## POLAND KEEP THE OLD MAESTRO

## AT BAY



Winners of the Open Teams: Marcin Mazurkiewicz, Krzysztof Jassem, Jakub Wojcieszek, Pawel Jassem, Piotr Gawrys and Piotr Tuszynski.


Silver goes to team Breno.


Team Isrmany comes third.

After a day full of drama it was Mazurkiewicz, Piotr Gawrys, Krzysztof Jassem, Pawel Jassem, Marcin Mazurkiewicz, Piotr Tuszynski \& Jakub Wojcieszek who emerged as the new European Open Team Champions.
After a remarkable I IMP win in their semi final they came from behind to defeat the sentimental favourites, Breno, Mario D’Avossa, Benito Garozzo, Riccardo Intonti, Massimo Lanzarotti, Andrea Manno \& Romain Zaleski in an exciting final followed by thousands on BBO.
Isrmany, Alon Birman, Ilan Herbst, Ophir Herbst, Dror Padon, Josef Piekarek \& Alexander Smirnov took the bronze medals.

| Today's Schedule |
| :---: |
| Open Pairs Qualification |
| (Day 2/2) |
| Side Events |
| $10: 00-11: 30$ |
| $1145.13: 15$ |
| $14: 15-155$ |
| $16: 00-17: 30$ |
| $17: 45-19: 15$ |




## | Important Information

Badges

At the start of play of each round, you need to enter I the code on your badge in the Bridgemates. Please don't
I forget your badges!!!!

## Side Events

Please note that all side events are open which I means that pairs and teams can be composed of II players of any age or gender

## Bits and Pieces

## Partnership Desk

There is no formal partnership desk, but there is a board in the tent next to the registration where one can search for partners/team-mates.

## Internet access

There is free wifi in the whole building, including the tent and its immediate vicinity. The network name is ebl-bridge-I or ebl-bridge-2. No password is necessary.

## Payment at the registration desk

We accept cash or Credit Cards with a chip.

## Food and beverages

There is a stand selling sandwiches, soups and beverages in the tent, open at least until the start of the final playing session each day. A more substantial but quickly served lunch will be available at special attractive prices at the Aqua del Mar and Ostende Queen restaurants. The latter is also providing a specially priced dinner menu for our participants.

## GRATIS CARDS

Those with very tight economy can apply for a number of used EBL cards free of charge at the bookstall on the Mezzanine level.
New and old EBL cards are also sold cheaply.

## New Mobile Device Policy

Please take note that we are using a new policy for mobile phones at these championships. It is allowed to bring your mobile phones to the table provided they are completely switched off at all times. When going to the rest rooms however, they must be left at the table or with the person escorting you to the restrooms. Any breach of these rules (such as a phone ringing or vibrating) will be penalized in accordance with the General Conditions of Contest (available at http://www.eurobridge.org/Repository/competitions/I3OOstende/ Microsite/EBLGeneralCoC20I3.pdf ).

Such penalties are automatic and compulsory for the first offense.
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## BBO Matches

http://www.bridgebase.com
NO BBO broadcast from Open Pair Qualifying on Thursday 27th!!!!

## Master Point Races incl. June $\mathbf{2 4}^{\text {th }}$

## Cumulative

I SMEDEREVAC Jovanka . . . 90
BERTENS Huub . . . . . . . . . 90
VERBEEK Martine . . . . . . . 90
4 WILLARD Sylvie . . . . . . . . 89
5 GUNEV Rossen Geourgiev 84
POPOVA Dessy . . . . . . . . . 84
7 WERNLE Sascha . . . . . . . . 80
8 MICHIELSEN Marion . . . . . 68
9 BOMPIS Marc ............ 65
IO PROOIJEN Ricco van . . . . 60
II SAYER Netsy . . . . . . . . . . . 55
ZAHARIEV Zahari . . . . . . . 55
13 HELNESS Tor . . . . . . . . . . . . 52
HELNESS Gunn . . . . . . . . . 52
I5 WANG Liping . . . . . . . . . . 50
GRUMM Iris . . . . . . . . . . . . 50

## Side Events Only

I CRONIER Benedicte . . . . . 28
2 BRENNER Anne . . . . . . . . . 20
WILLARD Sylvie . . . . . . . . 20
WERNLE Sascha . . . . . . . . 20
CAPRERA David . . . . . . . . 20
PILON Dominique . . . . . . . 20
MARILL Philippe . . . . . . . . 20
TOFFIER Philippe . . . . . . . . 20
SMEDEREVAC Jovanka ... 20
CRONIER Philippe . . . . . . . 20
II VAN DEVEN Armand . . . . I5
DE SMET Hans ...........I5
LAENEN Rene . . . . . . . . . . 15
KALITA Jacek . . . . . . . . . . . 15
HAYMAN PIAFSKY Jessica I5
KLUKOWSKI Michal . . . . . 15
ZMUDA Justyna . . . . . . . . . I5
SOONTJENS Louis .......I5

## La Rubrique.FR

Pierre Schmidt prend le relais de notre rédacteur habituel. II nous livre les secrets, révélés par l'ordinateur, des entames et des contres rémunérateurs.

## Un Roi impitoyable

Le non-bridgeur de passage devant le Kursaal à la sortie d'une mi-temps aurait bien du mal à comprendre le sujet de conversation et le degré d'excitation de tous ces joueurs qui refont leur match. Dans les restaurants puis dans les bars un peu plus tard, c'est le même phénomène. Si vous êtes vous-même bridgeur, n’oubliez jamais de regarder la tête ahurie des convives de la table voisine ... et vérifiez en même temps par courtoisie que l'éclat de vos échanges reste à un niveau sonore raisonnable ! II est en effet parfois difficile de se mettre d'accord sur ce qui était la « bonne » enchère ou la « bonne » entame, aussi n’estil pas déraisonnable - pour tempérer nos passions d'utiliser un peu de technologie pour y voir clair. Imaginez ainsi que vous déteniez :

98654
$\bigcirc 1072$
$\diamond 64$
732

C'est à vous d'entamer dans la séquence ISA - 3SA. Vanessa Reess, que je kibbitzais à ce moment-là, n'a pas hésité une seconde à poser le 10 de Cœur sur la table. Une douzaine de levées plus tard, elle constatait que le déclarant avait ouvert de ISA avec le Roi de Pique sec, et que son partenaire avait ADI073 dans la couleur ! Est-ce une raison pour se sentir mal et s'excuser ? En fait non. Il est facile de faire distribuer à un ordinateur quelques centaines de donnes compatibles avec la séquence d'enchères, et de lui demander de vérifier le rendement de chacune des entames. Cœur dépasse en effet d'une bonne tête. Dans le détail, vous avez une chance de battre le contrat dans $15 \%$ des cas seulement (ce qui n'est pas une surprise au vu de votre jolie collection) et l'entame Pique ne couvre qu'un tiers de ces donnes, alors que Cœur en couvre les $2 / 3$, car vous « touchez » une longueur suffisante chez votre partenaire, seul à posséder par ailleurs les reprises nécessaires. Fin de la conversation sur ce sujet, vous pouvez déguster votre entrée dans le calme.

Plus délicat, pour le plat principal :


La séquence est la même (ISA - 3SA) mais vous n'êtes pas à l'entame. Est-ce donc une bonne idée de contrer (à supposer que pour votre paire, ce contre induise de façon quasiment automatique l'entame Pique) ?
Que dit notre ordinateur ? Que le contrat peut être battu dans un peu plus de la moitié des donnes. Éliminons d'abord les cas où votre contre va enlever de la main de votre partenaire son entame naturelle qui, seule, battait (ces cas sont en nombre quasi nul). Vous apprenez ensuite que l'entame Pique de votre partenaire - non naturelle est indispensable dans la moitié des cas potentiellement «chutant» (donc sur $25 \%$ des donnes : la moitié de $50 \%$ ). Il vous faut alors comparer le gain sur ces $25 \%$ de cas avec le risque d'un méchant surcontre quand les adversaires ont beaucoup de jeu et une tenue à Pique. On peut enfin disserter sur les donnes où l'on remet les adversaires dans un contrat gagnant alors que 3SA chutait ... ou l'inverse !!

Puisque nous en sommes au dessert, il vous restera à commenter de vive voix les avantages et inconvénients comparés du «style » consistant à contrer et de celui plus conservateur des tenants du Passe. De quel côté voulezvous vous ranger ? Le café et l'addition, s'il vous plaît, merci !

Pierre Schmidt

Recevez un spécimen gratuit de notre revue Le Bridgeur
sur simple demande à revue@lebridgeur.com

## A Travelin' Man Comes To Ostend

Geir-Olav Tislevoll - GeO to friends - has been to many tournaments in more than 40 years of playing bridge. It's reasonably safe to say that, on arrival at the 6th European Open Bridge Championships in Ostend, he had made the longest bridge trip of his career. He almost certainly travelled farther to Ostend than any of the other competitors here.


Since 2008, the 57-year-old native Norwegian has lived in New Zealand. The trip to Ostend started in his new home town of Auckland, whence he flew to Hong Kong to play in the Asia Pacific Bridge Federation team trials. After that, he flew to Paris and arrived at Ostend by train.
Counting the 160 -mile trip from Paris, Tislevoll travelled 19,170 kilometers - more than II,900 miles - from Auckland.
He played for Abax in the Open Teams and is competing in the Open Pairs with Ivar Berg.
Tislevoll grew up in Trondheim, Norway, and learned bridge in his teens, occasionally filling in when his parents had games at home. He was truly smitten when he found out how bridge play went in tournaments.
Except for three years in Luxembourg, where he worked in currency exchange for a bank, Tislevoll lived in Norway. He has represented his homeland several times, earning a gold medal in the European Youth Teams Championship in 1980 and a bronze in the same event two years later. He played a lot with Geir Helgemo in the Nineties.
The move to New Zealand came about after he met Faith Mayer at the 3rd European Open Bridge Championships in Antalya, Turkey, in 2007. Mayer is a Filipino who has lived in New Zealand for many years.

