | SCOTLAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 31 | RUSSIA | NORWAY |
| 32 | ROMANIA | POLAND |
|  |  | BYE |

## SENIORS

## Final After Round 3

| TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :---: |
| I POLAND | 49.37 |
| 2 AUSTRIA | 46.46 |
| 3 NORWAY | 45.24 |
| 4 IRELAND | 44.98 |
| 5 SWEDEN | 44.75 |
| 6 ENGLAND | 40.35 |
| 7 BELGIUM | 38.55 |
| 8 HUNGARY | 35.43 |
| 9 BULGARIA | 32.05 |
| 10 DENMARK | 24.07 |

## Final B After Round 3

| TEAM | VP |
| :--- | :---: |
| I GERMANY | 51.46 |
| 2 ISRAEL | 51.16 |
| 3 SCOTLAND | 48.26 |
| 4 CROATIA | 45.14 |
| 5 NETHERLANDS | 43.11 |
| 6 FINLAND | 40.03 |
| 7 ROMANIA | 38.28 |
| 8 ESTONIA | 37.63 |
| 9 TURKEY | 37.60 |
| IO SPAIN | 33.04 |
| II PORTUGAL | 32.68 |
| I2 ITALY | 26.95 |
| I3 SLOVENIA | 25.30 |
| I4 WALES | 23.92 |
| I5 SERBIA | 22.44 |

## S F4 <br> 10.30

| I | SWEDEN | HUNGARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | AUSTRIA | IRELAND |
| 3 | NORWAY | BELGIUM |
| 4 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |
| 5 | BULGARIA | POLAND |
|  | S F5 | $\mathbf{\| 4 . 3 0}$ |
| 1 | BELGIUM | HUNGARY |
| 2 | ENGLAND | AUSTRIA |
| 3 | SWEDEN | POLAND |
| 4 | BULGARIA | IRELAND |
| 5 | NORWAY | DENMARK |
|  | S F6 |  |
|  | I7.20 |  |
| 1 | NORWAY | HUNGARY |
| 2 | IRELAND | DENMARK |
| 3 | BULGARIA | SWEDEN |
| 4 | AUSTRIA | POLAND |
| 5 | ENGLAND | BELGIUM |

## Final B $4 \quad 10.30$

| I | GERMANY | ISRAEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | SCOTLAND | CROATIA |
| 3 | FINLAND | ROMANIA |
| 4 | TURKEY | SPAIN |
| 5 | ESTONIA | PORTUGAL |
| 6 | ITALY | WALES |
| 7 | SLOVENIA | SERBIA |

## On Dangerous Ground

Please be careful when it rains as the streets can become very slippery.
On Wednesday night Netherlands superstar Bep Vriend fell while on the way back to her hotel, breaking a bone. She is in hospital and will need an operation. We know you will join us in wishing her a speedy recovery.

## The Croatian Corner

## ,Još i danas teku suze jedne žene"

Kad te srce stisne, bilo emocionalno, bilo medicinski, bilo bridžistički, nije ti lako. Pritisak srca je jedan od najčešćih strahova u životu, a kad ti bridžistički pritisak srca priušti partner možeš samo sjest i plakat.
Naša srčana priča dolazi iz meča ženske reprezentacije protiv Škotske.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - J 43 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 74 |  |
|  | \& 18752 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } 10972 \\ & \text { ○ } 10 \end{aligned}$ | N | - A89875 |
|  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 1098 |  | $\diamond$ J 5 |
| ¢ 96 | S | - AKQ 3 |
|  | - K 65 |  |
|  | ¢K432 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 632 |  |
|  | -104 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tomljanovic |  | Muller |  |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | Pass | 29 | All Pass |

U otvorenoj sali škotski North je pasirala svoju salatu od lista, a Muller je otvorila atipičnih INT. Nakon transfera završni kontrakt je sletio u 2 pik, a nakon atake desetke tref i ponešto pimplanja obrana je na kraju ipak uspjela skupiti svojih 6 štihova i srušiti kontrakt.
2. $E,=, N S+50$

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Sver | East | South <br> Pilipovic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | INT | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | 29 | Dble | All Pass |

U zatvorenoj sali situacija je bila puno živahnija. Šver je, kao ljubiteljica salata, svoju salatu od lista otvorila INT pokazujući II-I3 balans. East je kazneno kontrirala što se propasiralo do Šver koja je pobjegla u 2 tref. East je sa svojih $A K Q x$ u trefu veselo kontrirala i 2 tref što je postao
završni kontrakt.Atakirala je tref asa, te nastavila s asom pik i malim pikom kojeg je Šver uzela kraljem. Tref sa stola je propušten do kralja tref, povrat herc je Šver uzela u ruci i odigrala treću rundu trefa za damu. East je opet vratila herc kojeg je Šver uzela na stolu i odigrala mali karo u, kako će se kasnije pokazati, ključnom štihu. West je, vjerujući da je se džepari igranjem prema karo dečku, utrčala s damom karo planirajući skupiti damu pik, međutim Šver je uzela karo asom, a East nije vidjela razlog za deblokiranje karona pa je bacila mali karo i ostavila singl dečka. U ovom trenutku bord je gotov. Šver je skinula visoki adut i odigrala mali karo za već spomenutog singl dečka koji je držao.
Stiskavac u hercu može početi. East u ruci ima samo herčeve u ovakvoj završnici:


U ovom trenutku igranje herca skvizira West koja mora baciti ili pik damu i izvisočiti dečka, ili karo i izvisočiti četvrti karo na stolu. Kako je East ostala samo s herčevima, skviz je bio neizbježan. U ovom galantnom skvizu North je svoju skviznu kartu od srca delegirala svojoj lijevoj protivnici i džentlmenski prepustila protivnicama da se međusobno srčano stišću za napravljeni kontrakt.
2\% X, =, NS + 180

## Hrvatska +4 IMP.

Zarada naše reprezentacije na ovom bordu nije bila značajna u rezultatskom smislu, ali kulturološki doprinos našoj galantnosti je popriličan. Pokazali smo da nam nije potrebno mamiti suze na oči protivnicima vlastitom igrom već da znamo to velikodušno prepustiti protivnicima da odrade sami.

