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## DAY OF RECKONing



All the latest news from the EBL (see page 22)
After two weeks of play we have arrived at the climax of the European Open Championships when the three Pairs titles will be decided.

In the Open Pairs Kvangraven \& Lie have a slender lead over Ginossar \& Pachtman with Auken \& Welland third.
The clear leaders in the Women Pairs are D'Ovidio \& Seamon-Molson, followed by Faivre \& Magis and Smederevac \& Weigkricht.
Sellden \& Wenneberg lead the qualifiers in the Senior Pairs, ahead of Cabaj \& Ilnicki and Bergheimer \& Fouassier.

## Closing Ceremony

The closing ceremony and prize giving for the Open, Women and Seniors Pairs will take place

Saturday June 29th at 18:30
in the Old Post Office.
(Hendrik Serruyslaan I8a, see map page 2.)
A cocktail will be served.


41 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ WORLD TEAM
CHAMPIONSHIPS
16-29 September 2013
*) BALI-INDONESIA *

The 4Ist World Teams Championships, which include the World Transnational Open Teams Championship, will be held on the magical island of Bali in September this year. The Transnational Championship starts on Tuesday 24th September.

The Transnational Teams is open to all players who are members in good standing of their National Bridge Organisation, and of course, the event being transnational means that they can play with partners or team mates from other countries.

It's an enjoyable and exciting event - a real challenge too, because many of the teams that participate in the Bermuda Bowl,Venice Cup and d'Orsi Seniors Trophy but do not get through to the knock-out phase drop into the Transnational Teams. This means that teams entering the event get the opportunity of playing against some of the leading players in the world. There are few - if any - other sports where this can happen, and it makes for a truly great competition.

Add to that the atmosphere of the final stages of the main championships - the Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup and d'Orsi Seniors Trophy, with the excellent vu-graph and all the tension of the Finals and it becomes an unforgettable experience.

Bali is an amazing place to visit as well, and the Championships are being held in the spectacular resort of Nusa Dua. Come and play then stay a few extra days to enjoy the wonderful beaches and the great culture to be found on Bali.We feel sure you will have a truly wonderful time!

To find out more and to register for this great Championship, just go to www.worldbridge.org - the World Bridge Federation looks forward to welcoming everyone to Bali in September.

The I 6th and last issue of the Daily Bulletin will only be available online!!

## Closing Ceremony Tonight 18:30




## BBO Matches

http://www.bridgebase.com

## Master Point Races incl. June $\mathbf{2 7}^{\text {th }}$

## Cumulative

I LANZAROTTI Massimo . 146
2 JASSEM Pawel ........... 125
JASSEM Krzysztof . ......I25
WOJCIESZEK Jakub ..... 125
MAZURKIEWICZ Marcin 125
TUSZYNSKI Piotr . . . . . . 125
GAWRYS Piotr . . . . . . . . 125
8 MANNO Andrea . . . . . . . 108
9 ZALESKI Romain . . . . . . . 106
10 INTONTI Riccardo . . . . . 100
GAROZZO Benito ...... 100
AVOSSA Mario D' . . . . . . 100
13 VERBEEK Martine . . . . . . . 90
BERTENS Huub . . . . . . . . . 90
SMEDEREVAC Jovanka . . . 90
Side Events Only
I CRONIER Benedicte . . . . . 28
2 YADLIN Doron .......... . 21
YADLIN Israel ............ . 21
4 CRONIER Philippe . . . . . . . 20
TOFFIER Philippe . . . . . . . . 20
CAPRERA David . . . . . . . . 20
BRENNER Anne . . . . . . . . . 20
PILON Dominique . . . . . . . 20
MARILL Philippe . . ...... . 20
SMEDEREVAC Jovanka ... 20
WERNLE Sascha . . . . . . . . 20
WILLARD Sylvie . . . . . . . . 20
I3 SOONTJENS Louis . ..... . I5
VAN DEVEN Armand . . . . I5
HAYMAN PIAFSKY Jessica 15
KALITA Jacek . . . . . . . . . . . I5
LAENEN Rene . . . . . . . . . . I5
ZMUDA Justyna . . . . . . . . . I5
DE SMET Hans ...........I5
DE SAINT PASTOU Alain . 15
KLUKOWSKI Michal . . . . . 15
LESAGE Francois ..........I5

## La Rubrique.FR

## Une jolie consolation

Pour cette onzième et dernière rubrique, J'avais à cœur de vous présenter une donne vraiment jolie. J'avais le choix, bien sûr, tant de tels championnats favorisent les hauts faits de nos champions. J'ai finalement sélectionné un contrat exécuté par Philippe Toffier lors du Patton suisse de consolation qu'il a remporté haut la main avec Dominique Pilon.
Patton Suisse. Match $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 5$ Donne $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 3$
Sud donneur - Nord-Sud vulnérables
. R1096
$\bigcirc$ R
$\diamond$ AR732

- A84



Philippe Toffier

L'ouverture était un $2 \checkmark$ faible classique, l'enchère de 24 était naturelle et le contre de Sud était d'appel, pour les mineures. Dominique Pilon jugea sa main trop belle pour se contenter d'une partielle et après le cue-bid à $3 \bigcirc$, le contrat de 3SA fut atteint de la main de Sud.
Ouest entama de la $\vee$ Dame et le déclarant commença par tester les Carreaux en débloquant les gros Carreaux de sa main. Ouest prit le troisième tour de la Dame et contre-attaqua Pique pour le 9 du mort et le Valet d'Est. Celui-ci rejoua Cœur pour l'As de Sud qui se trouva à la croisée des chemins. Il connaissait à peu près la distribution 6-3-2-2 d'Ouest et avait besoin de trois levées de Trèfle pour faire son contrat. Ceci nécessitait un honneur second en Ouest. Philippe Toffier trouva la carte qui permettait de préserver ses chances quel que soit l'honneur à Trèfle d'Ouest, il présenta la éDame, couverte du Roi et prise du As de Nord. Le déclarant encaissa alors un premier Carreau maître pour se retrouver dans la situation suivante :


Sur le dernier, Est fut squeezé d'une étrange façon. Il ne pouvait pas se permettre de défausser un Trèfle sous peine de laisser Sud jouer Trèfle du mort sans aucun risque d'erreur. Il se résolut donc à jeter la @Dame. Philippe Toffier, qui avait jeté un Cœur sur le $\diamond 2$, rejoua alors le $\$ 10$ du mort en défaussant son dernier Cœur. À trois cartes de la fin, il ne restait que du Trèfle à Est, qui prit sa chance du e 7 sec en Ouest en contre-attaquant du \% Mais Sud couvrit du IO, rejoua le 2 pour le 8 et finit par scorer le $\$_{2} 7$ à la dernière levée, et son contrat par la même occasion. Une bien jolie consolation à emporter dans ses bagages pour celui qui eut hier la malchance de perdre sa place en finale du paires open sur la dernière donne...

- Philippe Cronier

| ${ }^{\text {Bridgeur }}$ |  | NOUVEAU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DU NON CLASSE A L'EXPERT |
|  |  | MEYER - CONTI |
| 27 rue du 4 Septembre 75002 Paris |  | EDITION 2013 |
|  |  | Pour commander ; +33142962550 ou sur muw.lebridgeur.com |

## Facts About Tromsø

> Norway's seventh largest city .
$>$ Surrounded by spectacular mountains and fjords, 350 kilometers north of the Arctic Circle .
$>$ Situated at 69 degrees north, only 2000 km from the North Pole.
$>$ Owing to the warming effect of the Gulf Stream, Troms $\varnothing$ is milder than most other places located on the same latitude.
$>$ Home of 70.000 lively inhabitants (more than 120 different nationalities).
$>$ Hotels with international standard, busy cafés, restaurants with world class seafood, an active cultural life, a very active night life and captivating museums and art galleries.
$>$ Excess of 2200 hotel rooms and a total of more than 4000 beds to offer.
> 12 direct connections to Oslo Airport every day, and also direct flights twice a week to London, Stockholm (Sweden) and Murmansk (Russia).
$>$ The airport is located only less than 10 minute drive from the city center.
$>\quad$ Center for Arctic knowledge and science.
$>$ The University of Troms $\varnothing$ is the northernmost university of the world.
> Packed with polar history from Nansen and Amundsen, the world's northernmost brewery and architectural masterpieces like the Arctic Cathedral and the public library.
> Midnight Sun from May 20 to July 15 when the sun doesn't set below the horizon.



## EBL Reviewers: Review System Here To Stay

On the second page of the first Daily Bulletin of these championships, there was a notice that caught many players' attention:There would be no appeals committees at this tournament.
"It's a sea change," says David Harris, who is credited with devising the review system now in place to address questions or complaints about tournament directors' rulings.