The two met again a year later at the World Bridge Championships in Shanghai, China, where had gone to report on the tournament for Norwegian media. Tislevoll and Mayer played in the Transnational Mixed Teams and the relationship blossomed.
Not long after the tournament,Tislevoll says,"I decided it was time to do something adventurous," and he was on his way to New Zealand. He married Mayer in 2010.
These days, Tislevoll makes his living in bridge - teaching, coaching, occasionally playing professionally and writing a daily bridge column for a newspaper in Norway. He also contributes to Boye Brogeland's Bridge in Norway magazine.
Remarkably, Tislevoll says he did not have much of an adjustment to life in a new land. In fact, he says, except for the weather, the atmosphere of the country and the outlook of New Zealanders is similar to what he knew in Norway. "It's not a big difference between them," he says, "and there's a lot of bridge."
In Hong Kong, Tislevoll's team qualified to represent New Zealand in the Bermuda Bowl in Bali, Indonesia, later this year. At the same tournament, his wife qualified to play for the Philippines in the Venice Cup, a remarkable feat in Tislevoll's view considering that the Philippine Bridge Federation has only about 140 members.
Tislevoll says he visits Norway regularly - he has a son and two sisters there - but New Zealand is his home now. "It is beautiful there," he says.

- Brent Manley



## Open Pairs Qualification RI

By Patrick Jourdain

The Editor gave your reporter free option of a pair to watch for the first ten boards of the Open Pairs. The choice of Thomas Charlsen and Thor-Erik Hoftaniska of Norway proved sound as there were plenty of interesting decisions, and they scored $72.4 \%$ on the set to hold fourth place.
The first deal was a humdinger. Our Norwegians were against a Russian pair consisting of the highly experienced Vadim Kholomeev partnering a young Petr Vasilyev competing in his first European event.

| Dealer North None Vul |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | , 7 |  |
|  | (1) 1042 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 65 |  |
|  | Q Q 64 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK QJ } 4 \\ & \text { Q Q } 85 \end{aligned}$ | N | - 1093 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ - |
| $\checkmark 82$ |  | $\checkmark$ K QJIO9743 |
| \& 187 | S | - 52 |
|  | - 8652 |  |
|  | 8 A9763 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |
|  | \& AK 109 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vasilyev | Hoftaniska | Kholomeer | Charlsen |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | $6 \searrow$ |



Vadim Kholomeev

What a decision faced both South and West at their first call of the championships! Both made the right decision, for Six Hearts was made and a sacrifice in spades or diamonds can go for 1100 against 980 .
The play had technical interest. On a diamond lead Hoftaniska played with care to detect whether either opponent could have four clubs. He ruffed the first diamond with the nine of trumps and then laid down the ace to pick up the suit successfully. Having drawn trumps, he exited with a spade.
West overtook to play a second spade. When the diamond ace and a ruff showed that East held eight diamonds and at least two spades it was already clear only West could have clubs, so declarer could claim.
On the second deal the Russian pair had a common misunderstanding for a new partnership. The auction began with a Prepared Club (on this occasion a weak notrump), a $1 \diamond$ overcall from Charlsen and $2 \diamond$ from a responder with game values who thought a change of suit was forcing. Kholomeev had a different view and passed. When Charlsen asked Vasilyev what their agreement was the Russian at once acknowledged he thought it was forcing, so it was easy for Charlsen to decide not to re-open. The missed game by East-West (they make II tricks in notrumps) gave the Norwegians a top.
The next pair up were Bjorn Alenfak and Hans Kvick of Sweden. Board 3 was a classic matchpoint affair:

| Dealer South EWVul |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AQ 92 |  |
|  | QJ94 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 642$ |  |
|  | -1095 |  |
| - 75 | N | - K 1064 |
| ¢K862 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1075 |
| $\checkmark 75$ | W E | $\diamond$ AQ93 |
| AK632 | S | - 4 |
|  | - J 83 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 3 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 108 |  |
|  | - QJ 87 |  |


| West | North | East | South <br> Charlsen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alenfak | Hoftaniska | Krick | 12 <br> Pass |
| I\& | Pass | INT |  |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East-West can make nine tricks in hearts and can beat INT by only one trick, so need to get into the auction. The Prepared Club silenced West on the first round, but both West and East must have considered action on the next.

Both passed and West led a low club. Charlsen won with the jack and tried the jack of spades, which held.
Charlsen could guess the finesse must be losing but also knew East would have difficulty finding a heart switch, so the next spade went to the queen and king. East duly tried a diamond, which was won by the jack. Now Charlsen played a club from hand.
As East was marked with a singleton club West should surely have gone in and found the heart switch. When he played low it cost two tricks, as Charlsen had not only a second club trick but also the entry to play diamonds twice more. INT+I was close to a top.
Board 4 had technical interest in both, bidding and play:


North's opening 2e was the type popular in both France and Benjamin Acol, namely showing a balanced 20/2I or 8/9 tricks in a suit.
When South's take-out double suggested hearts it was


Eric Wielemans
not immediately clear what North would do if he had a strong hand with hearts (for example, a balanced hand with no spade stop might need to bid 34). Hoftaniska decided on a jump to Four Hearts. This gave Charlsen a dilemma, he eventually solved by passing.
As dummy went down some words in Norwegian were exchanged in a tone that suggested I should not ask what they meant but the final contract was sensible enough. Hoftaniska won in dummy and immediately guessed the trump suit correctly by playing ace and a low one. That allowed him to claim the rest, as he had a ruff for the I2th trick. A club lead would have held declarer to II, because the defence obtains a ruff.
In no-trumps, declarer can make 12 tricks by guessing the hearts and then squeezing East in the black suits, but few managed that.
Next to arrive were the Belgian pair of Alain de Duve and Eric Wielemans.
It is not often you conceal good seven-card support for partner to seek another 4-4 fit, but so it happened on Board 5:

Dealer North
NSVul

|  | , AK 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 65 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 62 |  |
|  | 9 975 |  |
| - J 54 | N | -106 |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ 10972 |  | $\bigcirc 184$ |
| $\diamond$ K Q 4 | W E | $\diamond 1098753$ |
| 94 | S | \% Q 10 |
|  | ¢ Q 972 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J |  |
|  | \& AKJ 8 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| De Duve | Hoftaniska | Wielemans | Charlsen |
|  | 1\% | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT* |
| Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT* |
| Pass | $4{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 69 |

All Pass
2NT was explained as Keycard with a 32 response showing any hand with only three spades and the other normal keycard responses with four spades, so North's Three Diamonds promised four spades with 0 or 3 keys. 3NT was described as a mild slam try and North's cuebids promised 3 keys. Charlsen signed off in tempo at his next turn as he was worried about trump quality, but when Hoftaniska made another move Charlsen assumed he held both ゅAK.
Against Six Spades West led $\diamond$ K. Charlsen won and played the trump ace (West might hold a singleton honour) and then a spade to the queen. Discovering the suit was


Thor Eric Hoftaniska
breaking he carefully played one top club (gaining heavily when West has two trumps and no clubs), and when both followed he drew the last trump and claimed the rest. His I 3th trick consisted of ruffing his singleton heart in dummy. +I460 was again a near top.
It looked as if they were about to have their first bad board next:

Dealer East
EWVul


Five Diamonds by West can be beaten by an unlikely heart lead, and the spade sacrifice had to fail but the scoresheet was not unfavourable to North-South. Some had made $5 \diamond$ on a top spade lead and successful club guess. Some had made 3NT from East and, even better, some were losing 500 as North-South, whereas Charlsen suffered a penalty of only 300 when West cashed a top diamond, switched to a club to the king and East cashed his heart ace, saving a guess in the suit.
The fourth pair to arrive was Frederic Brunet and Arnaud

Ancessey of France. They gave the Norwegians their first bad board with this auction:
Dealer South
Both Vul


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brunet | Hoftaniska | Ancessey | Charlsen <br> Wass |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $3 \$$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dbl | All Pass |  |

Ancessey bravely protected on the East cards and Brunet gave him the sort of dummy we all deserve, particularly when Charlsen found the unfortunate though natural lead of c Q . On a diamond lead and spade return the defence have five easy tricks.
On the club lead declarer quickly took two spade discards on the top clubs and led the trump jack. Hoftaniska gave this some thought and then contributed the ten. Declarer must have been so delighted to have had a favourable lead that he momentarily lost concentration and let the jack run! (If North held the queen and ten he would probably not play low on the jack). That meant he only just made Three Hearts doubled whereas others, also doubled, had made an overtrick. The score was around 6040 against the Norwegians.