[^0]
## Open Teams - Round 6

By John Carruthers \& David Bird


Following their ignominious early exit from the World Cup due to a lack of finishing ability, England fans are hoping for better results from their bridge team. Before this match, England lay fifth in Group B, ahead of Denmark in ninth. The top nine teams in each group go through to the next stage, so both teams were eager to put up a strong fight.
David Bird reports that Morten Bilde played well on an early deal:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- QJ 97
- K 3
$\triangleleft$ Q 86
- K 654

- 643

ค 98
$\diamond$ AKJ 42
\& 108
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.Bilde | Forrester | D. Bilde | Robson |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

Jason and Justin Hackett had stopped in $3 \checkmark$ at the other table and the cards did not seem to lie very helpfully for the heart game. Morten Bilde won the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$ lead with dummy's king and played a diamond, Robson rising with the king. The 910 switch was covered by the queen, king and ace. Declarer then reached his hand with a diamond ruff to discard a club on the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$. Aiming to set up the spades, he continued with another spade and discarded dummy's last club as Forrester won with the $\$$.
When North continued with the $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{l}$, declarer ruffed with the 8 J. A trump finesse lost to the king, but declarer was able to ruff two diamonds in his hand and discard dummy's last diamond on the established long spade. This excellent piece of work netted 6 IMPs.

A partscore battle went England's way and so Denmark led 6-3 when ...

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.


We shall refer to the Hackett twins as Jason and Justin, and to père et fils Bilde as Morten and Dennis for the purpose of clarity.
It was simply too difficult to stay out of slam on the East/West cards. Kasper Konow's Three Diamonds gave the Hacketts more room to manœuvre and they duly arrived in the 6NT contract which looks slightly better than Six Spades. Since the presence of the jack of spades in either the East or West hand wouldn't have made a scrap of difference to the auction, this was slightly unlucky. Only slightly so because there were still only II tricks and thus something needed to be made of the hearts and clubs, assuming the king of diamonds was onside or was led.
Dennis went directly to the most likely slam after Forrester's more-aggressive Four Diamond bid. This went three off when Dennis ducked a club to North then, thinking Robson exhausted of diamonds, later ducked a spade to him. That was 5 IMPs to England and the lead in the match 8-6.
Board 4 was flat in INT off one. Forrester was in high spirits, remarking, "Getting closer" when Dennis went down only one trick. Next ...

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- A 73
$\checkmark$ AKQ 6
$\diamond \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{J} 7$
\& 5
¢ K Q 92
คJ93
$\diamond$ A 9864
8


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jason H. | Konow <br> $12 *^{*}$ | Justin H. | Askgård |
|  | Pass | 2 \& $^{*}$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { I\% } & 16+\mathrm{HCP} \\
2 \% & \text { Natural, positive }(8+\mathrm{HCP})
\end{array}
$$

When clubs failed to break favourably, 3NT was in danger, but the 4-4 spade division saw declarer through. Plus 600 to Denmark.

Closed Room


Andrew Robson, England

Forrester/Robson paid a high price for the lack of definition in their auction. Had Forrester bid 2NT (I8-I9) instead of redoubling, they might have survived, stopping in 3NT, but Robson's Two Spades forced him to bid 3NT to show the I8-19 balanced hand. Had Robson removed 4NT to Five Clubs, that would have been successful on the 3-3 heart break, after three high trumps.
The defence led spades and made the ace of diamonds and three spade tricks for plus 100 and 12 IMPs to Denmark, now leading 18-8.

## Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- 10632

8 AK 2
$\diamond J 32$
\& KJ 7


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jason H. | Konow | Justin H. | Askgård |
|  |  | Pass | 10* |
| Pass | INT* | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Is } & 16+\mathrm{HCP} \\
\text { INT } & 8-13 \text { balanced }
\end{array}
$$

A heart lead from the queen resulted in plus 490 for Denmark.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morten B. | Forrester | Dennis B. | Robson |
|  |  | Pass | 10* |
| Pass | 18* | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

1\% Natural or 12-14 / I8-19 balanced
18 Spades
Forrester continued in high spirits. He commented after the auction, "With any luck, we'll score minus 100 here!" He was right!
Once again, lack of definition hurt Forrester/Robson. Robson never limited his hand and when Three Hearts came to Forrester, he knew that South could have had a singleton spade, in which case five or even six clubs would be a better contract than 3NT. Could North have bid Three Spades as a last-ditch effort toward 3NT?
On the king-of-spades lead, Robson took his only chance, winning the ace of spades and running the jack of hearts,
hoping that West would have the queen and would not cover the jack. That was two off and another II IMPs to Denmark, leading 29-8. The Danes had just declared normal-looking three notrump contracts on back-to-back boards and had won 23 IMPs on them.
On Board 7, Robson and Askgård held: \$176 §AK96 $\checkmark$ QJ832 25 . They opened One Diamond and it went PassI $\vee$-Double to them. Askgård contented himself with Two Hearts while Robson aggressively bid Three Hearts. Forrester raised to game, making, on sAl02 $\vee$ Q832 $\diamond$ Al096 884 . At the other table, Jason pre-balanced with Three Clubs, passed back to Askgård who bid Three Hearts, passed out. Both declarers made II tricks, so England gained 10 IMPs to trail 29-I8.
Board 8 was a push at plus 140 East/West in a routine Three Spades. This was the next board:

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  | $\text { \& KJ } 9$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ9 |  |  |
|  | - A Q 54 |  |  |
| - Q 8763 | N |  | ¢ A 5 |
| QJ62 | N |  | Q 853 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 52 |  |  | 74 |
| - J 2 | S |  | 76 |
|  | -1042 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 9 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10863$ |  |  |
|  | -10983 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Jason H. | Konow | Justin H. | Askgård |
| INT | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Morten B. | Forrester | Dennis B. | Robson |
| INT | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room, Dennis led the three of hearts. Forrester won immediately to run the ten of clubs. Dennis won with his king and returned the heart five to Morten's jack. Knowing he might never be on lead again and wanting to contribute something positive to the defence, Morten shifted to a spade and Forrester ducked, guessing correctly for plus 90 when Dennis led another spade after the run of the hearts. Should Morten have read the three of hearts as suggesting a diamond shift? Perhaps Dennis thought so.
In the Open Room, the Hacketts had a beautiful, and very subtle, cooperative defence to beat the contract. Justin led the four of hearts and Konow ducked it to Jason's jack. Jason continued hearts to dummy's ace. Konow also ran the club ten to the king. Justin took the king of hearts to collect declarer's ten, declarer discarding a diamond from the dummy. Now Justin took the eight of hearts. Not yet knowing whether he preferred a spade or a diamond pitch,

Justin made the excellent discard of the six of spades, keeping his options open about whether to encourage next with the seven, or discourage with the three. When Justin continued with the queen of hearts, suggesting with his heart plays suit-preference for diamonds, Jason was able to complete his discouraging noise in spades with the discard of the spade three. Justin then shifted to a diamond, holding declarer to six tricks. Down one for a hard-earned plus 50 and 4 IMPs to England.