David Harris
The review, Harris says, is meant to ascertain whether the TD involved followed a reasonable procedure. A player who is dissatisfied with a director's decision may ask for a review of the process that resulted in the ruling.
In cases where judgment is involved, a TD will determine the facts, consulting other TDs and in some instances expert players."We are trying to get a fair system in place," Harris says.
So far in Ostend, only one review has been done, although Grattan Endicott, one of the reviewers, says he knows of four or five cases that probably would have qualified for the procedure.
"It's early days," says Endicott, an expert on bridge law and secretary of the World Bridge Federation Laws Committee. "Players have not experienced the new concept." In any case, he says, players in European Bridge League championships have seen the last of appeals committees. "We won't go back," he predicts."I really think there is something to be gained here."
Harris says he has sat on many appeals committees that have upheld TDs' rulings or made only small variations. He says dissatisfaction with the appeals committee process has been brewing for some time, primarily over the way that TDs arrive at decisions and then having them questioned by the committees.

Further, Harris says, "The idea that appeals committees provide justice for all is not a true concept." He notes that the number of players appealing TDs' rulings has always been relatively small. Some players, he says, always appeal adverse rulings and some never do. Others, he says, can't afford to risk the deposit required to go through the process.
As a barrister in Harpenden, north of London, Harris says, "I have an idea of what's fair."
Harris says EBL tournament directors are among the best in the world at what they do and add to their expertise with regular courses and dialogue amongst themselves to keep abreast of developments in tournament bridge.
As the system progresses, he says, it is hoped that regular reports on the process will be published to educate players on how it works. "It's important for the players to understand how a decision is arrived at," he says.
The review system, he adds, is meant to assure that the TDs do "all they are meant to do" in arriving at a decision. It's a safeguard." He says the system won't be in place for the World Championships in Bali, but he expects the WBF to embrace the idea.
There has been some grumbling among players about the change, but Harris agrees with Endicott regarding the review system: "This is the future for rulings at the top levels of bridge."

- Brent Manley



## Laws Expert Reflects On Long Career

Grattan Endicott has been involved with the laws of bridge for so long he can't remember exactly when it started. He does recall, however, how he came to be a member of the World Bridge Federation Laws Committee.


Grattan Endicott
Endicott was in Sao Paulo, Brazil, in 1985 as non-playing captain of England's Venice Cup team. During the tournament, the team lodged an appeal. The late Edgar Kaplan - another venerable expert on the laws - was vicechairman of the committee.
Endicott had not met Kaplan before that, but he impressed the laws guru with his knowledge. Endicott recalls that there were 17 people involved with appeals at that tournament. "Kaplan told me," Endicott says, "that I knew more about the laws than two-thirds of them."
Before the tournament ended, Kaplan invited Endicott to join the Laws Committee. It was the start of a long association and many discussions. "We used to argue vigorously," Endicott says.
His formative years were spent in Rhyl, in northern Wales. He had ideas of college, but World War II interrupted those plans. Having learned Italian in private lessons, he served as an interpreter for the Royal Navy during the war. He is still fluent in Italian "with fairly good French."
After the war, he went to Liverpool, where he still lives, to find a job, landing a position in the personnel department of a large chemical company. He later went to work for the Littlewoods Football Pools as an expert in the techniques of gambling.
He retired from that job at age 65 , but was persuaded to become chief executive of a charity established by Littlewoods. He retired from that job 15 years later. He will be 90 years old in January.

Endicott learned bridge in 1950, rising to the level of Grand Master in England. "I was a pretty fair player," he says, "mainly in team events."
After joining the WBF Laws Committee, Endicott served as vice-chairman for about three years and is still secretary of the committee. He is planning to step down in favour of Laurie Kelso, a tournament director from Australia, in Bali, Indonesia, later this year.
Endicott's knowledge of the laws is so extensive that he knows the differences between the laws as they are applied by the American Contract Bridge League and the rest of the world.
"There is some overlap," he says, "but there are considerable differences."
Endicott was honored by the award of the Order of the British Empire in 1998 and is also a Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts.
After serving as secretary of the Laws Committee for decades, Endicott is now coordinator for the group. Even with reduced responsibilities, he will still be heavily involved with bridge.
For one thing, he is vice president of the English Bridge Union. He is also helping the European Bridge League develop the new process for reviewing decisions by tournament directors that began at this tournament (see facing page).
Whatever he does, Endicott's love for the laws will not diminish. "For a long time, it was the core of my existence," he says. "I'm still deeply interested and still willing to help wherever I can."

- Brent Manley


## Open Pairs Semi-Finals Session 2

By Barry Rigal

After one session Michel and Thomas Bessis were almost exactly in the middle of the field, with $50.23 \%$. I decided to follow the pair 'on the bubble' to see what happened Would I bring them luck - or would I exhibit the curse of Horton (who lasted just four deals before being turfed out by the pair he was watching)?

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

$$
\text { K } 7
$$

Q Q J 97
$\diamond 87$
\& Q 10642


2e. . 15+ or spades and clubs
I am not a diehard conservative and I can see the role of Gazilli, though I think it less clearly useful in a strong club base. But no one should pretend that it is a wholly unmixed blessing. Any time you lose your secondary fit, as here, you have to wonder whether you mightn't be better off


Michel Bessis


## Dominik Filipowicz

treating the South hand as a $2 \triangleleft$ rebid (planning $3 \triangleleft$ next) even if your partner will initially assume you have $10-14$ HCP ?
2. was an ugly spot on a club lead to the ten and jack. Declarer eventually emerged with four trump tricks, a ruff in dummy and three red tricks, but that was a $15 \%$ score for N/S.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
¢ 42
$\bigcirc$ A Q 9
$\diamond K$ Q

- J 109532

$\bigcirc 72$
$\diamond 86$
\& K 86

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis T. |  | Bessis M. |  |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| I $\diamond$ | 20 | $2 \diamond$ | 24 |
| Dbl | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

I'm not sure if West is really close to a $3 \bigcirc$ call over his partner's sign-off in $3 \diamond$. Thomas decided to give his partner some leeway and passed $3 \diamond$, on a hand where $4 \checkmark$ looks like the preferred contract. Mind you, the heart game could easily run into severe problems against a bad heart or diamond break. But today +I30 was worth $48 \%$ for E/W while +420 would have been an $81 \%$ result.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

$$
\text { AKQ4 } 3
$$

$$
\bigcirc 4
$$

$\diamond 1875$
\& 176

| - 5 | N | - 1862 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QJ 82 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 93$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 62 |  | $\checkmark$ A 1094 |
| \& AKQ983 | S | +42 |
|  | - 1097 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 10765 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 3 |  |
|  | -105 |  |


| West | North <br> Bessis T. | East <br> Frencken | Sessis M. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| South |  |  |  |
| Vandervort |  |  |  |

3 . .Hearts, invitational with spade fit.
The Bessis combination ran into a disastrous fix when South's jump to $3 \checkmark$ endplayed Thomas into a $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ call. North brooded for a while but he resisted the temptation to double (had he done so he might have made the defence easier for his partner. 4\% became the final contract and Frencken led his heart, to the three, queen and two. Vandervort switched to a spade, and North won the queen then played the $\Phi$ A. Now Bessis should surely have made his contract. With North having sole guard of spades and diamonds he simply draws trumps and leads the $\wp \mathrm{J}$. Even if South ducks, declarer plays another heart and regains the lead to run his trumps. Neither defender can shift to diamonds to break up the squeeze without surrendering their diamond trick.
In practice Bessis drew trumps and led the 8 J , ducked, but then mistimed the ending and went one down, receiving 7 MP instead of 58 MP out of 98 .

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

- A 94
- K Q 8762
$\diamond 105$
- 15


463


- J 852
© J 9
$\diamond$ K763
Q Q 7

| West | North | East <br> Bessis $M$. | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis T. |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 1 $\$$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

I'm sure all manly men would bid 3NT at their second turn. Wimps like me would bid 2NT and then hear their partner raise to game no doubt...but as you can see, $5 \diamond$ is where you need to be and a diamond partscore would still get you average.
When North led a low spade against 3NT it went to the jack and king. Declarer ducked a club and now South (who surely knows declarer's precise distribution) should shift to the 8 J ? When a spade came back Thomas had eight tricks, but only a $37 \%$ score.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- 1093
$\bigcirc$ AJ
$\diamond 109754$
* QJ 8

\& 102
$\diamond$ K 3
\& A 5

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis $T$. | Bilde | Bessis M. | Jepsen |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | Pass |
| Db | Rdbl | Pass | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 30 | 3s |

All Pass
Emil Jepsen judged well to pass 2d then not to make a game-try after his partner's enthusiastic redouble. The play in 3s might seem unremarkable after a not-unhelpful trump lead, but consider that on a heart lead declarer's entry position to dummy is somewhat awkward.