Thomas Charlsen


The next deal also had interest:
Dealer West
None Vul
\& K 92

- 10962
$\diamond$ J 9
\& 10752
, A643
$\checkmark$ K 5
$\diamond$ AK Q 1064
$\% A$


」 J 10875
$\checkmark$ A 3
$\diamond 75$
\& K Q 98

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brunet | Hoftaniska | Ancessey | Charlsen |
| I $\searrow$ | Pass | $1 \searrow$ | 19 |
| Dbl* | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

West's double was described as two-way.... Either showing three hearts or I8+ so his subsequent call showed the strong hand.
Here East-West can make $6 \diamond$ double dummy (on a trump lead and South letting the heart king hold, declarer must himself duck the next heart), and can fail in 3NT but that is not how things turned out.
If you led the spade king against 3NT by West please let the Bulletin know. Hoftaniska did not find this, leading instead a low one. When the queen won, declarer should have tackled hearts at once, as this gives the chance of II or even 12 tricks if both red suits behave (and South must find the club switch if he is to stop II on the actual layout). As it was, the table had run out of time and declarer merely tested diamonds and then, when Charlsen ducked the first
heart simply claimed ten tricks.
The final pair to arrive were colleagues from Norway: Helge Larsen and Erik Rynning. On board 9 nobody had more than a flat II but Charlsen opened and with partner also holding II they stopped in 2NT and with a helpful defence scrambled home.
The final board of the set was of interest in the play:
Dealer East
Both Vul

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } 52 \\ & \& \text { Q } 1098 \\ & \diamond \text { J862 } \\ & \& 43 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KQ984 | N | - 76 |
| ¢KJ5 |  | Q 7432 |
| $\checkmark$ AK | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 94 |
| * 765 | S | 201098 |
|  | - J 103 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10753$ |  |
|  | 2 AKQ 2 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Larsen | Hoftaniska | Rynning | Charlsen |
| Is |  |  | 19 | | Dbl | Pass |
| :--- | :--- |

South finished in the very popular spot of INT. Suppose, first, West makes the normal spade lead. That gives declarer a sixth trick and he may well play ace and another heart to obtain a fortuitous two more when the hearts contribute three tricks.
However, at our table West, Helge Larsen decided to start with a club and the exchange continued diamond, club, diamond, club, diamond, and a triumphant winning club from East, followed by a spade to the jack, queen and ace.
The fourth round of diamonds reduced everyone to four cards and West knew he was doomed. He actually retained two spades and 8 KJ . Charlsen had the luxury of being able to endplay West with either suit.
In case West held three spades and had bared the heart king, Charlsen chose ace and another heart. West won and had to concede the seventh trick to declarer. But this was below average for our heroes.
The score of $72.4 \%$ for the set seemed generous and your reporter is confidently expecting an invitation to follow the same pair again.


# Open Teams QFI: Breno v. Deutschland <br> By Jos Jacobs 

## Open Teams Quarterfinals segment I

This Italian-German encounter happened to be by far the most spectacular segment in any of the four quarterfinals. So in this report, I will concentrate on this match but not without a short look or two into some of the other matches.
On boards 2 and 3, the combined team from Israel and Germany (Isrmany) had taken a 26-0 lead against De Botton, due to a defensive mistake on one board and a killing spade lead against 3NT on the other.
As they did not find this lead at either table in our featured match, we will go straight to board 5 , in which a slam was in the cards:

| Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Q 7 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 752$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 10765 |  |  |
|  | -1064 |  |
| - AK63 | N | -1082 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 108 | W E | ¢K63 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 4 | W | $\checkmark$ K 9 |
| - QJ9 | S | - AK872 |
|  | - J 954 |  |
|  | 8 J 94 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 832 |  |
|  | - 53 |  |



Massimo Lanzarotti

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Häusler | Zaleski | Gromöller | Garozzo |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

The weak INT did not work very well here, as the extra club in East's hand was worth a full extra trick, which never came to light. The slam is not one that you have to be in though, as it basically depends on the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ coming down in two or three rounds, a just over $50 \%$ chance, apart from a very lucky spade break (QJ bare). You do have some squeeze chances, though, if the defenders take the $\diamond A$ on the first round.
When the hearts behaved, that was +490 to Deutschland.
In the other room, the Italians also used a weak INT but Lanzarotti just gave it one more try:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lanzarotti | Fritsche | Manno | Rohowsky |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | All Pass |

When Massimo made a quantitative raise, Manno had no problem in bidding the slam as he could now be sure that his fifth club was worth an extra trick. Well done by the Italians and IO IMPs to them.
On the next board, the Italians dealt their opponents another blow, or maybe the Germans shot themselves in the foot:

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- AK 1032
$\checkmark$ -
$\diamond K$ Q 7
* KJ874


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Häusler | Zaleski | Gromöller | Garozzo |
|  |  | $4\ulcorner$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dbl | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Dbl | Rdbl | Pass | 59 |

All Pass
Maybe Garozzo could have bid a slam over Zaleski's redouble but when he contented himself with just 5\&, the Italians had secured a plus: +420 to them.
In the other room, the Germans ran into trouble:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lanzarotti | Fritsche | Manno <br>  <br> Pass | 5 |
| Rohowsky |  |  |  |

All Pass
To me, $5 \curlyvee$ was already a considerable overbid but the main question North should have asked himself over $6 \bigcirc$ ought to have been why South did not make a try for a grand slam if he held the $\diamond A$ and the $A Q$ himself? $A$ double by North would have netted 800 and thus led to a 9-IMP gain rather than the actual I2-IMP loss. Please note South's redouble which showed absolute (if misplaced) confidence in his partner.
In the Isrmany v. De Botton match, the latter team hit back:

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malinowski | Herbst 0. | De Botton | Herbst I. |
|  |  | $3 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dbl | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ |
| Pass | 59 | All Pass |  |

Not very enterprising bidding by the Israelis led to the slam being missed. Isrmany +420 .
In the other room, the Poles did much better, even if they were given a slight push in the right direction:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Padon | Narkiewicz | Birman <br> 3 | Buras |
| $4 \varnothing$ |  | Pbl | Pass |

Well judged by Narkiewicz. If partner is showing some serious values in the minors, slam must be OK. So it proved: +920 to De Botton and II IMPs back to them.
In their match against Poland, the young French North-

South also reached the slam in convincing fashion:


On the next board, the good Italian run continued:
Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 1053

Q Q 74
$\diamond$ Q J 85
AK 2

```
4.86
\veeJ109653
\diamond42
& J 6
```

In the Open Room, the Italians reached a sound contract:
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Häusler | Zaleski | Gromöller | Garozzo |
|  |  |  | 120 |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 Q$ | Pass |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 320 |

All Pass
Just made, +IIO to Breno.

In the other room, the Germans had an easy enough auction, too:

Closed Room

| West <br> Lanzarotti | North <br> Fritsche | East <br> Manno | South <br> Rohowsky <br> 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dbl | All Pass |

2NT showed a balanced I2-15 count.
The Italians did not even need a useful gadget to deal with this bidding. Manno as East was on lead himself and could be rather sure that his opponents were not going to make this contract. When nobody ran, he simply led his fourth best spade for down two. Breno +500 and another 12 IMPs.
In the Apollosoyuz v. Mazurkiewicz match, there also was a big swing, as these were the two auctions:

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mazurkiewicz | Gromov | Jassem | Dubinin |
|  |  |  | 24. |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | 2 | 3\% |
| Pass | 31 | Db | 4* |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At this table, the Russians did well to find out they did not have a spade stopper between them but they already were overboard a little. One down, +100 to Mazurkiewicz.
In the other room, the Poles had an easy enough auction, too:


Alexander Dubinin

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weinstein | Jassem | Rosenberg | Wojcieszek |
|  |  |  | 18 <br> Pass |
| 2s | Pass | $3 N T$ |  |
| Pass | Pass | Dbl | All Pass |

24 also showed a balanced opening hand. Rosenberg's double requested a spade lead so the contract was quickly down two. Apollosoyuz +500 and 9 IMPs to them.
And another swing to Breno on the next board when the German declarer followed a reasonable but unlucky line in his contract:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | ¢ 943 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J 976 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |
|  | 2 A Q 8 |  |
| ¢ J 10862 | N | - AK 7 |
| $\bigcirc 104$ |  | $\bigcirc 532$ |
| $\diamond 97654$ |  | $\diamond$ K 2 |
| \% K | S | ¢97542 |
|  | Q Q 5 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 8 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q J 1083 |  |
|  | \% J 1063 |  |

In the Open Room, the Italians came to rest at an extremely dangerous level:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Häusler | Zaleski | Gromöller | Garozzo |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East led a trump, which Zaleski won in hand with his king. Realising that he was in a pretty hopeless contract, he immediately laid down the no doubt intending to continue with the 2 Q . When the K made a surprisingly early appearance, Zaleski was quick to adapt his plan. He crossed to dummy’s $\vee A$, returned to his $\diamond A$, drew the last trump and cashed his clubs for an easy-looking II tricks. Breno +450 .
In the other room, play and defence were slightly different:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lanzarotti | Fritsche | Manno | Rohowsky |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $3 \searrow$ | Pass | $4\rangle$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East led a top spade and shifted to a trump, won by declarer. At this table, too, declarer realised he had to make a few club tricks later on so he carefully started off by leading the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Q}$. West won his king, led a spade to his partner's ace and got a club ruff. One down, Breno another +50 and II more IMPs to them.
Declarer's line of play was as good as anything. He was by no means the only declarer to go down...Gromov duplicated this line for Apollosoyuz to lose II IMPs.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.
4 AK 103
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 4$
$\diamond 4$
\& A Q 9852


On board 10, Fritsche-Rohowsky reached the slam in spades. Their auction is not recorded, but their achievement brought them a much needed I3 IMPs back to trail by 14-49 now. Slam is certainly not much better than $50 \%$ - even the fall of the doubleton might not be enough, and a bad trump break might get you even when clubs behave?
In another match, the Russians also reached the slam and their auction has been recorded:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mazurkiewicz | Gromov | Jassem | Dubinin |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

Well done by them as well, for a 13-IMP gain in their match to increase their lead to 18 at this point.

## DUPLIMATE DISCOUNTS

The new dealing machines used at this event are sold with same warranties as new units for $€ 2,350$ as long as stock lasts. Place your order at the bookstall on the Mezzanine level.