Dennis Bilde, Denmark
The score in the match was now 29-22 to Denmark. On Board 10, both East defenders led their bid-and-raised heart suit to defeat four spades on a forcing defence when trumps went 4-I.
On Board II, Robson and Askgård held: QQ 9643 『K2 $\diamond$ J 9764 \% 7 in first seat with neither side vulnerable. Askgård was able to open the bidding with Two Spades (spades and a minor, at least $5 / 5$, with 2-9 HCP). When Konow relayed with 2NT (invitational or better), Askgård showed diamonds and a minimum and they'd arrived. Plus IIO. Robson had to pass, allowing Morton to open One Club, Forrester to overcall One Diamond and Dennis to respond One Heart. Robson joined the party, advancing with One Spade, and when the Danes arrived in Four Hearts (they were booked to make it), Robson saved in Five Diamonds for minus 300.
That was 9 IMPs to Denmark and a lead of 38 to 22.
Board 12 was a Three Club/3NT choice with 108 opposite AQ A 754 and needing the king onside and clubs 3-2 (no outside entry) for 3NT. (There were other very low-percentage chances.) Clubs were 4-I and nothing else was favourable, so 3NT was one down, minus 50 , while Three Clubs made an overtrick. Justin had a bad moment when the club finesse won but allowed himself a small smile when the suit failed to break. It was a 5-IMP gain;

Denmark 38 - England 27.
Board I3 was a partscore battle won by Denmark to the tune of 3 IMPs , leading 4I-27, while Board 14 was a routine Four Spades down one at both tables. Board 15 sent 10 IMPs Denmark's way when Forrester took a very conservative view in the auction, missing a good vulnerable game which Denmark reached. That made it 5I to 27 for Denmark.
David Bird was commentating on BBO when this deal appeared:

| Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ A |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 6$ |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AKJ 872 |  |  |
| \& Q 10752 |  |  |
| Q Q J 72 | N | ¢ 106543 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJIO |  | Q Q 7432 |
| $\diamond$ Q 1064 |  | $\diamond 5$ |
| \% K 3 | S | 996 |
|  | ¢ K 98 |  |
|  | -K985 |  |
|  | $\diamond 93$ |  |
|  | \& AJ 84 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Jason H |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Konow | Justin H. | Askgård <br> I |  |
| Dble | $2 N T^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \dot{3}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North's 2NT showed both minors and the club fit was found. Had they reached 6\%, the artificial bidding would have placed West on lead. He would have cashed the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ at the speed of light (if not more quickly) to defeat the slam. If North had played in 6\%, East would have to guess to lead a heart rather than a spade.
What was going to happen in the Closed Room? Sadly, from the prospective of England supporters and the English BBO commentator, the news was not good:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morten B. | Forrester | Dennis B. | Robson |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 38 | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | 4\% | All Pass |  |

For many pairs $2 \triangleleft$ would show a sound club raise, but in that case Forrester would not have rebid just 3e; he would surely carry the bidding to at least $5 \%$. At the time when he bid $4 \%$, he doubtless had his sights set on a slam but the wires were crossed and could not be disentangled.

Whether East would have led a heart or a spade against 6\%, we will never know!
It was 10 IMPs to Denmark
There were extraordinary doings by the Danes on the ultimate deal ...

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.


Four Spades looks like the bid of a man desperate to use the facilities. Justin must have considered redouble, if only briefly. Konow led the king of spades and shifted to the ten of hearts. three, queen, king. Jason was soon claiming plus 950.

If you thought the combination of Four Spades and double slightly unusual ...

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Morten B. | Forrester | Dennis B. | Robson |
| $1 \&$ | $1 \stackrel{2}{2}$ | INT | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Forrester made a more normal One Spade overcall and Morten's final bid was more attuned to point-a-board than to IMPs. Perhaps he wanted to be dummy in order to race to a W.C. Or perhaps a couple of those clubs looked like spades. In any case, Forrester took the first four tricks and Dennis the next nine for plus 600 to Denmark.
That resulted in an 8-IMP gain to England, salvaging some pride. The final score was Denmark 51 - England 35.

You can replay all the deals from this match at:
BBO Denmark v England

## Minority Report (W R8)

By Mark Horton

## 士

## England v Croatia



Minority Report is a 2002 American neo-noir science fiction thriller film directed by Steven Spielberg. In April 2054, Captain John Anderton (Tom Cruise) is chief of the highly controversial Washington, D.C., Precrime police force. They use visions of the future generated by three 'precogs', mutated humans with precognitive abilities, to stop murders before they happen; because of this, the city has been murder-free for six years.
Just think how useful precognitive abilities would be at bridge - you could foresee every mistake before you had a chance to make one.
Let's take a look at the R8 Women's match between Croatia and England and see if they could eliminate the errors that sometimes appear almost inevitable.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 1863
$\bigcirc 6$
$\triangleleft$ K 108
- AKQJ6


Renata Muller, Croatia


Nikica Sver, Croatia
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sver | Brock | Pilipovic | Smith |
|  | $1 \$ *$ | 2 T $^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4 \odot$ | Pass | $6 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

18 All weak NTs open is unless 5M
May be 4-4-4-I, II-I4
2NT Red suits
North led the king of clubs and switched to her trump, but declarer won and claimed, +980 . Although it's hard to argue with success, the direct jump to $6 \bigcirc$ strikes me as being unsound, since West might easily have held more than one diamond.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dhondy | Tomljanovic | Senior | Muller |
|  |  | $18 *$ | $2 \mathbf{N T}^{*}$ |

East's approach looks much sounder as if her partner had been able to cue bid in clubs or particularly diamonds she would have felt much happier about bidding the slam.When West inexplicably failed to bid $5 \diamond$ West's foresight went unrewarded. +480 but II IMPs to Croatia.