Thomas Bessis

Additionally, after a trump lead to the king and ace there is some temptation to play ace and another heart and try for an endplay one way or another. In practice Jepsen may have decided that Thomas Bessis had adopted the mantle of Garozzo, and had led low from j 6 to trick one, since he laid down the $\varphi \mathrm{Q}$ at trick two and was then reduced to playing for the $\diamond$ A onside. +140 and 54/98 MP.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- K Q 102

คK6
$\diamond$ K 82
2 J 743


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis $T$. | Bilde | Bessis M. | Jepsen |
| Pass | 12 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 \Phi$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

All Pass
Sitting behind South I wondered whether he was really supposed to bid game facing a hand that had shown four spades and a minimum. The lead of the $\vee 8$ convinced me that he wasn't! The defence took their hearts and played a third heart, declarer played on clubs, and eventually East was forced to lead diamonds for declarer. But that was still two down when trumps did not behave, and a $60 \%$ score for the defenders.



Frédéric Brunet
No one could seriously cavil at Michel's choice of a strong no-trump on the East cards...could they? Frédéric Brunet and Arnaud Ancessy defended efficiently here; Brunet led a heart to his partner's queen, and back came the low heart to allow South to win and play a third heart. Michel immediately misguessed clubs and South cashed his long heart and exited in clubs. Declarer had discarded a spade and diamond on the third and fourth heart, and though the run of the clubs persuaded Ancessy to come down to two spades and two diamonds he unblocked the spade king under the ace and declarer had only seven tricks, for a $15 \%$ result. Had Michel guessed clubs (not entirely unreasonable if he assumes that when South violates French protocol by leading from 8 A942 he rates to be precisely 4333) he would have managed a $71 \%$ result.

## Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

¢ 83

- J 5
$\triangleleft$ Q 763
* AJ763

4KQJ96
คA832
$\checkmark A J 2$

* Q

| N | - A 1054 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 974$ |
| W E | $\checkmark 105$ |
| S | -10952 |
| - 72 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 106 |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 984 |  |
| ¢ K 84 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis $T$. | Ancessy | Bessis M. | Brunet |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \varnothing$ | Pass | $2 \varsigma$ | All Pass |

Cui culpa for the missed game? Probably nobody, since 4 4 pretty much needs a diamond lead to have any chance to make. After the diamond lead Thomas scored $53 \%$ for +170 . Had Thomas explored with $3 \diamond$ over $2 \$$ he might have done significantly worse.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- J 9

ค 543
$\diamond A 7$

* A 98764


| West | North | East | South <br> Bessis T. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Berset | Bessis M. | Sveindal <br> Pass |  |
| Pass | Pass | INT | Dbl* |
| $2 \triangle$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 4}$ | All Pass |

Dbl .A minor and a four-card major
E/W finished up in 2 - where they would have played without the double. Sveindal led a club and the defenders took N A , club ruff, $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, a club ruffed with the king and ace, ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~K}$ and a diamond ruffed with the $\$ 10$ and m , then another diamond, now Michel settled for two down, discarding his last diamond. How many MPs would you guess that was worth/ answer: 5I/98 - since more pairs went three down than one down.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- Q 93
$\checkmark$ A Q 109
$\diamond 832$
\& 983
© 15

$2 \triangleleft$. One major
I thought Jon Sveindal did well not to save in 44 on this deal - I'm not convinced North ought to encourage his partner to act here. As it was, on a diamond lead declarer lost three trumps and an ace for down one, and 45/98 MP. 12 pairs did take the spade save, but there again 12 pairs went for 200 perhaps in $4 \bigcirc$ on a diamond lead. At session end the Bessis combo had dropped back into the pack, just out of the qualifying positions.


## The Repeating Squeeze <br> By Patrick Jourdain

Sabine Auken and Roy Welland are in contention for the Open Pairs and the next deal from the penultimate session of the Qualifier, modestly reported by their opponent, Pat Shields of Wales, assisted their score:

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

- J65 2
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q
$\diamond 1052$
\& 9543
$\& Q$
$\& J 10985$
$\diamond$ Q J

$$
\text { AK } 87
$$

| N | - AK 108 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 73$ |
| W E | $\checkmark$ A 986 |
| S | - Q 106 |
| - 9743 |  |
| - 642 |  |
| $\diamond 743$ |  |
| - J 2 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welland | Shields | Auken | Kurbalij |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 29 | Pass |
| 32 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | 4NT | All Pass |

Welland's 4^ was reported as a slam try with a singleton and Auken duly signed off in 4NT.
South led a diamond. Auken cashed three rounds of the suit, unblocked the queen of spades, came to hand with the club queen and cashed the last diamond. South and West threw hearts but North had a problem.
A club discard allows declarer four tricks in the suit, and a heart discard allows declarer to set up an IIth trick in the suit so North decided to rely on his partner for the ten of spades, and threw a small spade.
This proved an error because three rounds of spades later, North had no answer to the ten of spades. Dummy still had three clubs and was down to a singleton heart. Again, North hung onto the clubs and discarded a heart honour.
Auken then cashed the ace and ten of clubs and exited with a heart. If South played low he knew North would have to concede a trick to dummy's king of clubs so he nobly executed the crocodile coup by rising with the ace. And now the 13th trick consisted of South conceding the six of hearts to East's master seven.
Auken had made 12 tricks. After the opening lead the only defence for North to hold her to II is to throw a heart or a club on the last diamond.


## Roaring Back

By Brent Manley

Sabine Auken and Roy Welland were in fourth place after the qualifying rounds of the Open Pairs, but they had a bad game in the first semi-final set, dropping to 33rd. They moved up to 20th after the second set, then had a huge game in the third set to get right back into contention.
They started off with a good board when Welland made an inspired pass against Alfredo Versace (Italy) and Mustafa Cem Tokay (Turkey).

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Auken's bidding showed hearts or a strong balanced hand (20-2I or $25+$ ). Welland thought the bidding was high enough at $2 \vee$, and he was correct. Tokay led the 2 K , ducked by Auken. Tokay switched to a diamond, taken by Versace with the king, to shift to a spade. Auken won the sA and played the $\vee \mathbf{A}$, then the to Tokay's king, he played


Mustafa Cem Tokay


## Sabine Auken

a third spade, ruffed by Auken, who then played the $\oslash \mathbf{Q}$ to Versace. She won the club return with the ace, cashed the 8 J and played the $\diamond$ J to Versace's ace. Plus 110 was good for $82.65 \%$ of the matchpoints.
They did not score well on the second deal, taking only $33.67 \%$ for letting Tokay make an overtrick in 3NT, but it was one of only two poor results for them in the 10 -board set.
Auken and Welland were back in form on the next round, scoring 175.9 out of 196 matchpoints against the Polish duo of Jacek Grzelczak and Ryszard Jaskiewicz.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | - AKJ 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J10853 |  |
|  | \& K 10 |  |
| ¢ 3 | N | ¢ 109752 |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q J 09764 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 85 |
| $\diamond 7$ |  | $\diamond$ K 6 |
| \& 854 | S | 9 J 76 |
|  | , Q 4 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 942 |  |
|  | \& A Q 932 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jaskiewicz | Auken | Grzelczak | Welland |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 38 | 34 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | 5NT |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Grzelczak, East, had reason to regret his failure to double Auken's $4 『$. Instead of starting with the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, Jaskiewicz led his singleton spade. With only 14 of the 100 pairs bidding the cold slam, East-West were always getting a bad board, but a heart lead would at least have saved a few matchpoints. Minus 1390 earned them just 4.10 MPs for 93.90\% to North-South.

The next board was an improvement for the Poles, but it was still a bad board.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - A 75 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PA873 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ85 |  |
|  | - 5 |  |
| - K Q 1083 | N | - 92 |
| $\bigcirc 42$ |  | $\bigcirc$ K 1095 |
| $\diamond 6$ | W E | $\diamond 10974$ |
| - AK964 | S | -187 |
|  | - J64 |  |
|  | Q QJ 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 32 |  |
|  | - Q 1032 |  |


| West | North <br> Auken | East | South <br> Welland |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | Dbl | Pass | INT |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{s}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Even holding this contract to eight tricks would have left East-West with only 22 of 98 matchpoints, but they allowed two overtricks and scored just 16 MPs.
West started with the $\uparrow K$, ducked all around. Apparently not reading his partner's signal, West continued the suit. Welland won with the jack, and ran the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ to East's king.