On the last board of the set, the Germans registered another double-figure swing:

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
ゆ 9876
$\bigcirc 76$
$\diamond K 974$
\& Q J 9


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Häusler | Zaleski | Gromöller | Garozzo |
|  |  | 19 | $2 N T$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | 4 | 5 |
| Pass | Pass | 5 | All Pass |

2NT showed the two lower-ranking suits and Three Hearts showed spades. The slam depends on the way you tackle the clubs. Though they would rate to be 3 -I, the chances of South holding a singleton honour or just a small card would be about even.
Thus staying out of slam is probably a good idea. Declarer did well to take the double club finesse in the play when given the chance and thus ended up with an overtrick for +480 to Deutschland.
In the other room, the Italians did in fact bid the slam:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lanzarotti | Fritsche | Manno <br> 19 | Rohowsky |

When Manno played the clubs from the top after winning the $\odot Q$ lead with his king, he soon had to concede one down. Deutschland another +50 and II IMPs back to trail 49-26 at halftime.


Thanks to Ann Chapelle and Leentje Daenen, the staffers responsible for printing the Daily Bulletin each night!

## Poles Apart

By Barry Rigal

## Quarter-finals open teams, Second stanza

For the second half of the quarter-finals we shall be focusing on the two matches involving the Polish teams: Groupe France against Poland (initially team Martens) and Mazurkiewicz against Apollosoyuz. As we shall see, the performances of the two teams were indeed diametrically opposite. At the start of the half it was 40-19 for Apollosoyuz and 24-14 for Poland. We shall refer to Bessis père et fils as Michel and Thomas.


| Poland-Groupe |  |  | France Open Room |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bessis $T$. | Martens | Bessis M. | Filipowicz |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | I $\vee$ | Pass |
| INT | All Pass |  |  |

Poland-Groupe France Closed Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gawel |  |$\quad$| Rombaut |
| :--- |$\quad$| East |
| :--- |
| Jagniewski | | South |
| :--- |
| Lorenzini |

At almost every table in the event, North-South could not find a way into their opponents' auction, but Rombaut got to double hearts for takeout at a convenient level, letting Lorenzini steal the pot in 24. There seem to be eight tricks (three spades, three diamonds and two plain winners - if the defenders ruff away your diamond winners you get a ruff in dummy instead) maybe repeated diamond leads make that awkward, but though Gawel led a diamond, Jagniewski shifted to clubs because of the diamond blockage. Lorenzini elected to overtake a diamond winner, and now the 5-2 split was too much for him when the
defenders got in to draw two rounds of trumps. That was still an IMP to France, when INT made I20 on a club lead. 15-24 now for Poland; but the lead changed hands on the next deal.


Poland-Groupe France Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis 7. | Martens | Bessis M. | Filipowicz |
| 18 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \bigcirc(F G)$ | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

Poland-Groupe France Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gawel | Rombaut | Jagniewski | Lorenzini |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | INT(R) | Pass |
| $2 \mathbf{2 N}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \AA^{*}$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \varsigma$ | Pass |
| 4NT | All Pass |  |  |

You may not approve of the $I \vee$ opening bid but at least it did no harm (though you'd much rather play $4 \checkmark$ after a strong no-trump and transfer). Declarer wrapped up +650 , good for 13 IMPs when the Closed Room sequence featured some poor judgment (was the opening bid forced?). Just as in the story of the Giant Rat of Sumatra, the world may not be ready for an explanation of the auction but l'm guessing a key-card sequence told East he was off two key-cards, but 4NT was a horrendous final contract on a club lead. Down 200 and I3 IMPs to France, leading 28-24.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

| - A 43 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 108$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 85432$ |  |  |  |
| - Q 97 |  |  |  |
| - 2 | N |  | 98765 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 62 | N |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ J 76 |  | E |  |
| * AK65 3 | S | ¢ | 082 |
|  | - QJ 10 |  |  |
|  | PKJ943 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 109 |  |  |
|  | \& 4 |  |  |
| Poland-Groupe France Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bessis T. | Martens | Bessis M. | Filipowicz |
|  |  | 2. | 38 |
| Dbl Ad | All Pass |  |  |

Poland-Groupe France Closed

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gawel | Rombaut | Jagniewski | Lorenzini |
|  |  | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \triangleleft$ |

## All Pass

Filipowicz's undisciplined overcall over Michel's somewhat etiolated 24 pre-empt could have been described as cruising for a bruising. Thomas was quick to inform him of the error of his ways and led two rounds of clubs to start the defensive force. Declarer ruffed, led a trump to the ten, then played two rounds of diamonds. Michel ruffed, and played a third club, on which declarer discarded. Now a diamond to Thomas' $\diamond$ J left the defenders in position to take one club one diamond and four trump tricks one way or another. Down 300, and a 6-IMP pick-up when 28 finished up down one. 34-24 now for France.

On the next deal there was no swing in either of our two featured matches.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- J 1082
-A 54
$\diamond$ A 53
\& 765


Both pairs in France-Poland opened a strong no-trump and played 3NT down on a heart lead. Both pairs in Apollosoyuz-Mazurciewicz made 3NT (Gromov played from the North seat on a three-bid suitless sequence on a club lead, ducked and club continuation).
Where Gawrys opened a strong no-trump, Rosenberg doubled Tuszynski's 2 response, persuading Weinstein to lead clubs rather than hearts. No swing - and still 40-I8 to Apollosoyuz.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
198
QJ976
$\diamond A$ Q 52
\& 10


Poland-Groupe France Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis T. | Martens | Bessis M. | Filipowicz <br>  <br> 14 |
| Pass |  | INT | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

Poland-Groupe France Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gawel | Rombaut | Jagniewski | Lorenzini |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 3s | All Pass |

Thomas made the natural rebid but Michel's 2NT bid, while giving his side the best chance to get to game, led to a silly partscore. The defenders led hearts, and declarer won to lead diamonds towards his hand twice. Martens ducked the $\diamond$ A twice, so declarer took the first six tricks, but had no real prospect for an eighth.
The closed room auction worked well, in a sense, and when Gawel tackled trumps by leading to his queen, he had +170 and 7 IMPs, to make it 34-3I for France. JassemMazurkiewicz duplicated the unsuccessful French auction but after 1s-INT-2e Weinstein-Rosenberg could use an artificial sequence for East to show a raise to 2NT and four clubs, letting Weinstein play 3 for +110 and 7 IMPs; 47-I 9 now for Apollosoyuz.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
\& K 106

- Q 5
$\diamond$ K Q J 865
」 8
\& Q J 8754
$\diamond$ AJ 3
$\diamond 4$
$\& 432$


4

- K 976
$\triangleleft$ A 1093
\& Q 765
Poland-Groupe France Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis 7 . | Martens | Bessis M. | Filipowicz |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| 39 | All Pass |  |  |



Dominik Filipowicz


Against 3s the defenders led a top diamond and shifted to $\vee$ Q. Declarer won to play A and another spade, letting North win and take his heart ruff. But the SIO was declarer's home for the losing club and Thomas had +140 . In the other room the passive defence against 44x was to lead two rounds of diamonds. Declarer had to play on hearts himself, and when he led low to the jack the defenders ended up with two hearts and one trick in each of the other suits, for -500 . That made it 46-3I to France. Meanwhile Mazurkiewicz started the long climb back into their match, taking $5 \diamond x$ down $500(2 \diamond-3 \diamond-3 \diamond-5 \diamond-P a s s-P a s s-$ Dbl) and 4s (2 -Pass-4e) down 200. The running score was 47-3l now.
On the next deal E/W had what looks like one of the world's easier grand slams to bid - if, that is, any grand slam with an ace missing can ever be described as easy.
Rosenberg-Weinstein had an Exlusion Blackwood accident (apparently the first ever accident with that convention) while Gromov doubled $7 \oslash$ for a spade lead and Jassem redoubled, to record +2240 , the first time l've ever seen that number. You could argue that the double only cost 3 IMPs, and missing the grand only cost 3 IMPs if teammates were going to concede the redoubled grand slam - so who takes the blame for the other IMPs?


The Poland team had gained 2 IMPs for playing the major not the minor, but just when they were trying to make ends meet, the French moved the ends.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
© KQ65
ค9742
$\diamond 72$
K K 84


Poland-Groupe France Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis $T$. | Martens | Bessis M. | Filipowicz |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | Dы | 2 | Dы |
| 4\% | Pass | 59 | 5 |
| Dы | All Pass |  |  |
| Poland-Groupe France Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gawel | Rombaut | Jagniewski | Lorenzini |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | Dы | 20 | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



Cédric Lorenzini

North-South in the open room appeared to achieve par when they sacrificed in $5 \diamond$ over 5 dor -300; there doesn't seem to be any defence to that contract, as DubininGromov found, even after a diamond lead and repeated spade plays. However in the closed room Gawel fell asleep at his post when he passed $3 \triangleleft$ and Lorenzini wrapped up +IIO for a further 9 IMPs. That made it 55-3I, while Mazurkiewicz had 12 IMPs for +750 and -50 to lead 58-47.
Three of our four pairs missed a playable vulnerable game on the next deal, where nine tricks could be made on some decent guesswork. Lorenzini-Rombaut bid and made the game; 65-33 now.
The next two deals saw Poland close the margin via overtricks to 65-36, but then the death blow for Poland:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- A65 3
© K 2
$\diamond$ K 1094
\& 965
- Q 107
©AJ985
$\diamond 2$
- K Q 32

Poland-Groupe France Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis T. | Martens | Bessis M. <br> Filipowicz |  |
|  |  | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Dbl | 3 NT | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \curvearrowright$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |

Poland-Groupe France Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gawel |  |  |  |$\quad$| Rombaut |
| :--- | | Jagniewski |
| :--- |
| Parenzini |

When Gawel passed over $3 \triangleleft$ Rombaut stole the pot in 3NT and on repeated heart leads tabled his hand for 600. In the other room no doubt Martens' auction systemically allowed partner to save; but with both sides vulnerable why would South feel he needed to bid? Since $4 \triangleright$ hinges on finding the $\$$ the save looks (and was) a very poor idea. I5 IMPs to France, leading 80-36. The match finished 84-38.
Apollosoyuz and Poland had not scored an IMP for a while, but the American-Russian squad finally equalized on the penultimate deal.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- Q 10