Heather Dhondy, England
Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

```
$976
\veeJ9
\Delta AK 96
N J 1098
```


$\triangleright$ K Q 75
$\diamond$ J 54

. 3
ค A 10863
8732
743

Open Room


Faced with a decision West followed the principle laid down by Oscar Wilde, 'I can resist everything but temptation'. Alas, there were two hearts to lose on the go, one down;-100.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dhondy | Tomljanovic | Senior | Muller <br> Pass |
| Pass | IS | 3NT | All Pass |

Although East's direct jump to 3NT turned out badly, it certainly has some practical merit. The only sensible alternative is to double, but even then you might not be out of the woods.
The defenders c/ashed the first five hearts, no swing.
On board 4 EW held sAKQ2 QJ54 $\vee$ Al04 \&Al09 opposite s y 73 ऽAKQ32 $\checkmark$ Q5 \$K76. Croatia scored +1430 , England +I440; a push.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- 952
- J 95
$\diamond$ J 972
\& 1065
- J 43
$\bigcirc$ A Q 8
$\diamond K$ Q 3
* K Q J 2

- A Q 108
K 73
A 1064
- K 76
-10642
$\diamond 85$
\& 873

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sver | Brock | Pilipovic | Smith |
|  |  | $1 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 2* | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |

When the king of spades misbehaved declarer was one down; -I00.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dhondy | Tomljanovic | Senior | Muller |
|  |  | 18* | Pass |
| 20* | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
|  | 14 BAL (may |  |  |

South led the four of hearts and declarer won with the ace and ran the jack of spades to South's king. As the play

## GRATIS CARDS

NBOs with poor economy can have used EBL playing cards for free at the book stall on the Ist floor.
Used cards are also sold at low prices.
went South ducked the ace of clubs twice and also pitched a diamond on the fourth round of spades, so declarer was able to collect four diamond tricks, +690 and 13 English IMPs.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | 4 AKQ 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  | 2 A Q J 974 |  |  |
| -109764 | N |  |  |
| ®A973 |  | - $\bigcirc$ | Q J 6 |
| $\checkmark$ A 6 |  | E $\diamond$ | QJ 1053 |
| \% 86 | S |  |  |
|  | ¢ J 85 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 542$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 9874$ |  |  |
|  | \& K 10 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sver |  | Pilipovic | Smith |
|  | Brock | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| 14 | 3NT | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | 4\% | All Pass |  |

3NT was a decent shot, relying on the reasonable assumption that East would be leading a diamond away from the ace. When West doubled declarer took note of the storm warning and ran to a safe harbour. (Even if East had mistakenly led a diamond honour the penalty would have been 800.)
4e was unbeatable; +130.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dhondy | Tomljanovic | Senior | Muller |
|  | $1 \mathbf{R e}^{*}$ | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \Phi^{*}$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

I? $10-21 \mathrm{HCP}$, could be $5 \triangleleft$ and 20 if
18-19 balanced hand
I don't know if Nevena Senior's diet includes a lot of red meat, but (as we have seen in similar situations) she is more than willing to disrupt the opponent's auction when she has a distributional hand.
49 was doomed from the start.
East led the queen of diamonds and West put up the ace and returned the six. Declarer discarded a heart and East won and switched to the queen of hearts, covered by the king and ace. Declarer ruffed the heart return, cashed the ace and king of spades and then played three rounds of clubs. She could have saved a trick by ruffing with dummy's jack of spades, but when she discarded West ruffed and returned a trump for four down; +400 and II IMPs to England.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
, J8643
®QJ9765
$\diamond 9$
93


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sver | Brock | Pilipovic |  | | Smith |
| :--- |
| I |

Just as on the opening deal of the match a direct auction paid dividends.
South cashed the ace of spades and declarer claimed; +920 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dhondy | Tomljanovic | Senior | Muller <br> I |
| Pass | I $\varnothing$ |  | INT |

Although East had terrific support for clubs her lack of controls in the other suits left her with little alternative to bidding 3NT (and remember West had passed over $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ ). You might argue that West must have something unusual in order to be able to make a slam try and obviously had she bid $4 \diamond$ West would have driven to $6 \%$. Not easy.
On the other side of the coin East's INT marked her with the $\diamond$ K, so West might have taken another bid. Of course there might be a risk that 6\% could be defeated by South getting in with a spade at trick one and returning a diamond that North could ruff.
All in all a tough deal for the English pair.
South led the king of spades and continued with the two so that was +490 but 10 IMPs to Croatia.
England won 48-23 IMPs, I5.92-4.08 VP to move into third place.

You can replay all the deals from this match at:
BBO England v Croatia W

## Lead Of The Day or Play Of The Day?

By Marek Wójcicki

What is your lead?
Balicki decided upon the $\diamond 5$. Look at the board from the declarer's point of view:


What is your line of play?
It looks as if, with trumps 3-2 you always make the contract with clubs $4-3$. You put up the ace, cash two top trumps - North shows out to the second. What now? You cannot ruff clubs twice as you will not be able to cash the heart king... So, you overtake the heart queen with the king, draw the remaining trumps and finesse the club queen...

You are sitting North, love all with this powerhouse:
49

- 106542
$\triangleleft K 5$
\& 108653
The bidding goes:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 68 | Pass | 78 | All Pass |
|  | card of spades | art king |  |

5\% one key card
68 Queen of spades and heart king
Look at the complete deal:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 68 | Pass | 74 | All Pass |
|  | card of spades | art king |  |

All Pass



## Open Teams Round 9

By Ron Tacchi

## I <br> France vs. Estonia <br> $\square$

At the end of the third day we were approaching the halfway point of the first stage of the Open Teams. In their group France were lying second to Norway whilst Estonia were clinging on to the important ninth spot which would just see them through to the final round robin. France were represented in the Open Room by the father and son Bessis pairing with Alain Levy and Frederic Volcker in the Closed Room. Facing them from Estonia were Tiit Laanemae and Maksim Karpov in the Open Room and Olavi Oja and Jaanus Maripuu in the other.
It was a match of missed opportunities; it was as though both teams had not really woken up, perhaps a hint of fatigue is already creeping in