Roy Welland

The el was returned to the queen and king, followed, reasonably enough, by a low club to Welland's IO. Welland took two spades, one club, two hearts and five diamonds for 10 tricks and plus 180 , good for $83.67 \%$.
On the next round, Auken and Welland scored a couple of plus 200s against Folliero de Luna and Benoit Ribault of France for $89 \%$ of the matchpoints.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- AK 84
$\vee$ Q J 6
$\diamond 103$
\& Q 972
4 1063
$\checkmark 8543$
$\diamond$ K 82
2 1063

$\checkmark$ AK 109
$\diamond A$ Q 964
\& K 5
- 19752
$\bigcirc 72$
$\diamond 75$
\& AJ 84

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ribault | Auken |
|  | $10^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \Phi$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |


| East | South |
| :--- | :---: |
| Folliero de Luna Welland |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | $\mathbf{2} \nabla^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{4} \varnothing$ | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |

19. Balanced hand, 2+ clubs, possibly five cards in another suit.
$2 \checkmark$ Five spades, four clubs, 5-10 high-card points or a gameforcing hand.
Had East or West simply doubled 44, they would have scored at least plus 100 for $75.59 \%$. Ribault's $5 \triangleleft$ was illadvised with his weak, flat hand, even with his partner bidding so strongly. De Luna could not avoid losing four tricks and most of the matchpoints.
On the next deal, it looked as though he was trying to make up for the first board.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.


- K Q 986
$\diamond$ KJIO 73
- J 8


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ribault | Auken | Folliero de Luna Welland |  |
|  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dbl | $2 \boldsymbol{3}$ | 3 |
| 4 | Pass | 6 | All Pass |

West's 4s mave shown a hand with spade support but no controls, making the leap to slam a poor gamble.
Auken's double of $2 \triangleleft$ showed hearts or spades. Had dummy's queen been in clubs instead of diamonds, de Luna might have had a shot. As it was, there was no chance for even II tricks. Another plus 200 helped North-South to 175 out of 196 matchpoints.
On the last round, Auken and Welland faced Benito Garozzo and Romain Zaleski, who had dropped into the game from the Open Teams.
Auken-Welland earned 61.22\% on the first board of the round for making, but they did not score well on the last board of the session.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

| Q Q <br> PAJ76 <br> $\diamond$ K 854 <br> - KQ65 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AJ8542 | N |  |  |
| 8 K 103 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 732$ | W | E | Q 1096 |
| - 3 | S |  |  |
|  | ¢ K 106 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 85 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J |  |  |
|  | 2 J 109742 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zaleski | Auken | Garozzo | Welland |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 1980 | $1 \diamond$ | 1** |
| Db | 20 | Pass | 30 |
| $3 \diamond$ | Dы | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| 14. . No Major |  |  |  |

Garozzo, East, would have made $3 \triangleleft$ doubled for nearly all the matchpoints, so Welland's pull to $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ was necessary to avoid disaster.
Garozzo led the $\Phi 7$ to the 6 , ace and queen, Zaleski exiting with the $\diamond 7$. Garozzo won the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and got out with a spade. Auken inserted the 10, covered by Zaleski and ruffed by Auken. There was no way to avoid losing a trick in each suit, so the contract was one off. Minus 50 was worth only $27.55 \%$, one of two blemishes on an otherwise sparkling game.


## Trump Happy <br> By Brent Manley

This deal was played in the Thursday Side Pairs, where Waseem Naqvi (England) and Lee Rosenthal (Israel), showed how to maximize their trump holdings.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 19
$\bigcirc 543$
$\diamond A 7$
\& A 98764
, 108654
$\checkmark \mathrm{K} Q$
$\diamond 52$
5 J 1032

| N | ¢ K Q 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A976 |
| W E | $\diamond$ Q 843 |
| S | \& K Q |
| - A 72 |  |
| - J 1082 |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ 1096 |  |
| 2 5 |  |


| West | North <br> Rosenthal | East | South <br> Naqvi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass <br> 39 | 30 | Dbl | Pass <br> Pass |

Rosenthal started with the $\diamond A$, continuing with a diamond to Naqvi's jack. Naqvi switched to his singleton club, then took a club ruff to play back a diamond. West ruffed with the $£ 8$, overruffed by Rosenthal with the 9. A third round of clubs was ruffed with the $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathrm{K}$ and overruffed by Naqvi with the ace.
Naqvi played another diamond, ruffed by the 10 , which was overruffed by the jack. Now a fourth round of clubs promoted Naqvi's $\mathbf{2 7}$. The defense was finally out of ammunition, but they had made all five of their trumps for plus 400 and all the matchpoints.


# Card Play Technique (OrThe Art Of Being Lucky) 

By Mark Horton

Once you have the basic hang of the game, then to get better, you have to learn technique. In bridge, as in photography or rock climbing, there are basic techniques, and you will never get anywhere unless you know them and can apply them. The technique also gives you the framework and vocabulary to enable you to understand what the experts are talking about. Learning means work, but only geniuses can work all these things out for themselves without the benefit of teaching material.
Some years ago a survey of experts in the now defunct European Bridge magazine made it clear that the way to improve is to play against the best possible opponents and to read as much as possible.
By complete coincidence my title corresponds to that of one of the classic books on card play, recently re issued as a new edition by Master Point Press.
Having a few spare moments yesterday I went to watch a few boards in the final of the Women's Pairs. I was left wondering which books the player's might have studied?
(I have refrained from mentioning any names, for reasons which may become clear.)

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


I $\diamond$. Polish Club
South led the ace of hearts and continued with the queen. Declarer ruffed, cashed the ace of spades and played the ten of clubs. South was kind enough to cover that, and declarer won with dummy's ace.
At this point, one option for declarer is to play a diamond to the ten. If South wins (ducking is better) and forces declarer with a heart it is easy enough to make ten tricks by exploiting the favourable position in both minors.
Perhaps best is to play a club to the nine. When that holds declarer cashes the king of spades then plays the king of
clubs. It does not help South to ruff, so declarer continues with the fourth club, ruffing in dummy, and plays a diamond to the ten. South can win and cash the queen of spades, but declarer will ruff the heart exit and lay down the king of diamonds for +170 .
However, declarer decided to run the jack of spades and South won with the queen and made the strange return of a club. (A heart is obvious and a spade is also good enough.)
Declarer took North's queen with the king, and played the nine. South ruffed and played a heart and declarer ruffed, ruffed a club and played a diamond.
I can promise you that she knew the South hand was 3-4-4-2, so the only chance to make the contract was to play the ten, hoping to find North with $\diamond \mathbf{Q} 4$.
However, she played the king, and was two down, -200 and a dreadful result.
The deal that followed has become one of the most discussed of the Championships:

| Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10964 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 42 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 5$ |  |  |  |
| - 10873 |  |  |  |
| - A 52 |  |  | - 8 |
| QQJ8 W - ¢6 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AK W E $\quad$ WQ1987643 |  |  |  |
| \& A9654 | S |  | \& QJ2 |
|  | - K Q J 73 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 109753$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 102$ |  |  |
|  | +K |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| 19** | Pass | 1 ** $^{\text {c }}$ | 14 |
| INT | $3{ }^{*}$ | 5 | All Pass |
| 1\%..Polish $1 \diamond$. Negative <br> $3 \triangleleft$. Splinter |  |  |  |

Defending against a strong club it can be useful to have some sort of defensive methods. The simplest is to use double to show the majors and INT the minors, but you can be more complicated if you wish. Where the club is multi meaning you have to decide if you wish to treat it as strong.
Here N/S had no special agreements in place so South simply bid her stronger suit.
Defending $5 \triangleleft$ South led the king of spades and declarer won, cashed dummy's top diamonds and played a low heart. North went in with the king and switched to the three of clubs. After some thought declarer played the queen and took South's king with the ace.

She had to lose a club at the end, for +600 .
Declarer might have played the low heart from dummy at trick two. Then there would have been time to establish a heart via the ruffing finesse (and the entries to use it).
As the play went North did well to switch to a club, but declarer's play makes no sense - the only hope of avoiding a club loser is to play South for the singleton king.
Notice that N/S have a cheap save in 54, but that probably requires knowledge of the double fit.
Suppose the bidding takes a different course, say lp*-
 double promises a strong hand.
Should East pass or bid $5 \diamond$ ?
Would it matter if there had been a break in tempo?
Personally it would not occur to me to pass with this hand, but I do know the deal was subject to at least one review.

## Winlke, Winlkle, Little Star <br> By Jozef Harsanyi

Sabine Auken (playing with Roy Welland) declared 3NT here in round nine of the Open Pairs qualification.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

- 1652
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q
$\diamond 1052$
-9543

| Q Q | N | - AK 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - J 10985 |  | $\bigcirc 73$ |
| $\diamond$ K ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | W E | $\checkmark$ A 986 |
| - AK 87 | S | Q $\mathrm{Q}^{106}$ |
|  | - 9743 |  |
|  | - A642 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 743$ |  |
|  | \& 12 |  |



After cashing three diamonds, the club ace, and spade queen, Sabine came to hand with the club queen, dropping South's jack, then took the diamond ace. In an effort to hold declarer to ten tricks, North discarded a spade, playing his partner for the spade ten. That was a mistake; declarer now took her three spade winners to produce this ending as the spade ten was led:


South followed suit, dummy discarded a heart, and North was forced to pitch a heart honor. Now Auken took her club winner and exited with a low heart. if North won his heart king he would be forced to act as a stepping-stone and give dummy trick 13. If South crashed his partner's heart winner he would have to give declarer trick 13 with the heart seven!
Just for the record; after the diamond lead declarer can play legitimately for 12 tricks (relying on the $\diamond I 0$ to fall). She takes the club ace and queen, then unblocks the 210 , crosses to the Q Q and takes the club winner, discarding a heart from hand. Now she runs the diamonds, overtaking the third round and forcing the discard of a heart honour from North. This is the new position:


The effect of the play of the heart seven is to produce a new and different winkle!