คA963
$\diamond$ Q 4
\& A 10542

$4 \checkmark$ looks a hopeless affair, but against the auction 1 -(Dbl)-2 $\oslash$ ( $\mathbf{(})$-Pass-4s-All Pass Martens underled his $\vee A$ and declarer's four top losers had turned into three. In the other room the defenders led $\diamond Q$ and declarer played a heart. The defenders now cashed their aces and played a diamond to the king to promote a trump for down two and II IMPs. 58-58 - now it was all down to the very last board.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | ¢KJ974 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK |  |
|  | $\triangleleft 653$ |  |
|  | ¢ 765 |  |
| -10653 | N | ¢ 82 |
| $\bigcirc 92$ |  | $\bigcirc 1073$ |
| $\diamond$ K J 2 |  | $\diamond$ A Q 10987 |
| \& K932 | S | \% 84 |
|  | ¢ A Q |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 8654 |  |
|  | $\diamond 4$ |  |
|  | 2 A Q J 10 |  |

Martens-Filipowicz collected 650, Gromov-Dubinin bid on to $6 \diamond(18-(3 \diamond)-3 \diamond-(4 \diamond)-4 \checkmark-5 \diamond-6 \triangleleft)$ which is only the $\$ 10$ away from being excellent. After a diamond lead and club shift should you finesse or play for the spade 10 to drop? Today, it did not matter: neither worked. That was 13 IMPs to Mazurkiewicz, winners by 7I-58.


## Championship Diary

Playing in a tournament named after you should increase your chances of winning it, which might make Brian Senior a favourite for one of the Pairs events.

Eagle eyed readers will have spotted that Zaleski changed its name to Breno during the course of the Open Team Championships. Breno is a small village in Italy with a sports club (which includes bridge) that is supporting the team.
In yesterday's semi-final Breno met Groupe France, the latter being credited with two extra players, an additional Bessis \& Lorenzini.

Our Layout Editor was given a little time off yesterday to sit an examination. As a result she is now qualified to direct in French Club tournaments. Next year she hopes to pass the Layout Editor's Exam. (To which she responded, 'Oh really'.)


Eric Wielemans from Belgium is the doyen in this tournament, but beware, he is a very dangerous player for his opponents! For his photo, please see page 7.


This gentleman, one of the two winners of yesterday's MP Pairs, is Björn Wenneberg, not Sellden. He somehow got attributed his partner's last name. We apologize for the error!


# Groupe France v. Breno <br> By Jos Jacobs 

## Open Teams Semi-final

Segment I
In Monday's quarterfinals, Groupe France had come from behind in grand style to beat Poland whereas Breno, after taking a rather big lead, only just held on to beat Deutschland. Knowing only this, whom would you choose as your favourite team to win this semi-final and qualify for the final?
On the first board, the French took the lead by making an overtrick in a routine 6NT. On the next board, they immediately lost the lead, never to get it back during the segment:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - A Q 864 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 109 |  |
|  | \$ 87 |  |
| Q QJ 109742 | N | - - |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  | Q 109732 |
| $\checkmark$ A 8 | W E | $\checkmark$ QJ 64 |
| \& KJ4 | S | - 10963 |
|  | , AK65 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 7532$ |  |
|  | - A Q 52 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zaleski | Rombaut | Garozzo | Lorenzini |
|  |  | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| 18 | 28 | Pass | 2NT |
| 32 | 3NT | All Pass |  |

3NT by South on a spade lead was a perfectly normal contract, but when the hearts broke 5-I, and the club finesse also proved wrong declarer could not find his way home with nine tricks. One down, Breno +100 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis M. | Intonti | Bessis T. | D’Avossa |
|  |  | Pass | INT |
| $4 \Phi$ | Dbl | All Pass |  |

Michel Bessis took as good a shot as any by jumping straight to 44. Under normal circumstances, going down three would be a good score and bring you 3 IMPs but not today. Breno another +500 and 12 IMPs to them.
On board 3, the French scored 2 IMPs for an extra undertrick, but then the Italians struck again:
Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
\& AJ5
$\vee K 94$
$\diamond 1084$
$+A 743$

| - 62 | N | @ K Q 10943 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢A107652 |  | $\bigcirc 1$ |
| $\checkmark$ Q 52 | W E | $\checkmark 963$ |
| -109 | S | - K 82 |
|  | - 87 |  |
|  | Q Q 83 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ 7 |  |
|  | \& QJ 65 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zaleski | Rombaut | Garozzo | Lorenzini |
| Pass | 19 | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

3NT is the sort of contract you would automatically reach after a weak jump overcall in spades. It is not a particularly healthy spot, but it would need proper defence to beat it. Overcoming the first hurdle of not continuing spades after your K K lead wins the first trick is easy enough, but what suit should you play next?
Garozzo found the right solution by switching to the $\vee \mathrm{J}$. When Rombaut did not call for dummy's queen, Zaleski found the critical play of rising with $\vee A$ to continue spades. Had he ducked, declarer can, and probably would, make. Diamond to the $\diamond A$, club finesse, win the diamond return with $\diamond \mathrm{K}$. Then cash the clubs and $₫ \mathrm{~A}$ and exit with a diamond to endplay West to lead hearts. The contract finished two down, -200..
In the other room, East was not quite up to the challenge:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis M. | Intonti | Bessis T. | D'Avossa |
| Pass | 10 | 20 | Dbl |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Thomas Bessis, of course, also led the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ but when he switched to a diamond at trick 2, Intonti called for the ace and immediately ran the e . Now when East won his king, his spades would no longer take part in the play of the hand. When East exited with the $\oslash$ J, declarer covered this with dummy's queen to establish two tricks in the suit. West won the ace and returned a heart, but declarer won the nine and took a losing diamond finesse to ensure his nine tricks. Breno another +600 and 13 more IMPs to them for a 25-3 lead after just four boards.

On the boards 5 and 6, the French registered 2 and I IMPs, so had it been a board-a-match, the French would have a comfortable 4-2 lead after 6 boards... As it was, though, they trailed by 25-6 when board 7 arrived.


Both tables reached the same contract, but the declarers and defenders had quite different views:

| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zaleski | Rombaut | Garozzo | Lorenzini <br> Pass |
| Pass | 14 | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass |
| $3 \%$ | 34 | All Pass |  |

Lead: \%8. On the auction, Rombaut knew that Garozzo had led his singleton club so he immediately went up with dummy's ace. After that, he could no longer make his contract as there was no way to lead a club from dummy after drawing trumps. Breno +100 .
In the other room, Thomas Bessis showed his two-suiter;


Mario D'Avossa

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis M. | Intonti | Bessis T. | D'Avossa |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 19 | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 - | 34 | All Pass |  |

Thomas Bessis also led his singleton club, but at this table, Intonti took his only chance of ever making the contract by ducking in dummy. When West won the king but returned a heart rather than a club, Intonti was home. He won the $\checkmark A$ and simply started drawing trumps. Breno +140 and another 6 IMPs to reduce the board-a-match deficit to 3-4 but also extend their actual lead to 3I-6.
After three pushes, this was board II:
Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- 9732

KJ 2
$\diamond 1064$

- Q 106


Once again, the Italians outbid their opponents:
Open Room

| West | North <br> Rombaut | East <br> Garozzo | South <br> Lorenzini <br> Role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 3 | All Pass |  |  |

Three Spades was a bit high, but at least, this contract had its chances. Garozzo ducked South's heart lead but went up with his ace when North returned a diamond. He led a spade, South winning his ace and returning another heart. Dummy's ace won and now, Garozzo could simply ruff a heart in hand and cash his minor-suit top tricks before exiting and waiting for his 108 to come in as his 8th and 9 th trick. Breno +140 .
In the other room, the French were into and out of a making contract:

Closed Room

| West | North <br> Bessis M. | East <br> Intonti | Sessis T. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| South <br> D'Avossa <br> INT | $2 ף$ | Dbl | Pass <br> IN |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

East's double of $2 \triangleleft$ would not have been everybody's choice. In this case, it led to the spade contract being missed and the partnership ending up a little bit too high. On the obvious heart lead, the contract had no chance whatsoever. Down two, another +100 and 6 IMPs to Breno to level the board-a-match score at 4-4 but further extend their lead to 37-6.
The next board was again a matter of defence but also of helping partner to find the right defence.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta A K Q \\ & \diamond A \\ & \diamond K 4 \\ & \$ 1065 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 83 | N | - 4 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ} 10953$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 82 |
| $\diamond$ A982 | W E | $\checkmark$ J 75 |
| -2 | S | - A Q 874 |
|  | \& J 76 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 764$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 106 |  |
|  | \& KJ9 3 |  |

This is what the Italians did in the auction when given the room:

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zaleski | Rombaut | Garozzo | Lorenzini |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Db | 49 | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | 49 | 5 | 54 |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |

After the Italians had made one lead-directing bid each, Zaleski finished off their good work by going so far as to double the final contract when the French bid one more. 54 has its chances if the defence does not find its club ruff but after this bidding, the French had no chance to survive. Garozzo duly led a diamond, which Zaleski took with his ace to returned his club. When Garozzo won the ace and gave his partner a ruff, Breno had scored another +200.
In the other room, the French had little room to exchange any defensive information.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis M. | Intonti | Bessis T. | D'Avossa |
| $3 \curvearrowright$ | $4 \Phi$ | 5 | $5 \$$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Michel Bessis opened $3 \checkmark$ and Intonti overcalled an immediate 4s, the auction had become a guessing game. East might have tried $5 \%$ but when he bid 58 instead and, understandably, led a heart after the Italians went on to 54, declarer's problems were soon over. Breno another +650 and another 13 IMPs to lead by 50-6.