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta K J 7 \\ & \& K J 2 \\ & \diamond \text { QJ } 3 \\ & \text { Q } 862 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A4$\bigcirc$ Q 53 | N |  | -1098632 |
|  | w |  | $\bigcirc$ A 1074 |
| $\checkmark 10865$ | S |  | $\checkmark$ A 4 |
| - AJ 103 |  |  | - 7 |
|  | - Q 5 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 986$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 972 |  |  |
|  | \& K 954 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Laanemae | Bessis T. | Karpor | Bessis M. |
|  | 12 | $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | INT | 2 | Pass |
| 31 | All Pass |  |  |

Here East/West just got a level higher that in the Closed Room. Declarer won the club lead with the ace and played another round discarding his losing diamond and ruffed the club continuation. He now led the $\S 4$ from hand and inexplicably played the $\vee 3$ from dummy. There was now no way to make the contract and was one off; -50 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker | Oja |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \stackrel{1}{2}$ | Dble |
| INT | Pass | 2 | All Pass |

At this table declarer won the club lead with the ace and
came back to hand with a diamond and immediately led a small heart towards the queen which was taken by the king. North now continued with a small heart and declarer played the ace and so was held to his contract, but 4 IMPs to France
Board 18 was a flat $3 \mathrm{NT}+\mathrm{I}$.
Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - AQJ95 |  |  | - 2 |
| $\checkmark$ J 5 |  |  | $\bigcirc 932$ |
| $\checkmark 1042$ |  | E | $\checkmark$ A Q J 7 |
| \& Q 107 |  |  | 2A9832 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Laanemae | Bessis T. | Karpov | Bessis M. |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | INT | All Pass |  |

On a club lead Thomas tried to make his contract by playing a small diamond to the king, which held and then a small spade to the king in his hand but West popped up with his ace and cashed out. Thus declarer was two down, but he risked the extra undertrick in return for the chance of making his contract.


Thomas Bessis, France

Closed Room
West

Levy $\quad$\begin{tabular}{lll}
North <br>
Maripuu

$~$

East <br>
Volcker

$\quad$

South <br>
Oja <br>
2e*
\end{tabular}

South had a special club in his bag and was obviously determined to use it. The system notes for an opening 2\% states 5-10 points 5+4+ Majors (possibly 4-4 Non Vul.) I like gadgets as much as the next person but frequently they get abused and that leads to results like $4 \checkmark$ three down, he was lucky it wasn't worse than 2 IMPs.
Board 20 was a spade partial and Estonia made an additional trick and so gained an IMP.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Laanemae's $2 \triangleleft$ was a game force and the club response showed that ace. West then bid out his shape and when Karpov raised hearts I was mentally working out the odds of the slam, in fact they were zero as West could not find it in himself to bid one more.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker | Oja |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $20^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Levy's 24 opener was strong but not gameforcing, apparently the $4 \diamond$ bid shows precisely $6 \wedge$ and $5 \triangleleft$ and when East chose his suit that was the end of the bidding.
Only eight out of the other 34 Open Pairs failed to bid a slam and also $70 \%$ of the 26 Senior Pairs bid a slam, though to be fair that included the Polish Seniors who managed to play in 62 which was not a success.


Maksim Karpov, Estonia Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- 75
$\bigcirc$ K Q J 7
$\diamond$ Q J 10
* 1865


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Laanemae | Bessis $T$. | Karpov | Bessis M. |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $1 乌$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  | $3 N T$ |

## All Pass

Thomas received the lead of a diamond taken by the ace in West's hand. He continued the suit, and declarer won in hand and played a small spade and when East did not split his honours he successfully tried the eight which held the trick. He now switched to hearts and even though the ace was offside the kind split saw declarer home with three spades, three hearts, two diamonds and a club.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker <br> Oja |  |
|  |  | Pass | Is |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

The lead and continuation was the same as the Open Room, but when declarer led a small Spade, he played the king and there was now no way back for declarer. He finished one down and IO IMPs to France to lead I6-I.

From my limited knowledge of statistics the double finesse is a better option than playing off $\mathrm{AK}, \mathrm{I}$ have no doubt I will get a torrent of email telling me I am wrong, but I checked my sums and I think I am right.
Board 23 was yet another spade partial and another overtrick IMP to France.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & J 10764 \\ & \text { A } 109 \\ & \text { J } 10987 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 2 <br> Q 3 <br> $\diamond A$ <br> \% KJIO876432 |  | N |  | - K 983 |
|  |  |  |  | ¢K8642 |
|  |  |  | E | $\diamond 652$ |
|  |  | S |  | * Q |
| - A Q 5 <br> คJ75 <br> $\diamond$ KQ43 <br> - A 95 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |  |
| West | North |  | East | South |
| Laanemae | Bessis T. |  | Karpo | $v$ Bessis M. |
| 5 | Pass |  | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 5NT |  | Pass | $6\rangle$ |

After his father's double Thomas tanked, for so long in fact that the Reverend Wilbert Awdry had time to write another story entitled 'Thomas gets stuck on the bridge'. He emerged from his reveries with a bid of 5NT.There will be others more proficient than I who will discuss the various merits of the bid but the contract failed due to the 4-I split in spades, however, that cannot be seen as unlucky if West has eight or nine clubs.
Closed Room


Tiit Laanemae, Estonia

Here South was more timid andWest was allowed to play and peacefully go one off. So after all the excitement Estonia gained three IMPs.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  | , K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 10876 |  |
|  | $\triangleleft$ KJ 952 |  |
|  | -10 |  |
| ¢ 764 | N | ¢ AJ 1085 |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ 3 |  | $\bigcirc 2$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 1083 |  | $\checkmark 74$ |
| Q Q 93 | S | 2 AKJ 64 |
|  | ¢ Q 932 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 954$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 6 |  |
|  | ¢ 8752 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Laanemae | Bessis $T$. | Karpov | Bessis $M$. |
|  | 18 | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass |

## 2. All Pass

It seemed very timid for South not to risk supporting hearts on his hand. True, he knows the hearts are unlikely to split kindly but at least the honours will be relatively well placed. West had no problems bringing the contract home for +llo.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker | Oja |
|  | $1 \boxtimes$ | $2 \nabla^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2\& | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This time it was North who was aggressive with his $3 \diamond$ bid, and could you make a case for bidding $4 \checkmark$ with the South hand having passed the first time? Declarer quickly wrapped up ten tricks for a gain of 7 IMPs.
Board 26 was an unexciting push in $4 \diamond+1$.
Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.
, 76
$\bigcirc$ K Q 852
$\diamond A$ Q 102
\& 8
© K 103
คA10943
$\diamond 9843$
$\% 7$


4 9842
-
$\diamond$ K 75
\& AKJ 964
\& A Q J 5
『J76
$\diamond$ J 6
\& Q 1052

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laanemae | Bessis T. | Karpov | Bessis M. |
|  |  |  | 1\% |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 15 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

Call me old-fashioned ('you're old-fashioned') but if you are going to open grotty eleven counts then on this auction partner surely can only bid $3>$ not four. The contract was hopeless and was three down for -150 .