## Open Pairs Semifinal Session 5

By Jos Jacobs

For the final session of the semis, I sat down at the tables where the current leaders, Slemr and Vozabal from the Czech Republic, were playing.
Board I3 was the first board that drew my attention:
Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.
4632
$\bigcirc 432$
$\diamond$ K 94
\& 7542

© AJ 84
คQJ95
A 2

- AK 3
- K 75
$\bigcirc$ K 7
$\diamond \mathrm{Q} 108653$
\& Q J

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slemr | Pachtman | Vozabal | Ginossar |
|  | Pass | $1 \$$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Dbl | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

North led the $\triangleleft K$, won by dummy's ace. Declarer drew three rounds of trumps, finessing the king successfully and then took the losing spade finesse. Ginossar won the king, cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and exited with the ${ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{J}}$. Dummy's ace won and now, declarer cashed two more rounds of spades, ending in hand. By now, he has seen three spades, two hearts and at least six diamonds in South, so there would be room for one or two clubs.


Eldad Ginossar


Jakub Slemr
But wait a moment! North raised to $3 \triangleleft$ so wouldn't he, in spite of his lead of the $\diamond$ K, hold three diamonds? In that case, South would hold exactly two clubs which have to be the QJ bare if the return of the was to make any sense at all. South had a safe spade exit at his disposal when he returned the j .
So there is much to be said for not taking the club finesse but playing for the drop instead.
It was a close call as 17 pairs, among them our East-West pair, scored +620 and $21 \%$ whereas 21 pairs managed to make the overtrick for a $61 \%$ score. Well done by Ginossar to at least give declarer a plausible losing option.
On the next board, the Czechs posed their opponents a difficult problem:

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
$1-$
81094
AK 643
\& A 10987

$\underset{\text { Slemr }}{\text { West }}$
4.

| North | East |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pachtman | Vozabal |
|  | IS |
| Dbl | All Pass |

South
Ginossar Pass

All Pass

What should South do over partner's double? On a heart lead, you make a slam when the diamonds behave but you would go down in game when they don't. With both minors breaking 3-I, 4 would actually be a make.All of this argues strongly for bidding - doesn't it? Sitting the double, as Ginossar did, was worth only $27 \%$ to the Israelis. Bidding game would have brought them $75 \%$.
On the next two boards, we saw some red cards and, it has to be admitted, some blood as well.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- 98
$\checkmark 8754$
$\diamond 876$
\& Q J 106


A short auction and also a popular one - from the E/W perspective, if not from that of $N / S$. The contract went down the obvious four tricks (a club, two hearts, two diamonds, a ruff and three trump tricks) but going for 1100 still brought the 17 North-South pairs involved (!) $31 \%$ each. After a heart lead I suppose East might find the diamond shift, which lets the defenders untangle their diamond winners then take the heart ruff and the club ace with three trump winners still to come, for down 1400 and a $91 \%$ score. Those E/W pairs who bid to a slam in the face of discreet preempters in the South seat thus had to be content with an average score only.
On the next boards, the French got their revenge:
Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Slemr | Oursel | Vozabal | Schmidt |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | I |
| IS | Pass | Pass | Dbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When West ventured a light overcall with his chunky intermediates and nice 5-4-3-I shape, the French were quick to pull the trigger. Down two, but +500 was going to beat all the non-vulnerable games. This time, the overcall was less popular (only eight times) so the French could register a $85 \%$ score on this one.
The last board of the set was funny. How often did it occur to you, dear reader, that you are looking at §AKQ7643 and hear your partner open I $\int$ ? At our 50 tables in play, slam was bid only 31 times and no less than I3 tables stayed out of it.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | , Q 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QJ9852 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 63 |  |
|  | \% A 8 |  |
| 4 A 972 | N | ¢ KJIO 863 |
| $\bigcirc 10$ | W | $\bigcirc-$ |
| $\diamond$ K J 9 |  | $\diamond 10874$ |
| \% 109542 | S | \& Q J 7 |
|  | ¢ 5 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK | 3 |
|  | $\checkmark 52$ |  |
|  | \& K 63 |  |

Actually, those who resisted the temptation to bid the slam were basically right in theory, as it depends mainly on the diamond finesse. The small outside chance of an initial ruff then means it is less than $50 \%$.
Somewhat to my surprise, no E/W pair saved in 64, against which the defenders can take 1700 by way of the club and diamond ruffs (though I'm informed of at least one table in the women's event where after a diamond lead from South, North thought it might be a good idea to cash her side's heart trick next...)
If anyone can beat my personal record of trump support, when I held eKQ9xxxxxx and heard partner open lo $(3+)$, please refer to the Bulletin Office on the 3rd floor.

## 6th Open EC, Ostend (B.) 15-29 June 2013
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## Open Pairs Finals Session I

By Barry Rigal

For session one it seemed better to spread the coverage to the maximum, rather than focusing on one pair. To start with we would look at Zia-Jansma against Fredin-Fallenius:

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad J 754 \\
& \text { SK2 } \\
& \diamond K 876 \\
& \text { \& } 42
\end{aligned}
$$



| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fallenius | Jansma | Fredin | Zia |
|  | $I \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $3 N T$ |

## All Pass

With the field likely to play 4s and make 420 (or 450 on some good guesswork) Jansma needed to make 430. If he made fewer than ten tricks he rated to get a zero whether he made his contract precisely or went down in 3NT.
Fredin led a club intermediate, and Jansma overcame the first critical hurdle when the club queen held. Now he advanced the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and Fredin let it hold. Jansma played a second diamond, and Fallenius covered it so Fredin took his ace, played the club king, ducked all round. Now Jansma received a heart switch, and Fallenius ducked dummy's


Per-Ola Cullin


Peter Fredin
eight. So Jansma knew to cash off his diamond club and heart winners and lead a spade to the ten for +430 and 40/44 MP.
The Swedes got their revenge on the second deal - but this time their opponents had some control over their destiny.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
\& A 32
$\checkmark$ K 106
$\diamond A 932$
\& 72
, K 107

- J 2
$\diamond$ K 1085
\& Q J 98

- 19865

ค9753
$\diamond$ Q 64
\& 10

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fallenius | Jansma | Fredin | Zia |
|  | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $\mathbf{2} \diamond(\curlyvee)$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

What would be your choice of opening salvo as North? l'm sure you could make a good case for a passive club, but 'Real men don't lead passive'. Jansma led a small diamond and declarer captured the queen with his king and ran the $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$, covered all round. Now when Fallenius led the Q from dummy Jansma ducked after some thought, and also ducked the $\diamond A$ - his last chance to win and play a second
heart to set up his partner's 89 . Fallenius now reverted to spades, leading to his ten, and had two tricks in each sidesuit and five clubs for +460 and 4 I/44 MP. Declarer had done his best but the defenders had certainly had a decent chance to hold declarer to 430 and gain an extra 17 MP in the process.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

|  | - K 92 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 9 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1086$ |  |
|  | * AK985 |  |
| - 7643 | N | - A 85 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10$ |  | QJ8752 |
| $\triangleleft$ K 752 |  | $\checkmark$ AJ 94 |
| - 1063 | S | - 7 |
|  | - QJ 10 |  |
|  | QQ643 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 3 |  |
|  | - QJ 42 |  |

Our esteemed editor believes that matchpoints and bridge should not be used in the same sentence, and deals like this perhaps support this assessment.

| West <br> Hallberg | North <br> Cima | East <br> Cullin | South <br> Giubilo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | INT | $2 \diamond$ | Dass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Dbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Giubilo had to protect his side's equity since he could reasonably assume that N/S could make $2 N T$ or some other partscore. He steered clear of the fatal trump lead, selecting his club sequence, and continuing the suit as Cullin discarded spades on the second and third rounds of the suit. Cima shifted to spades now. Cullin won his $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, led a heart to the ten, ruffed the next spade and crossed to the $\bigcirc K$, observing the fall of the 89 . Now he still had slim chances if the diamond finesse succeeded. When it lost, the defenders could tap him out with another spade, and he was down 500, for all the MP to N/S.
Yet again, the pair who had scored the matchpoints on the first deal gave it back on the second when Giubilo in fourth chair heard ls on his right and passed holding:

- AJ 102
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 5
$\diamond$ Q 52
\& 1074
I thought they called them Italian take-out doubles for a reason - and it might well have worked to push E/W up a level. I\& made +70 while N/S can make $1 \diamond$ or INT.