On the last board of the set, the French managed to double their score:

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.
, KQ 752
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond 73$
\& AK 108


When East passed as dealer and West opened only $1 \nabla$, the French had a mostly free run.

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zaleski | Rombaut | Garozzo | Lorenzini |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 14 | Pass | 24 |
| $3 \varnothing$ | 34 | All Pass |  |

Even 3s was not $100 \%$ but this did not really matter as 3 would make easily. When both black suits behaved well for declarer, Rombaut would always make his nine tricks. He emerged with an overtrick as the defenders were no longer able to cash their second diamond trick after East led his $\triangle Q$. Groupe France +170 .
In the other room, the French aggression finally paid off.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bessis M. | Intonti | Bessis T. | D'Avossa |
|  |  | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \vee$ | Dbl | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Once Intonti took action over $4 \checkmark$ on his semi-balanced hand, the Italians had lost the board. Against 5\%, West led a top heart, cashed his $\forall A$ and led another top heart to crash partner's queen and thus eliminate the chance of putting the contract down two. Not that a heart underlead at trick three would have been a sound idea...Groupe France another +50 and 6 IMPs to them.
At halftime, the score thus stood at 50-12 to Breno, though each team had scored IMPs on an equal number of boards (five). Apparently, the Italians had been much more efficient in scoring...

# OPEN PAIRS QUALIFICATION 

## AFTER DAY I/2

## Pair

I JOHANSEN Lars A. - REKSTAD Gjermund RIEHM Franck - DUGUET Michel 3 KVANGRAVEN Nils Kare - LIE Terje
4 CIMA Leonardo - GIUBILO Valerio
5 MAAT Roeland - MEER Mark de
6 ADAD Pierre - RINGUET Pascal
7 BILDE Dennis - JEPSEN Emi
8 BERG Ivar - TISLEVOLL Geir-Olav
9 KINDSBEKKEN Asbjorn -VOLL Roar
10 AUSTBERG Per Erik - BERG Jan Tore
II CARROLL John - HANLON Tom
DOREMANS Nico - TROUWBORST Jaap
JANSMA Jan - MAHMOOD Zia
4 BOCKEN Patrick - NEVE Olivier
I5 KLUKOWSKI Michal - ZATORSKI Piotr
16 AUKEN Sabine -WELLAND Roy 17 GINOSSAR Eldad - PACHTMAN Ron
18 HRISTOV Hristo - IVANOV Stoyan
19 BERTHEAU Peter - HAYMAN PIAFSKY Jessic BAKKEREN Frank - BAKKEREN Ton
21 LEVY Alain -VOLCKER Frederic
22 BATOV Vasil - IGNATOV Lubomir
23 GAWEL Wojciech - JAGNIEWSKI Rafal
24 GULA Artur - TACZEWSKI Mikolaj
25 FILIPOWICZ Dominik - MARTENS Krzysztof 26 MULTON Franck - ZIMMERMANN Pierre
27 BASARAN Berk - SEN Melih Osman
28 OURSEL Christophe - SCHMIDT Pierre
29 FELMY Matthias - GOTARD Tomasz
30 HOMONNAY Geza - WINKLER Gabor
3I TURANT Witold - SKORA Waldemar
32 KARAIVANOV Kalin - RUSEV Tony
33 MELMAN Victor - ZELIGMAN Shalom
34 FOLLIERO DE LUNA T. - RIBAULT Benoit
35 GRZELAK Roman - ROMANSKI Jacek
36 FAIVRE Corinne - MAGIS Isabelle
37 STRETZ Francois - XERRI Jean
39 LEWACIAK Gregor - WALCZAK Piotr 40 KOKSOY Enver - MOLVA Murat 4। HANSEN Jonny - SAELENSMINDE Erik 42 CABAJ Stephan - ILNICKIWlodzimierz
43 PEREIRA Paulo Goncalves - PESSOA Sofia
44 SVENDSEN Odin -TONDEL Petter
45 HAUGE Rune - SVENDSEN Jan Petter
46 CLEEFF Jan van -VAN DER HORST J. P.
47 DINKIN Sam - TUNCOK Cenk
48 SAPORTA Pierre -VOLDOIRE Jean-Michel
49 GHIGHECI Ovidiu - ROTARU Iulian
50 FALLENIUS Bjorn - FREDIN Peter
51 WACKWITZ Ernst -WESTERBEEK Chris
52 SLEMR Jakub - VOZABAL David
53 GOODMAN Alan - SHORT Brian

## Country \%

NOR - NOR 61.77
FRA - FRA 61.67
NOR - NOR 60.84
ITA - ITA 60.08
NED - NED 59.44
FRA - FRA 59.36
DEN - DEN 58.84
NOR - NZL 58.80
NOR - NOR 58.7
NOR - NOR 58.44
IRL - IRL 58.25
NED - NED 58.24
NED - USA 58.24
BEL - BEL 57.96
POL-POL 57.80
GER - USA 57.69
ISR - ISR 57.68
BUL - BUL 57.62
SWE - USA 57.61
NED - NED 57.30
FRA - FRA 57.23
BUL - BUL 57.21
POL-POL 57.12
POL-POL 57.11
POL - POL 56.92
MON - MON 56.88
TUR -TUR 56.82
FRA - FRA 56.80
GER - GER 56.59
HUN - HUN 56.59
POL-POL 56.49
BUL - BUL 56.40
USA - ISR 56.29
FRA - FRA 56.1I
POL-POL 56.06
FRA - FRA 56.03
FRA - FRA 55.94
POL-POL 55.76
TUR -TUR 55.57
NOR - NOR 55.55
SUI - POL 55.51
POR-POR 55.43
NOR - NOR 55.30
NOR - NOR 55.29
NED - ARU 55.28
USA - USA 55.2I
FRA - FRA 55.21
ROM - ROM 55.17
SWE - SWE 55.14 NED - NED 55.10
CZE-CZE 55.10
SCO - SCO 54.95

Pair
54 BIRKELAND Tor - LINDQVIST Espen 55 FAILLA Andrea - FAILLA Giuseppe 56 ROSENTHAL Andrew - SILVERSTEIN Aaron
57 DAUVERGNE Sophie - HUGON Elisabeth
58 FRIEDLANDER Ehud - LIRAN Inon
59 KALITA Jacek - NOWOSADZKI Michal
60 JELENIEWSKI Andrzej -WACHNOWSKI J.
61 BURN David - SANDQVIST Nicklas
62 KANDEMIR Ismail - KOLATA Suleyman
63 KARLBERG Svein G.- THOMASSEN K.-O.
64 CHARLSEN Thomas - HOFTANISKA T. E.
65 BAUMANN Karl Christian - BREKKA Geir
66 MILASZEWSKI Miroslaw - SZENBERG Stefan
67 IONITA Marius - STEGAROIU Marina
68 BERGHEIMER Serge - FOUASSIER J.-C.
69 BERSET Ole - SVEINDAL Jon
70 COENRAETS Philippe - DE DONDER Steyen BEL - BEL
71 SAINTE MARIE - - SAINTE MARIE Thierry De FRA - FRA 53.70
72 GARDYNIK Grzegorz - TUTKA Marek POL - POL 53.65
73 GLABBEEK Hedwig van - MAAS Willem Jan NED - NED 53.64
74 BARTOLOTTI Pierangelo - MAGRI Flavio SUI-SUI 53.62
75 KONTOMITROS K. - KOUKOUSELIS T. GRE - GRE 53.56
76 NABIL Karim - SADEK Tarek
77 BAKER Lynn - McCALLUM Karen
78 MIHAI Geta - MIHAI Radu
79 BAKKE Tor - HOYLAND Sven Olai
80 BETHERS Uldis - IMSA Adrians
8I HUTYRA Maciej - WOLANSKI Rafal
82 BARYLEWSKI Marek - KRZEMINSKI Cesa
83 FREJACQUES Guillaume - LILAMAND Martin FRA - FRA 52.92
84 GOMEROV Pavel - ZAPADINSKIY Evgeny RUS - RUS 52.8।
85 KLAPPER Wit - SAKOWICZ Ryszard POL - POL 52.79
86 CZAJKA Iwona - SARNIAK Anna
87 BIGDELI Faramarz - WOJEWODA Edward
88 JASKIEWICZ Ryszard - GRZELCZAK Jacek
89 HANSEN Kjeld - PETERSEN Henrik Kruse
90 ENGEBRETSEN Geir - HILDREMYR Terje
91 FRANCESCHETTI Pierre - LHUISSIER Nicolas FRA - FRA 52.47
92 DANYLYUK Tetyana - DANYLYUK Volodymyr UKR - UKR 52.45
93 BERRETTINI Lorenzo - GARZI Fabio
94 EKINCI Orhan - KAHYAOGLOU Yusuf
95 DRIVER Gordon - DRIVER Kathleen 96 ORLOV Sergei - PROKHOROV Dmitri 97 YADLIN Doron -YADLIN Israel 98 ANCESSY Arnaud - BRUNET Frederic 99 PILON Dominique - TOFFIER Philippe 100 BERG Erik - SIMONSEN Steffen Fredrik IOI ANKLESARIA Keyzad -VENKATESH Gopal 102 COUNIL Jean-Louis - DESMOULINS J. P. 103 IP Gabriel - IP Giles
104 HACKETT Paul D - HOLLAND John
105 MADSEN Christina Lund -YAVAS Dilek

## Country \%

NOR - NOR 54.93
ITA - ITA 54.80
USA - USA 54.75
FRA - FRA 54.72
ISR - ISR 54.64
POL - POL 54.60
POL-POL 54.57
ENG - ENG 54.54
TUR -TUR 54.45
NOR - NOR 54.45
NOR - NOR 54.25
NOR - NOR 54.24
POL - POL 54.13
ROM - ROM 54.11
FRA - FRA 54.02
NOR - NOR 53.95