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker | Oja |
|  |  |  | I $\diamond^{*}$ |
| 18 | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

South's opening $I \diamond$ was II-I4 balanced or 4-4-4I or a five-card minor. West with his magnificent hand (are you sensing irony?) intervened with $1 \mathbb{\Omega}$. East tried $2 \%$ which was passed round to North who produced a red card which South was happy to convert to penalties. The contract was fated to go down but defence and declarer tried unsuccessfully to avert the contract being one off. So 6 IMPs to Estonia and now the contest was stalled at 17 IMPs apiece.
Boards 28 and 29 were pushes.
Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

- J 84
$\bigcirc$ AQJ 9
$\diamond J 1098$
- 19

| - Q 732 | N | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10$ |  | ¢8765432 |
| $\diamond$ K 4 | W E | $\checkmark$ AQ 765 |
| \& AKQ 854 | S | - 10 |
|  | - AK 10965 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 32$ |  |
|  | 9 7632 |  |

Open and Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Laanemae | Bessis T. | Karpov | Bessis M. |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker | Oja |
|  |  | $4\ulcorner$ | $4 \stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ |

Dble All Pass
The sequence was the same at both tables. I tried to find how many times the East hand was opened $4 \checkmark$ but unfortunately the data was not readily available but given that half the contracts were below the level of $4 \triangleleft$ then it was not a unanimous decision, but as usual it acted as a
transfer to 4 to which West was happy to give the red card treatment. I was surprised at the Estonian's opening bid and almost flabbergasted when the French East bid likewise. Generally speaking, the French are much more serré about pre-empts, if I were to do that at my club a fleet of ambulances would be required to take away the faint-hearted.
Board 31 was yet another spade partial and this time Estonia gained the overtrick IMP which turned out to be the decisive score.

Board 32. Dealer West. E/WVul.


Who is to blame here for not reaching an excellent slam. My money is on the bidder. Partner has already forced to game with his bid. Why don't we go slowly and discover more about his hand; a simple rebid of $2 \diamond$ or $2 \wedge$ will allow South to show his spade support and now we can investigate slam possibilities.
$69 \%$ of the remaining Open teams reached the slam - a good position for them to be in.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levy | Maripuu | Volcker | Oja |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

Perhaps tired after sixteen boards and wanting to get things over, but a chance missed of a II IMP pick-up. However Estonia won the match by the narrowest of margins $10.31-9.69 \mathrm{VPs}$

You can replay all the deals from this match at:
BBO France v Estonia

## Thanks to the Turisticka Zajednica Kvarner !

On behalf of the journalists who participated in the Outing to the Isle of Krk on Wednesday June 25th, I would like to thank the Regional Kvarner Board of Tourism and in particular its President Mrs. Irena Persic Zivadinov and Organizer Mrs. Renata Vincek.
We enjoyed the trip very much as we had an excellent, sympathetic guide by name Nikola who told us everything we needed and wanted to know. The visit to Restaurant "Nada" in Vrbnik where we were offered a Croatian lunch and tasted the famous Zlahtina white wine produced by Juranic family, made this trip into a big success. The journalists will surely share this experience with many others!

Jan Swaan, Press Room manager


## Championship Diary

Aavo Heinlo,Vice-President of the Estonian Tournament Bridge League, has sent us some information about bridge in the USSR.
As a matter of fact bridge was not forbidden in USSR but just not recognised as a sport, and it flowered underground without any information about it in official media. Clubs and tournaments existed in numerous towns, from Estonia to Georgia and Sakhalin to Lviv, without even considering Moscow or Leningrad or big towns in Central Russia and Siberia. Beginning from 1979 the USSR championships for teams and pairs were played with zonal qualifying tournaments. One can find results (unfortunately in Russian) at:
http://www.bridgeclub.ru/result/sssrk.htm http://www.bridgeclub.ru/result/sssrp.htm In Opatija there are two players - Vassili Levenko and Tiit Laanemae - from the Estonian Open team who have several titles as USSR champions from the eighties.
So, to say that tournament bridge did not exist in the USSR until 1990 is misleading.

Aavo was a member of the USSR Bridge Sports-Technical Committee in the eighties dealing with Master Points or rankings (non-official ones of course). In 1989 Jose Damiani made a visit to Moscow to discuss things with the Soviet sport authorities and he had the opportunity to meet him. As a result the Soviet Union played in the European Championships for the first time in Turku in 1989.

We are starting to get entries for our Caption Contest. Here are two of the best: How could the manager tell his team it lacked bite?! And was it really right to award a score draw to Liverpool?

Some rough calculations by the New Statesman show that Suarez will have played a maximum of 6,160 opponents during his career ( 11 opponents and the three substitutes per game).
Having bitten three opponents in that time, they surmise that any opponent of Suarez has about a one in 2,000 chance of getting the same treatment.
To put that into context, there is a one in 3.7 m chance of being bitten by a shark in the ocean, a one in 10,000 chance of being struck by lightning, a one in 76,000 chance of being killed by a wasp, bee or hornet attack, and a one in 700,000 chance of being hit by an asteroid.