Andrei Gromov
On to our next pair: Medvedev-Cherny did extremely well here, I think, after the opening lead:

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- A 1053
- 1087
$\diamond K 92$
- 1084

| - 74 | N | - K Q 982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q J 3 |  | $\bigcirc 4$ |
| $\checkmark 64$ |  | $\checkmark$ AJ 10853 |
| * AKQ 76 | S | - 5 |
|  | - J6 |  |
|  | PA9652 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 7 |  |
|  | - 9932 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dubinin | Medvedev | Gromov <br> Cherny |  |
|  |  | 14 | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 N}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

A spade lead might have left declarer struggling for entries to dummy. But Medvedev led the $\vee 8$; second from a bad suit. Cherny ducked and declarer won the queen and tried a diamond to the ten and queen. Cherny now found the thoughtful shift to a low club. Dubinin was in hand for the last time, and elected to cash off his clubs then run the diamonds via the repeated finesse. That got him to nine tricks, but the defenders had a spade heart and club for the last three tricks, and 27/44 MP.
On the next round Mustafa Cem Tokay earned his good result; playing with Alfredo Versace he heard his partner open 2NT and holding:

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul. - 6
$\checkmark$ Q 74
$\diamond 10976543$
46

- Q 105
$\bigcirc 9652$
K J
Q J 103


AJ943
PAK 10
$\diamond$ Q 2
\& $A 2$
He used Stayman and over the 3 response jumped to $5 \diamond$. For some (most?) of us that would be a splinter in support of spades but for him it was natural. He bought a hand with all the side aces and the rounded-suit kings but only $\diamond$ Qx. Not to worry, diamonds were 2-2 and 3NT no play on West's natural club lead. +600 was worth 39/44 MP, and Versace added a second good board on the next hand, where he and his partner were one of the six pairs in the field to go plus, in their 6-2 minor fit, splitting 3-2, while avoiding the 6-I major-suit splitting 6-0. That helped move them up the table to fourth place after the first session.

## Press Conference

Yesterday's Press Conference was hosted by Yves Aubry the President of the EBL, Marc De Pauw, President of the Royal Belgium Bridge Federation, David Harris, EBL Treasurer and Geert Magerman, Public Relations Officer.
Geert Magerman reported that there had been excellent coverage of the Championships in both the National press and on the radio.
The EBL President reported a $6 \%$ increase in the number of teams from 2011 and although entries from some of the larger countries had been smaller than anticipated, the overall numbers had been very good.
He commented on the abolition of the Appeals procedure and reported on the current developments with regard to upcoming Championships. Madrid has until I July to sign a contract for the 2014 Championships, otherwise Poland or Croatia are possible venues.
The EBL Treasurer confirmed that from a financial point of view the Championships had achieved a positive result.
There were a number of questions from the floor, concerning such issues as the length of the team competitions, the composition of the Women Pairs Final and the number of people downloading the Daily Bulletin. All these issues will be addressed after the Championships, but the number of visitors to the web site is known to be in the hundreds of thousands.

# Living On The Edge <br> By Barry Rigal 

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- AJ
$\triangle$ AQJ 2
$\diamond$ QJ 82
- AJ2

| @ Q 872 | N | - K 1096 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K984 |  | $\bigcirc$ - |
| $\checkmark$ AK | W E | $\checkmark 6543$ |
| 2 1064 | S | \& K Q 973 |
|  | - 543 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 107653$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1097$ |  |
|  | ¢ 85 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doron Yadin |  | Israel Yadlin |  |
|  |  | 10* | INT* |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | Pass | Dы |



The Yadlin brothers won the MP Pairs on Thursday.
Israel Yadlin's decision to reopen with a double fooled his partner into thinking he would be facing more defence than he was. Doron led out the two top diamonds, and shifted to a club. Declarer tried the ex then optimistically played $\vee A$ to get the bad news. Now declarer played a second trump and Doron won to shift to clubs for the ruff.
At this point the defenders had taken five tricks and declarer's spade loser was inevitable. Down one for all the matchpoints instead of a round zero!
If declarer had simply ducked the club at trick three and permitted the defence to take a diamond ruff, South can arrange to take a club ruff to hand and play trumps for no loser. No defence looks to be any better: if East wins the club and returns a diamond,West may do better to discard a club, preventing declarer from coming back to hand with a club ruff since West can now overruff. But declarer can simply draw trumps and hold East to one trump trick.

## OPEN PAIRS

## FINAL, DAY I/2

## Pair

KVANGRAVEN Nils Kare - LIE Terje GINOSSAR Eldad - PACHTMAN Ron AUKEN Sabine -WELLAND Roy 4 JANSMA Jan - MAHMOOD Zia

5 CARROLL John - HANLON Tom 6 BERG Erik - SIMONSEN Steffen Fredrik 7 BAKKEREN Frank - BAKKEREN Ton DUBININ Alexander - GROMOV Andrey KALITA Jacek - NOWOSADZKI Michal OURSEL Christophe - SCHMIDT Pierre NABIL Karim - SADEK Tarek

HOMONNAY Geza - WINKLER Gabor BOCKEN Patrick - NEVE Olivier KLUKOWSKI Michal - ZATORSKI Piotr BAKKE Tor - HOYLAND Sven Olai SLEMR Jakub -VOZABAL David LANZAROTTI Massimo - MANNO Andrea FRIEDLANDER Ehud - LIRAN Inon 9 RIEHM Franck - DUGUET Michel CIMA Leonardo - GIUBILOValerio

21 PIEKAREK Josef - SMIRNOV Alexander 22 MARINOVSKI Kiril - ZORIC Vedran 23 AUSTBERG Per Erik - BERG Jan Tore 24 GAWEL Wojciech - JAGNIEWSKI Rafal 25 MULTON Franck - ZIMMERMANN Pierre 26 FALLENIUS Bjorn - FREDIN Peter 27 ERCAN Sehmus - PEYRET Hakan 28 KARLBERG S. G. - THOMASSEN K.-O. 29 NIKOLCHEV K. - TRENDAFILOV Roumen

30 KANDEMIR Ismail - KOLATA Suleyman
3I SAPORTA Pierre -VOLDOIRE Jean-Michel
32 MIHAI Geta - MIHAI Radu
33 JASSEM Krzysztof - MAZURKIEWICZ Jan
34 WACKWITZ Ernst -WESTERBEEK Chris
35 CHERNY Mikjail - MEDVEDEV Oleg
36 CULLIN Per-Ola - HALLBERG Gunnar
37 MELMAN Victor - ZELIGMAN Shalom
38 SVENDSEN Odin -TONDEL Petter
39 TOKAY Mustafa Cem -VERSACE Alfredo
40 JASKIEWICZ Ryszard - GRZELCZAK Jacek
4I BURN David - SANDQVIST Nicklas
42 DEBUS Eric -VAN MIDDELEM Guy
43 BAUMANN Karl Christian - BREKKA Geir 44 MILASZEWSKI Miroslaw - SZENBERG Stefa 45 CLEEFF Jan van -VAN DER HORST J. Petrus 46 DE MENDEZT. - MICHAUD-LARIVIERE X.

Country \%
NOR - NOR 57.32
ISR - ISR 56.73
GER - USA 55.43
NED - USA 55.32
IRL - IRL 54.60
NOR - NOR 54.28
NED - NED 54.18
RUS - RUS 53.95
POL-POL 53.94
FRA - FRA 53.78
EGY - EGY 53.73
HUN - HUN 53.27
BEL - BEL 53.14
POL-POL 53.II
NOR - NOR 53.08
CZE - CZE 52.86
ITA - ITA 52.75
ISR - ISR 51.49
FRA - FRA 51.39
ITA - ITA 51.32
GER - GER 51.19
BUL - CRO 50.46
NOR - NOR 50.23
POL - POL 50.12
MON - MON 49.87
SWE - SWE 49.54
TUR - TUR 49.28
NOR - NOR 49.16 BUL - BUL 49.15

TUR - TUR 48.89
FRA - FRA 48.04
ROM - ROM 47.69
POL - POL 46.86
NED - NED 46.80
ISR - ISR 46.33
SWE - ENG 46.26
USA - ISR 46.16
NOR - NOR 46.06
TUR - ITA 45.95
POL - POL 45.45
ENG - ENG 44.7I
BEL - BEL 44.34
NOR - NOR 43.86
POL - POL 43.79
NED - ARU 41.92
SUI - MON 41.62

## 73rd International Bridge Tournament St Moritz

Jan. 13-24, 2014


Organisation:
Helga Fabbricotti and Karin Deilmann
Director:
Frans Lejeune

## Welcome Pairs:

January 13/14, Hotel Palace
Mixed Pairs:
January 15/16, Hotel Kulm
Open Pairs:
January 17/18/19, Hotel Palace
Teams:
January 20/21/22, Hotel Suvretta

## Inscription:

Sfr. 30.- per session
Sessions begin daily at 3.30 p.m. except on last day of Open Pairs at 1.00 p.m.