GRE - GRE 53.56
EGY - EGY 53.56
USA-USA 53.5I
ROM - ROM 53.38
NOR - NOR 53.36
LAT - LAT 53.34
POL-POL 53.22
POL-POL 53.15

POL - POL 52.73
BEL - USA 52.73
POL-POL 52.61
DEN - DEN 52.60
NOR - NOR 52.59
ITA - ITA 52.44

TUR -TUR 52.42
RSA - RSA 52.40
RUS - RUS 52.32
ISR - ISR 52.25
FRA - FRA 52.25
FRA - FRA 52.25
NOR - NOR 52.09
IND - USA 52.02
FRA - FRA 51.96
ENG-ENG 51.92
ENG-ENG 51.85
DEN -TUR 51.82

| Pair | Country | \% | Pair | Country | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 CHUMAK Yuliy - ROVYSHYN Oleg | UKR - UKR | 51.78 | 164 BOEYKENS Leo - HUYBRECHT Hans | BEL - BEL | 49.22 |
| 107 ARONOV Victor - ZOBU Ahu | BUL - TUR | 51.74 | 165 BILDE Majka Cilleborg - JEPSEN Peter | DEN - DEN | 49.17 |
| 108 ALEKSANDRZAK Andrzej - PILECKI Maciej | POL - POL | 51.73 | 167 MATUSHKO Georgi - STERKIN Alexei | RUS - RUS | 49.04 |
| 109 KLEINROK Krzysztof - SZEPCZYNSKI Andr | POL - POL | 51.72 | 168 BOWLES Andy - MOHANDES Shireen | ENG - ENG | 49.02 |
| 110 BAREKET Ilan - LENGY Assaf | ISR - ISR | 51.66 | 169 DAUVERGNE Bernard - TIGNEL Jeremie | FRA - FRA | 48.92 |
| III BEGAS Han - GROSMANN Lucia | NED - NED | 51.64 | 170 LAKATOS Peter - SZILAGYI Laszlo | HUN - HUN | 48.83 |
| 112 BOS Berend van den - LANKVELD Joris van | NED - NED | 51.58 | 171 DOBROWOLSKI Marcin - GORKA Adrian | POL - POL | 48.81 |
| 113 HOILAND Tom - STORNES Helge | NOR - NOR | 51.58 | 172 TOKAY Mustafa Cem - VERSACE Alfredo | TUR - ITA | 48.72 |
| 114 OLSEN Roy-Hugo - OVESEN Steingrim | NOR - NOR | 51.51 | 173 CHALIBERT Pascal - DUMONT J | FRA - FRA | 48.70 |
| 115 AUKEN Jens - CHRISTIANSEN Soren | DEN - DEN | 51.45 | 174 CORNELISSEN Kamiel - KRIJGSMAN Albert | NED - NED | 48.68 |
| 116 ALLFREY Alexander - ROBSON Andrew | ENG - ENG | 51.45 | 175 PLEJDRUP Andreas - BILDE Soren Cilleborg | DEN - DEN | 48.66 |
| 117 DUBININ Alexander - GROMOV Andrey | RUS - RUS | 51.25 | 176 BAKKE Christian - GRUDE Liv Marit | NOR - NOR | 48.61 |
| 118 SEN Tezcan - SENGULER Zafer | TUR - TUR | 51.24 | 177 FITZGIBBON Nicholas - MESBUR Adam | IRL - IRL | 48.57 |
| 119 CULLIN Per-Ola - HALLBERG Gunnar | SWE - ENG | 51.22 | 178 BROGELAND Tonje A. - GLAERUM Lisbeth | NOR - NOR | 48.47 |
| 120 MARINOVSKI Kiril - ZORIC Vedran | BUL - CRO | 51.15 | 179 BUIJS Pieter - DE HULLU Henk | NED - NED | 48.37 |
| I2I CRESTEY Gilles - LOUCHART Pierre-Jean | FRA - FRA | 51.14 | 180 SCHIFKO Martin - WER | UT | 48.31 |
| 122 FROEYLAND Staale - HERLAND John Helge | NOR - NOR | 51.10 | I8I RADJUKEVICH Igor - TSIMAKHOVICH Aleh | BLR - BLR | 48.19 |
| 123 KENDRICK David - KOLESNIK Alex | ENG - USA | 51.08 | 182 MARILL Philippe - STOPPA Jean-Louis | FRA - FRA | 48.15 |
| 124 BOVET David - MARGOT | SUI - SUI | 50.97 | 183 KLANJSCEK Jasminka - SENTIC Kristian | RO | 48.13 |
| 125 MOLENAAR Danny - VERBEEK Tim | NED - NED | 50.95 | 184 CHIZZOLI Paolo - DE GIACOMI Fran | ITA - ITA | 48.13 |
| 126 ENGEL Joseph - FRYDRICH Julian | ISR - ISR | 50.89 | 185 CHEMLA Paul - ROBERT Quentin | FRA - FRA | 47.99 |
| 127 DELLA SETA Livio - DELLA SETA U | ITA - ITA | 50.72 | 186 CHIPAIL Gheorghe - NEGULESCU Sorina | ROM - USA | 47.95 |
| 128 VAN HOOIJDONK Marcel - WINKEL Marcel | NED - NED | 50.66 | 187 ARLOVICH Andrei -VAINIKONIS Erikas | LTU - LTU | 47.79 |
| 129 BESSIS Veronique - PUILLET Carole | FRA - FRA | 50.64 | 188 CZUBAK Edmund - DUFRAT Kata | POL - POL | 47.78 |
| 130 NAQVI Waseem - ROSENTHAL Lee | ENG - ISR | 50.63 | 189 DEVOOGHT Dirk - RAES Franky | BEL - BEL | 47.71 |
| I3I PIKET Roel - THIELE Mark | NED - NED | 50.60 | 190 ANDERSSEN Rune - MARSTRANDER Peter | NOR - NOR | 47.69 |
| 132 MAKARUK Janusz - NIEDZIELSKI Pa | POL - POL | 50.59 | 191 BARBOSA Juliano - PALMA Antonio | POR - POR | 47.59 |
| 133 DENIZCI Volkan - YERGIN Mahmut | TUR - TUR | 50.59 | 192 BENNETT Roy - SMITH Harry | SCO - SCO | 47.52 |
| 134 GERMANIS Aigars - RUBENIS Ivars | LAT - LAT | 50.45 | 193 PECCOUD Janine Elise - SAPORTA Renata | FRA - FRA | 47.34 |
| 135 ALLIX Jean Francois - LIBBRECHT Wilfried | FRA - FRA | 50.42 | 194 ZAK Piotr - ZAREMBA Jerzy | POL - POL | 47.32 |
| 136 PODDAR Dipak - SHAH Anal | IND - IND | 50.40 | 195 HETZ Nathan - LEVINGER Asa | ISR - ISR | 47.14 |
| 137 NEDKOV Stanislav - TENEV Tenyu | BUL - BUL | 50.39 | 196 GOEL Ashok Kumar - VAIDYA Suhas Vaman | IND - IND | 47.10 |
| 138 MARMONTI Dario - MASSA Gaetano | ITA - ITA | 50.33 | 197 DESSAIN Tom - KABAN Tugrul | ENG - ENG | 47.04 |
| 139 BUJENITA Daniel - ISTVAN Vidami | ROM - ROM | 50.29 | 199 DE SAINT PASTOU Alain - LESAGE Francois | FRA - FRA | 46.99 |
| 140 BUUS THOMSEN Emil - SKOVLY Frederik | DEN - DEN | 50.26 | 200 PENFOLD Sandra - SENIOR Brian | ENG - ENG | 46.98 |
| 141 OIKONOMOPOULOS Giorgos - |  |  | 201 MORAWSKI Dariusz - SALONEN Irmeli | FRA - FRA | 46.98 |
| OIKONOMOPOULOS loannis | GRE - GRE | 50.15 | 202 ANFINSEN Ivar M. - SOLHEIM Eli | NOR - NOR | 46.82 |
| 142 FOSSI Niccolo - PAUNCZ Peter | ITA - ITA | 50.05 | 203 RITMEESTER Peter - VERDONK Ronald | NED - NED | 46.71 |
| 143 VANDEREET Ben - VANDEREET Piet | BEL - BEL | 50.02 | 204 IOVESCU Silviu Gabriel - LAZAR Catalin-L. | ROM - ROM | 46.70 |
| 144 KING Philip (Phil) - SMALL Cameron | ENG - ENG | 49.87 | 205 CODRIN Marcu - DUMITRASCU Florin | ROM - ROM | 46.68 |
| 145 SOLLI HANSEN Jon - SVINDAHL Frank | NOR - NOR | 49.85 | 206 AZOULAY Yves - GANIVET Jacques | FRA - FRA | 46.50 |
| 146 SELLDEN Goran - WENNEBERG Bjorn | SWE - SWE | 49.82 | 207 ANDERSSON Jorgen - JOHANSSON Anders | SWE - SWE | 46.31 |
| 147 GUSITA Cristian - TEODORECI Dragos | ROM - ROM | 49.81 | 208 BAHNIK Ondrej - BAHNIK Petr | CZE - CZE | 46.21 |
| 148 SEBBANE Lionel - THUILLEZ Laurent | FRA - FRA | 49.79 | 209 KENNY Joan - KIRBY Brid | IRL - IRL | 46.13 |
| 149 SERPOI Gheorghe - STIRBU Calin | ROM - ROM | 49.74 | 210 MOSSOP David - McINTOSH Andrew | SUI - ENG | 46.12 |
| 150 FRENCKEN Alain - VANDERVORST Mike | BEL - BEL | 49.73 | 211 BROGELAND Boye - GILLIS Simon | NOR - ENG | 46.01 |
| I5I BARONI Franco - CESATI Alberto | ITA - ITA | 49.71 | 212 GERGOV Georgi - RADEV Radi | BUL - BUL | 45.98 |
| 152 KAVALENKA Andrei - KORZUN Aleksandr | BLR - BLR | 49.67 | 213 NARDULLO Ennio - NOVO Antonella | ITA - ITA | 45.87 |
| 153 KARLSEN Jan Frode - MARTINUSSEN Stig | NOR - NOR | 49.66 | 214 PASKALEVA Stefka - RIBARSKA Mariana | BUL - BUL | 45.87 |
| 154 COOPER Jenny - McGREGOR Moira | ENG - SCO | 49.57 | 215 BAUSBACK Nikolas - GWINNER Hans-H. | LUX - GER | 45.69 |
| 155 DE MENDEZ T. - MICHAUD-LARIVIERE X. | SUI - MON | 49.47 | 216 ENGENES Vidar - NORGREN Bo | NOR - NOR | 45.63 |
| 156 DEBUS Eric -VAN MIDDELEM Guy | BEL - BEL | 49.44 | 217 KAPTEIN Mark - VAN IPENBURG Helena | NED - NED | 45.50 |
| 157 COLINET Eric - MEYER Jean | BEL - BEL | 49.42 | 218 BELLOSTA Philippe - BELLOSTA Veronique | FRA - FRA | 45.43 |
| 158 ERCAN Sehmus - PEYRET Hakan | TUR - TUR | 49.41 | 219 KURBALIJA Filip - SHIELDS Patrick | WAL - WAL | 45.43 |
| 159 CHERNY Mikjail - MEDVEDEV Oleg | ISR - ISR | 49.40 | 220 DI FRANCO M. - MARGIOTTA Saverio | ITA - ITA | 45.42 |
| 160 BAHBOUT Sam - ENGEL Zvi | BEL - BEL | 49.38 | 221 CRONIER Philippe - TESSIERES Godefroy De | FRA - FRA | 45.41 |
| 161 DE FALCO Dano - TEODORESCU Cornel | ITA - ROM | 49.32 | 222 CAPPELLER Joachim - SCHINZE Jorg | GER - GER | 45.39 |
| 162 WENNING Karin - WENNING Ulrich | GER - GER | 49.31 | 223 GILLILAND Dolores - WHELAN Maria | IRL - IRL | 45.29 |
| 163 COLDEA lonut - MARINA Bogdan | ROM - ROM | 49.23 | 224 PHILIPSEN Rens - SEVEREIJNS Luc | NED - NED | 45.25 |