New In Bridge has interviews with the Candidates in the EBL Presidential Elections at:
EBL elections 2014

## Open Round 8

By Ram Soffer

## * <br> Israel v Hungary <br> 

Israel had a perfect start to the championship with five straight victories, including wins against fellow-contenders Italy, Poland and Bulgaria. However, they started the third day with a heavy loss to Latvia, and it was followed by a narrow loss to England.
The afternoon match against Hungary was an opportunity to make up some lost ground and return to the top of Group B. It was full of exciting deals with swing opportunities right from the start.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

```
& J863
P
K 108
* AKQJ6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N & , A \\
\hline & ©AKJ 743 \\
\hline & \(\checkmark\) AJ975 \\
\hline S & - 2 \\
\hline Q Q 10972 & \\
\hline \(\bigcirc 9\) & \\
\hline \(\diamond\) Q 632 & \\
\hline \& 1093 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

$\& K 54$
$\diamond Q 10852$
$\diamond 4$
$\& 8754$

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Szegedi | Schwartz | Hegedus | Fisher |
|  | 18 | $2 N T^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4\rangle$ | Pass | $4 N T^{*}$ | Pass |
| $5\rangle$ | All Pass |  |  |

Hegedus used the unusual 2NT with a big hand, so after his partner's jump to $4 \checkmark$ he had to invite slam. The Hungarian pair plays kickback RKC, which means that 4s would have asked for keycards while the actual 4NT bid was a spade cuebid. Szegedi decided that his diamond singleton did not justify a cuebid at the five-level and signed off in $5 \vee$, making six.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Herbst | Winkler | O. Herbst | Homonnay |
|  | 18 | 2NT* | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 49* | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| $6 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

After the same initial sequence Ophir Herbst was able to cuebid spades in a natural manner, and his brother llan had enough room to ask for keycards and bid the slam, trusting his partner to have a club control as well; Israel +980 and II IMPs.

Two boards later Israel increased their lead thanks to an inspired pre-emptive bid by Ron Schwartz.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.
\& Q J 10852
$\bigcirc$ KQ 75
$\diamond$ J 54
8-
\& 976

- J 9
$\triangleleft$ AK 96
\& J 1098

- AK 4
42
$\diamond$ Q 10
\& AKQ652
\& 3
คA10863
$\diamond 8732$
\& 743

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Szegedi | Schwartz | Hegedus | Fisher <br> Pass |
| Pass | 34 | 3NT | All Pass |

Being third seat at favourable vulnerability calls for aggressive action, and Schwartz made the perfect choice, giving the opponents just enough rope to hang themselves. East had an obvious 3NT bid, but he lost the first five tricks after Fisher led a small heart.


Lotan Fisher, Israel

Winkler/Homonnay's system allowed them to open in first seat, $2 \triangleleft$ not vulnerable v. vulnerable, showing $5+$ cards in either major with 0-8 HCP. $4 \triangleleft$ asked partner to bid his major, but their busy bidding could not prevent Israel from reaching the winning contract of $5 \%$, which was made with an overtrick after a spade lead. Winkler may have considered saving in 5 $\vee$, but his partner could have 0 HCP , so that 5 could either prove too expensive or push the E/W to a making slam; Israel +620 and 12 IMPs.
Hungary gained back some ground when llan Herbst, holding 『A J 43 opposite 8107 2, went down in a 3NT contract after playing small to the ten, losing to RHO's stiff king.
And there were more IMPs for Hungary to come.
Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.
, Q 1074
-AK865
$\checkmark 73$

- 65

| 4 AKJ6 | N | - 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  | QQJ 7 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 96 |  | $\checkmark$ QJ 1042 |
| \&) 982 | S | * A Q 3 |
|  | - 982 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10932$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 85$ |  |
|  | - K 1074 |  |


| West <br> Szegedi | North <br> Schwartz | East <br> Hegedus | South <br> Fisher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | I | 2 | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Fisher's aggressive $3 \triangle$ bid might have resulted in a penalty of -800 had East chosen to pass his partner's double, but Hegedus bid 3NT, collecting nine top tricks after a heart lead and continuation.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Herbst | Winkler | O. Herbst | Homonnay |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 120 | 18 | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 3** | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 6 | All Pass |  |  |

At this table E/W were allowed more room to explore slam possibilities. Ophir decided to cuebid 4\% after llan's $3 \checkmark$ splinter, and his brother immediately blasted into a poor slam which predictably went down one.
In such cases one may ask: who overbid? West's bidding can hardly be faulted, since if we replace East's AQ with \&AK, $6 \diamond$ becomes a very good contract. In my opinion, East might have considered 3NT instead of cuebidding, since he had only nine working points (one may even argue that the $\diamond J$ is wasted) with a poor distribution.

Thus the first half of the match was close to a tie, but the second half was all Israel.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
¢ 94
$\bigcirc$ AKQ 2
$\diamond$ Q 75
\& Q 97

© K 73
คJ76
$\diamond$ A 10842
\& A 4
, A Q
$\triangleright 4$
$\triangleleft 963$
\% K 1086532

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Szegedi | Schwartz | Hegedus | Fisher |
|  | $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | Pass | INT |

All Pass
The Schwartz/Fisher system includes an invitational 3\% response to a $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ opening, but Fisher chose an awkward INT.That worked well when West chose to pass and lead a spade. Declarer drove out the \&A and Hegedus switched to a diamond, but it was too late. Fisher ducked the $\diamond J$ in the second round of the suit and claimed the rest; Israel +180 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I. Herbst | Winkler | O. Herbst | Homonnay |
|  | INT | Pass | 3NT |

## All Pass

Winkler decided to open a I5-I7 INT and quickly found himself in 3NT which went down two after a diamond lead. This time East still had the to re-enter his hand after


Balazs Szegedi, Hungary


Ron Schwartz, Israel
the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ was ducked. Should this be classified as hard luck? I don't think so, since the Hungarians wrong-sided the contract, and might have succumbed to a spade lead on a different layout; Hungary -I00.
The following deal might have been another big swing to Israel, but excessive optimism by Hungary went unpunished.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A K Q } 10 \\ & \Omega 108 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 1053 |  |
|  | \& J 1032 |  |
| ¢ 9652 | N | ¢ 743 |
| $\bigcirc 742$ |  | $\checkmark$ AKJ |
| $\checkmark$ J 962 | W E | $\checkmark$ AK |
| \& 64 | S | \& A 9875 |