Contact:
www.bridge-stmoritz.ch
Helga Fabbricotti
Tel. 0041/44/381 7022 or 0041/79/455 4607
Karin Deilmann
Tel. 0041/79/ 70004 52, karin@deilmann.ch

We can offer excellent bridge rates in all hotel categories.

## WOMEN PAIRS

## AFTER 10 ROUNDS

30 DAUVERGNE Sophie－HUGON Elisabeth
3I DOBBELS Tine－TOUTENEL Els
32 COOPER Jenny－McGREGOR Moira
33 AGRILLO Cinzia－MOFAHKAMI Shalh
34 GILLILAND Dolores－WHELAN Maria 35 ADIGUZEL Canan－UYANIK Guler
36 BABAC Mine－GUMRUKCUOGLU Lale
37 KENNY Joan－KIRBY Brid
38 PATTINSON Maureen－RIGNEY Teresa
39 BEARPARK Catherine－KEMPLE Brid

## Pair

I D＇OVIDIO Catherine－SEAMON Janice
FAIVRE Corinne－MAGIS Isabelle
3 SMEDEREVAC Jovanka－WEIGKRICHT Terry AUT－AUT 55．8I
4 MICHIELSEN Marion－WORTEL Meike
5 MADSEN Christina Lund－YAVAS Dilek 6 NEVE Joanna－ROSSARD Martine
7 BESSIS Veronique－PUILLET Carole
8 CRONIER Benedicte－WILLARD Sylvie 9 ARNOLDS Carla－VRIEND Bep 10 PASKALEVA Stefka－RIBARSKA Mariana
II LUESSMANN Claudia－SMYKALLA Gisela
12 KAZMUCHA Danuta－ZMUDA Justyna
13 SEALE Catherine－SENIOR Nevena
14 LIUYan－YAN Ru
BROCK Sally－SINCLAIR Anita 6 CHEDIAK Virginia－OIGARDEN Bodil N．
7 PECCOUD Janine Elise－SAPORTA Renata 8 FENG Xuefeng－ZHANG Yu
GU Ling－SHEN（2）Qi
20 MALKOVA Marina－SOSHNIKOVA Maria
Country
FRA－USA 57.24
FRA－FRA 56.32

NED－NED 55.00
DEN－TUR 54.85
FRA－FRA 54.40
FRA－FRA 53.93
FRA－FRA 53.44
NED－NED 53.12
BUL－BUL 53.10
GER－GER 52.85 POL－POL 52.55 ENG－ENG 52.46 CHN－CHN 51.84 ENG－ENG 51.82 NOR－NOR 51.42 FRA－FRA 51.18 CHN－CHN 51.03 CHN－CHN 50.95 RUS－RUS 50.57 POL－POL 50.36
BANASZKIEWICZ Ewa－BREWIAK Grazyna POL－POL 50．1I BROGELAND Tonje Aasand－GLAERUM L．NOR－NOR 50.05 BAKER Lynn－McCALLUM Karen USA－USA 50.04 LANGER Darina－NIKITINE Ruth SUI－SUI 49.82 GER－GER 49.73
HENGEVELD Rosalind -VLIEGENTHART M. NED - NED 49.64
ENCONTRO Mylene -VIKSTROM Ann-Katrin PHI - SWE 49.52
USA - USA 49.45
FRA - FRA 48.98
BEL - BEL 48.88
SCO-SCO 48.82
ITA - ITA 48.57
IRL - IRL 47.76
TUR -TUR 47.22
TUR - TUR 47.05
IRL - IRL 47.05
IRL - IRL 46.72
IRL - IRL 45.58
40 BARBE Elisabeth -THOMPSON-VINCENT K. SUI - SUI 45.45
4I ELBRO Helle Simon - RISOM Karin Strande DEN - DEN 45.18
42 HELNESS Gunn - MIRKOVIC Ann-Mari NOR - NOR 44.87
43 CHAPELLE Ann-DAENEN Marleem BEL - BEL 44.83
44 BIRD Sinead - O'CONNOR Sarah ENG - ENG 44.05
45 GAMBERUCCI Maria C. - SORRENTINO M. ITA - ITA 43.67
46 DELESENNE Sophie - KUNKERA Nathalie R. BEL - BEL 42.86

## SENIORS PAIRS

QUALIFICATION DAY 2／2

| Pair | Country | \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SELLDEN Goran－WenNeberg Bjorn | SWE－SWE | 59.25 |
| 2 CABAJ Stephan－ILNICKI Wlodzimierz | SUI－POL | 58.45 |
| 3 BERGHEIMER Serge－FOUASSIER Jean－Cla | FRA－FRA | 57.14 |
| ELINESCU Michael－WLADOW Entscho | GER－GER | 56.10 |
| 5 MACIOR Zbigniew－MICHALEK Jerzy | POL－POL | 55.82 |
| 6 FITZGIBBON Nicholas－MESBUR Adam | IRL－IRL | 55.06 |
| 7 GRENTHE Patrick－VANHOUTTE Philippe | FRA－FRA | 54.65 |
| 8 CZYZOWICZ Jurek－KOWALSKI Apolinary | CAN－POL | 53.98 |
| 9 LEENHARDT Francois－PIGANEAU Patrice | FRA－FRA | 53.61 |
| 10 BIGDELI Faramarz－WOJEWODA Edward | BEL－USA | 53.58 |
| 11 HACKETT Paul D－HOLLAND John | ENG－ENG | 53.54 |
| 12 JEZIORO Aleksander－RUSSYAN Jerzy | POL－POL | 53.27 |
| 13 MARI Christian－WALTER Stanley | FRA－SUI | 53.26 |
| 14 BARONI Franco－CESATI Alberto | ITA－ITA | 53.20 |
| 15 KRATZ Ulrich－STRATER Bernhard | GER－GER | 52.46 |
| 16 LIGGAT David－McGOWAN Elizabeth（Liz） | SCO－SCO | 52.22 |
| 17 COUPERE Alexandre－LUMBROSO Claude | FRA－FRA | 51.69 |
| 18 MARILL Philippe－STOPPA Jean－Louis | FRA－FRA | 51.63 |
| 19 CHAUDHURI Amit－MANGSET Dag | ESP－NOR | 51.59 |
| 20 PENFOLD Sandra－SENIOR Brian | ENG－ENG | 51.24 |
| 21 GERGOV Georgi－RADEV Radi | BUL－BUL | II |
| 22 CHIF Alain－ASHLEY Raymond | BEL－ENG | 51.11 |
| 23 DRIVER Gordon－DRIVER Kathleen | RSA－RSA | 51.02 |
| 24 CHIZZOLI Paolo－DE GIACOMI Fran | ITA－ITA | 50.99 |
| 25 KLUKOWSKI Julian－MARKOWICZ Victor | POL－POL | 50.90 |
| 26 TROUWBORST Jaap－DOREMANS Nico | NED－NED | 50.61 |
| 27 ENGEL Joseph－FRYDRICH Julian | ISR－ISR | 50.50 |
| 28 GOODMAN Alan－SHORT Brian | SCO－SCO | 50.46 |
| 29 COPUR Mehmet Emin－FALAY Faik | TUR－TUR | 49.96 |
| 30 STASICA Jacek－WALA Wlodzimierz | POL－POL | 49.51 |
| 31 PARISIS Hubert－THABAULT Jean－Roger | FRA－FRA | 49.49 |
| 32 COYLE Willie－TELTSCHER Kitty | SCO－ENG | 48.95 |
| 33 AUDENAERT Armand－HENDRICKX Jules | BEL－BEL | 48.86 |
| 34 MALTESE Jacky－SECHERESSE Jean－Claude | FRA－FRA | 48.73 |
| 35 BOREWICZ Marek－KUTNER Roger | POL－SUI | 48.64 |
| 36 KOWALCZYK Stefan－SUCHARKIEWICZ | POL－POL | 48.47 |
| 37 CHARLIER Guy－TIFOUS Nourredine | BEL－BEL | 48.35 |
| 38 JOHNSON David－STAS Jacques | BEL－BEL | 48.08 |
| 39 LASOCKI Krzysztof－WIELEMANS Eric | POL－BEL | 47.85 |
| 40 BANKOGLU Ergun－TOLUN Reha | TUR－TUR | 47．81 |
| 41 FRANCESCONI Andrea－VANDONI Riccardo | －ITA－ITA | 46.79 |
| 42 CASTELLI Antonio－DI MARTINO Francesco | ITA－ITA | 46.67 |
| 43 CHARLES Darrell－CHARLES Irmgard | GER－GER | 46.26 |
| 44 CASTIGLIONI Gianantonio－UGGERI Paolo | ITA－ITA | 45.60 |
| 45 DENNISON Maureen－JOURDAIN Patrick | ENG－WAL | 45.33 |
| 46 HOULIHAN Desmond－PATTINSON Bob | IRL－IRL | 45.08 |
| 47 ROEHL Joergen－WERGE Hans | DEN－DEN | 45.00 |
| 48 BEARPARK Steve－CURRAN Brendan | IRL－IRL | 44.99 |
| 49 BUIJS Pieter－DE HULLU Henk | NED－NED | 43.40 |
| 50 JONGE Govert de－O＇SHEA Kitty | NED－IRL | 40.13 |
| 51 DE DUVE Alain－MIRAVET Solange | BEL－BEL | 38.03 |
| 52 LARSEN Helge－RYNNING Erik | NOR－NOR | 31.96 |