|  | - AJ 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q9653 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 874$ |  |
|  | 2 K Q |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Szegedi | Schwartz | Hegedus <br> Fisher <br> I $\triangle$ |  |
| Pass | INT | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

East's hand is problematic since, if one takes the N/S bidding at face value, West should have next to nothing. Hegedus may not have liked his partner's $2 \diamond$, but his 2NT was a dangerous overbid. North had 8 HCP , including all four ten-spots. Some might have doubled, but Ron Schwartz preferred a conservative pass. Fisher's natural choice of lead - fourth best from his longest suit - gave declarer a sixth top trick, but nothing more could be developed with this utterly useless dummy; Hungary -I00.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I. Herbst | Winkler | O. Herbst | Homonnay <br> I $\varnothing$ |
| Pass | INT | Dble | Pass |
| 2 $\mathbf{~}$ | All Pass |  |  |

Ophir Herbst knew that his opponents were unlikely to psyche at this vulnerability, and let the bidding rest quietly in 24. Even this contract proved to be too high. The defense started with three top spades and switched to a diamond. Declarer managed to establish a long club in dummy for his seventh trick, but he still finished one short; Israel -50 and 2 IMPs.
Board 13 was an unlucky one for Szegedi. His unfortunate choice of lead cost his team no less than 21 IMPs.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ A K Q 2 \\ & >108 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | \& A Q J 974 |  |
| ¢ 109764 | N | ¢ 3 |
| - A 973 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 6 |
| $\checkmark$ A 6 |  | $\checkmark$ QJIO 532 |
| ¢ 86 | S | \& 52 |
|  | - J 85 |  |
|  | -K542 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 9874$ |  |
|  | \& K 10 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Szegedi | Schwartz | Hegedus | Fisher |
|  | $1 \$$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

N/S bidding was natural. South's 2NT indicated a minimal hand without promising a diamond stopper, and North gambled on 3NT with a half stopper. Admittedly, 5\% was a safer spot. Had West chosen to lead the unbid suit, the Hungarians could have collected the first ten tricks for -600, but after the actual spade lead it was Fisher who claimed ten tricks; Israel +630 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I. Herbst | Winkler | O. Herbst | Homonnay |
|  | I\& | Pass | 18 |
| IS | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Ilan Herbst's rather ugly is overcall provoked an immediate 3NT call from North, and Ophir led the obvious $\diamond$ Q. Right suit, but "wrong" choice of card, costing the defense three diamond tricks. However his $\triangle Q$ switch at trick 4 gave the defense four tricks in that suit. Hungary -300 and 14 IMPs to Israel when they might have lost 7.
The final swing for Israel was similar to the very first one. The Herbsts displayed better judgement and bid a good slam missed at the other table.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
上 18643
QJ 9765
$\diamond 9$
\& 3


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Szegedi | Schwartz | Hegedus | Fisher <br> Pass |
| $4 N^{*}$ | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

Many experts would advise an opening bid with the South cards, but Fisher does not seem to be a fan of light opening bids. The favourable vulnerability tempted Szegedi to preempt in the second seat, but his 4NT opening bid left his partner with no room for investigation; Hungary +420 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Herbst | Winkler | O. Herbst | Homonnay |
|  |  |  | I $\diamond$ |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | 38 | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 6\% | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South's opening bid robbed West of his best suit, but he still had six clubs to show. East had a strong hand, but initially Ophir Herbst had no slam aspirations, signing off in 3NT. Of course, this was not an acceptable spot for his partner. After $4 \checkmark$ Ophir realized that his partner must be holding a very special hand, since he had cuebid both of the opponent's suits, strongly suggesting a slam despite lacking the eAK. He was further rewarded for his good 6\% bid when South (not unreasonably) doubled. Winkler found the correct lead of a spade to save a doubled overtrick; Israel +1090.
It all ended 66-29 in Israel's favour, and at close of play they were leading Group $B$ for the second day running.

You can replay all the deals in this match at:
BBO Israel v Hungary

## SENIORS

| Seniors Final R1-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | AUSTRIA | HUNGARY | 5533 | 15.38 | 4.62 |
| 2 | BELGIUM | SWEDEN | 1238 | 3.91 | 16.09 |
| 3 | IRELAND | ENGLAND | 5222 | 16.73 | 3.27 |
| 4 | POLAND | NORWAY | 3916 | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 5 | DENMARK | BULGARIA | 2135 | 6.25 | 13.75 |
|  | Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | IRELAND | HUNGARY | 2656 | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| 2 | SWEDEN | NORWAY | 1825 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 3 | DENMARK | AUSTRIA | 2519 | 11.76 | 8.24 |
| 4 | BELGIUM | BULGARIA | 2946 | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| 5 | POLAND | ENGLAND | 2023 | 9.09 | 10.91 |
|  | Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | ENGLAND | HUNGARY | 5118 | 17.17 | 2.83 |
| 2 | POLAND | BELGIUM | 2436 | 6.72 | 13.28 |
| 3 | AUSTRIA | BULGARIA | 4620 | 16.09 | 3.91 |
| 4 | DENMARK | SWEDEN | 1154 | 1.56 | 18.44 |
| 5 | IRELAND | NORWAY | 3833 | 11.48 | 8.52 |

## Seniors Final B RI-3

|  | Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | ISRAEL | SERBIA | 5030 | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 2 | ESTONIA | NETHERLANDS | 1156 | 1.34 | 18.66 |
| 3 | SCOTLAND | TURKEY | 5925 | 17.31 | 2.69 |
| 4 | GERMANY | ITALY | 6621 | 18.66 | 1.34 |
| 5 | PORTUGAL | SPAIN | 2847 | 5.20 | 14.80 |
| 6 | FINLAND | CROATIA | 55 31 | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 7 | WALES | ROMANIA | 2142 | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| 8 | SLOVENIA | BYE | 12.00 |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | NETHERL. | ISRAEL | 3847 | 7.45 | 12.55 |
| 2 | GERMANY | FINLAND | 3929 | 12.80 | 7.20 |
| 3 | SCOTLAND | SPAIN | 5633 | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 4 | ROMANIA | TURKEY | 4646 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 5 | CROATIA | PORTUGAL | 6627 | 17.97 | 2.03 |
| 6 | SLOVENIA | ESTONIA | 127 | 3.91 | 16.09 |
| 7 | SERBIA | WALES | 3053 | 3.44 | 15.56 |
| 8 | ITALY | BYE | 12.00 |  |  |
|  | Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | ISRAEL | SCOTLAND | 2018 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| 2 | GERMANY | NETHERLANDS | $0 \quad 0$ | 13.00 | 7.00 |
| 3 | CROATIA | ROMANIA | 3835 | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| 4 | FINLAND | TURKEY | $25 \quad 28$ | 9.09 | 10.91 |
| 5 | ESTONIA | SPAIN | 2925 | 11.20 | 8.80 |
| 6 | WALES | PORTUGAL | 1247 | 2.55 | 14.45 |
| 7 | ITALY | SLOVENIA | 3533 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| 8 | SERBIA | BYE |  | 12.00 |  |


[^0]:    Tomislav Šćepanović