SWE－SWE 59.25
SUI－POL 58.45
3 BERGHEIMER Serge－FOUASSIER Jean－Claude FRA－FRA 57.14
4 ELINESCU Michael－WLADOW Entscho GER－GER 56.10
5 MACIOR Zbigniew－MICHALEK Jerzy
6 FITZGIBBON Nicholas－MESBUR Adam
位

9 LEENHARDT Francois－PIGANEAU Patrice
IO BIGDELI Faramarz－WOJEWODA Edward
II HACKETT Paul D－HOLLAND John
俍
İ MARI Chistian－WALTER Stanley
I5 KRATZ Ulrich－STRATER Bernhard
16 LIGGAT David－McGOWAN Elizabeth（Liz）
促
促

20 PENFOLD Sandra－SENIOR Brian
21 GERGOV Georgi－RADEV Radi
22 CHIF Alain－ASHLEY Raymond
23 DRIVER Gordon－DRIVER Kathleen

25 KLUKOWSKI Julian－MARKOWICZ Victor
26 TROUWBORST Jaap－DOREMANS Nico
27 ENGEL Joseph－FRYDRICH Julian
OODMAN Alan－SHORT Brian

30 STASICA Jacek－WALA Wlodzimierz
31 PARISIS Hubert－THABAULT Jean－Roger
32 COYLE Willie－TELTSCHER Kitty
AUDENAERT Armand－HENDRICKXJules
MALTESE Jacky－SECHERESSE jean－Claude

36 KOWALCZYK Stefan－SUCHARKIEWICZ Jan
37 CHARLIER Guy－TIFOUS Nourredine
38 JOHNSON David－STAS Jacques
39 LASOCKI Krzysztof－WIELEMANS Eric
POL－BEL 47.85
TUR－TUR 47．81
41 FRANCESCONI Andrea－VANDONI Riccardo ITA－ITA 46.79
42 CASTELLI Antonio－DI MARTINO Francesco ITA－ITA 46.67
43 CHARLES Darrell－CHARLES Irmgard
45 DENNISON Maureen－JOURDAIN Patrick ENG－WAL 45.33
46 HOULIHAN Desmond－PATTINSON Bob IRL－IRL 45.08
47 ROEHL Joergen－WERGE Hans
48 BEARPARK Steve－CURRAN Brendan
49 BUIJS Pieter－DE HULLU Henk

51 DE DUVE Alain－MIRAVET Solange
52 LARSEN Helge－RYNNING Erik

NOR－NOR 31.96

## SIDE EVENTS

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pair | Country | \% |
|  | HUTYRA Maciej - WOLANSKI Rafal | POL - POL | 58.45 |
|  | DOLIA Mauro - SPANU Carlo | ITA - ITA | 57.67 |
|  | SHIELDS Patrick - KURBALIJA Diane | WAL - WAL | 57.07 |
|  | PAVLIN Milan - ROJKO Silvana | SLO-SLO | 56.89 |
|  | CHELU Eugen - DUMITRASCIUC Gabriel | ROM - ROM | 55.68 |
|  | FREJACQUES Guillaume - LILAMAND Martin | FRA - FRA | 55.67 |
|  | FELMY Matthias - GOTARD Tomasz | GER - GER | 54.67 |
|  | COLINET Eric - MEYER Jean | BEL - BEL | 54.59 |
|  | ADAD Pierre - RINGUET Pascal | FRA - FRA | 54.45 |
|  | JOHNSTUEN Frode B. - SORENSEN B. O. | NOR - NOR | 53.94 |
|  | CHIPAIL Gheorghe - NEGULESCU Sorina | ROM - USA | 51.67 |
|  | MAAT Roeland - MEER Mark de | NED - NED | 51.52 |
|  | DI FRANCO M. - MARGIOTTA Saverio | ITA - ITA | 51.06 |
|  | VAN HOOIJDONK Marcel - WINKEL Marcel | NED - NED | 50.94 |
|  | DAUVERGNE Bernard - DESMOULINS J. P. | FRA - FRA | 50.58 |
|  | BAHBOUT Sam - BLOCK Frank | BEL - BEL | 50.18 |
|  | LIOSSIS Georgios - SIRAKOPOULOU C. | GRE - GRE | 50.07 |
|  | DE SAINT PASTOU Alain - LESAGE Francois | FRA - FRA | 47.92 |
|  | ANCESSY Arnaud - BRUNET Frederic | FRA - FRA | 47.80 |
|  | CARNICELLI Francesca - PRAMOTTON E. | ITA - ITA | 46.88 |
|  | CODRIN Marcu - DUMITRASCU Florin | ROM - ROM | 46.74 |
|  | ALEKSANDRZAK Andrzej - PILECKI Maciej | POL - POL | 45.99 |
|  | SAINTE MARIE- SAINTE MARIE Thierry De | FRA - FRA | 42.87 |
|  | FOSSI Niccolo - PAUNCZ Peter | ITA - ITA | 42.48 |
|  | SOLHEIM Eli - ANFINSEN Ivar M. | NOR - NOR | 42.30 |
|  | LUPSAN Corina - LUPSAN Octavian | ROM - ROM | 41.05 |
|  | KHOLOMEEV Vadim -VASILYEV Petr | RUS - RUS | 38.89 |

## BAMTEAMS DAY 1/2

| TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :---: |
| I YADLIN | 58.00 |
| 2 ALLFREY | 56.00 |


| 3 TROMSO | 55.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| YAMATA | 55.00 |

5 BRENO 54.30
6 RADKOV 53.00
TOP ONE ..... 53.00
8 ROSENTHAL ..... 51.00
9 AAA ..... 50.00
10 MOSSOP ..... 49.00
DAUGAVA 2 ..... 49.00
12 FAMILY ..... 47.00
PEARBALL ..... 47.00
MORAN ..... 47.00
15 FC BENNY ..... 46.00
16 BETONG ..... 45.70
17 ITALY 3 ..... 44.00

Thanks to Ann Chapelle and Leentje Daenen, the staffers responsible for printing the Daily Bulletin each night!

# $8^{\text {th }}$ Bridge Festival 'Greek Islands' 

## 1-8 SEPTEMBER 2013

The $8^{\text {th }}$ 'Greek Islands' Bridge Festival will take place this year in Rhodes, the cosmopolitan island of the knights, at the Sheraton Rhodes Resort 5*.
A full week, from Sunday 1 September to Sunday 8 September 2013, you can participate in exciting games, playing with recognized bridge players from around the world, while you will have the opportunity to enjoy the beautiful beaches of Rhodes, to join excursions and tours, to visit the famous WaterPark, to experience water sports, and to relax in the hotel's spa and Jacuzzi.

## Sheraton Rhodes Resort 5*

## SPECIAL OFFER OF 590 E <br> (Per person in a double room \& on Bed and Breakfast)

IALYSSOS AVENUE 85100, IXYA-RHODES Tel.: 22410-75000 Fax: 22410-766900
This includes:

- 7 overnight stays
- Use of a private, fully organized, sandy beach and, all swimming pools, with free of charge use of umbrellas, towels, sun beds, bathrobes and slippers.
- Gym and fitness center
- Free transportation from/to airport
- Welcome gift \& Information material
- Welcome Cocktail
- Gala dinner \& Awards ceremony
- Excursion in a picturesque island location
- Free lectures by the world class champion and trainer, Krzysztof Martens
- Free participation in daily friendly tournaments

Price differentiations (i.e. single accommodation, sea view, suites, triple rooms etc.) can be provided following communication with the Festival Organizers.
Departures/check-out time by 12.00 noon. There is an extra charge, by the hotel, for late check-out, after 12.00.
Accommodation can also be provided, at lower rates, at nearby hotels. Please contact the Festival Secretariat for additional information.

## www. sheratonrhodesresort.com

## Looking for a partner?

Let us know through the online registration form available on the website of the festival or send us an email at info@bridgefestival.gr and we will help you.
For registrations and additional information you can visit the website of the Festival www.bridgefestival.gr or/and contact us:

Email: info@bridgefestival.gr
Elisavet Angelakopoulou +302106772129
Thanassis Matziaris
+30 6937336466
Christina Papadaki
+30 6932102806
Christina Botonaki
+30 6937330168