| Pair | Country | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225 ERMLICH Marianne - MARWITZ Guenter | GER - GER | 45.21 |
| 226 GOLDFARB Ilia - PAIKIN Yotam | ISR - ISR | 45.13 |
| 227 LONGUEVILLE Johan - VANDENBUSSCHE | BEL - BEL | 45.11 |
| 228 JANSONS Ugis - SMILGAJS Andris | LAT - LAT | 44.98 |
| 229 CLAIR Paolo - DE MICHELIS Luca | ITA - ITA | 44.98 |
| 230 CHELU Eugen - DUMITRASCIUC Gabriel | ROM - ROM | 44.84 |
| 231 CUHADAR Ergun - VARDAR Rifat | TUR - TUR | 44.84 |
| 232 RYAN Dennis - MALYSA Marek | USA - POL | 44.82 |
| 233 EFRAIMSSON Bengt-Erik - ZACK Anna | SWE - SWE | 44.63 |
| 234 LARSEN Helge - RYNNING Erik | NOR - NOR | 44.41 |
| 235 KHOLOMEEV Vadim - VASILYEV Petr | RUS - RUS | 44.32 |
| 236 CURTIS Catherine - FEGARTY P | ENG - ENG | 44.27 |
| 237 DELIMPALTADAKIS N. - |  |  |
| PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS Y. | GRE - GRE | 44.24 |
| 238 MEDUGNO Gianni - TAGLIABUE Lorenzo | ITA - ITA | 44.08 |
| 239 HENRI Jacques - LAFOURCADE Jean-Pierre | BEL - BEL | 43.97 |
| 240 LEWIS Marshall - MULLER Renata | CRO - CRO | 43.84 |
| 241 BENDIKS Janis - BETHERS Janis | LAT - LAT | 43.82 |
| 242 MALTESE Jacky - SECHERESSE Jean-Claude | FRA - FRA | 43.70 |
| 243 GIERULSKI Boguslaw - SKRZYPCZAK Jerzy | POL - POL | 43.68 |
| 244 LEVI Stephane - MULLARD Guy | FRA - FRA | 43.61 |
| 246 DOLIA Mauro - SPANU Carlo | ITA - ITA | 43.54 |
| 247 BITRAN Albert - CHETRIT G | FRA - FRA | 43.49 |
| 248 SCHOUW Rick - STIENEN Rene | NED - NED | 43.43 |
| 249 BUUS THOMSEN Signe - JEPSEN Rasmus R. | DEN - DEN | 43.38 |
| 250 OLANSKI Wojtek - VAINIKONIS Vytautas | LTU - LTU | 43.33 |


| Pair | Country | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 25I BEARPARK Catherine - KEMPLE Brid | IRL - IRL | 43.2 I |
| 253 GLASEK Grzegorz - PIWOWARCZYK Marcin POL - POL | 43.12 |  |
| 254 PAROL Marek - SZCZEPANOWSKI Radoslaw POL - POL | 42.92 |  |
| 255 DE DUVE Alain - MIRAVET Solange | BEL - BEL | 42.89 |
| 256 CHARLIER Guy - TIFOUS Nourredine | BEL - BEL | 42.89 |
| 257 MALESZA Leonard - SHAMA Jessica | POL - FRA | 42.78 |
| 259 HOULIHAN Desmond - PATTINSON Bob | IRL - IRL | 42.69 |
| 260 PATTINSON Maureen - RIGNEY Teresa | IRL - IRL | 42.62 |
| 26I BARDSEN Tore - HAUGEN Tove | NOR - NOR | 42.56 |
| 262 PRINS Henk Jan - VAN HEEST Gabriele | NED - NED | 42.43 |
| 263 ROEHL Joergen - WERGE Hans | DEN - DEN | 42.28 |
| 265 BINEAU Thierry - CLAVER J | FRA - FRA | 42.18 |
| 266 PAVLIN Milan - ROJKO Silvana | SLO - SLO | 42.00 |
| 267 BOLAND Rory - MORAN Mark | IRL - IRL | 41.98 |
| 268 DARLING Marina - MCPHEE Bob | AUS - AUS | 41.89 |
| 269 BONIN Benjamin - BONIN Philippe | FRA - FRA | 41.49 |
| 270 LUESSMANN Claudia - SMYKALLA Gisela | GER - GER | 41.49 |
| 27I LIOSSIS Georgios - SIRAKOPOULOU C. | GRE - GRE | 41.27 |
| 272 HOLMBAKKEN Johnny - JOHNSTUEN F. | NOR - NOR | 41.05 |
| 273 LANGER Darina - NIKITINE Ruth | SUI - SUI | 40.66 |
| 274 ELBRO Helle Simon - RISOM Karin Strande | DEN - DEN | 39.58 |
| 275 LUPSAN Corina - LUPSAN Octavian | ROM - ROM | 39.49 |
| 277 ADELSBERGER Heimo - BEILDORFF Frank | DEN - DEN | 38.64 |
| 278 MARRO Christophe - MAZE SENCIER Jean | FRA - FRA | 38.43 |
| 279 FAEHR Birgit - STAHL Wolf | GER - GER | 38.36 |

$41^{\text {st }}$ WORLD TEAM CHAMPIONSHIPS
16-29 September 2013 4. ball indonesia

The 4Ist World Teams Championships, which include the World Transnational Open Teams Championship, will be held on the magical island of Bali in September this year. The Transnational Championship starts on Tuesday 24th September.

The Transnational Teams is open to all players who are members in good standing of their National Bridge Organisation, and of course, being transnational means that they can play with partners or team mates from other countries.

It's an enjoyable and exciting event - a real challenge too, because many of the teams that participate in the Bermuda Bowl,Venice Cup and d'Orsi Seniors Trophy but do not get through to the knock-out phase drop into the Transnational Teams. This means that teams entering the event get the opportunity of playing against some of the leading players in the world. There are few - if any - other sports where this can happen, and it makes for a truly great competition.

Add to that the atmosphere of the final stages of the main championships - the Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup and d'Orsi Seniors Trophy, with the excellent vu-graph and all the tension of the Finals and it becomes an unforgettable experience.

Bali is an amazing place to visit as well, and the Championships are being held in the spectacular resort of Nusa Dua. Come and play then stay a few extra days to enjoy the wonderful beaches and the great culture to be found on Bali.We feel sure you will have a truly wonderful time!

To find out more and to register for this great Championship, just go to www.worldbridge.org - the World Bridge Federation looks forward to welcoming everyone to Bali in September.

## OPEN TEAMS KNOCKOUT



## 52nd International Pula bridge festival 2013



September $7^{\text {th }}-15^{\text {th }} 2013$
Hotel Histria, Pula, Croatia

- Sept. $7^{\text {th }}-$ IMP pairs
- Sept. $8^{\text {th }}$ - Mixed teams
- Sept. 9th - BAM teams
- Sept 10th - Mixed pairs
- Sept. $11^{\text {th }} \& 12^{\text {th }}-$ Open teams
- Sept. $13^{\text {th }} \& 14^{\text {th }}-$ Open pairs
- Entries 15 euro/player/session
- Prizes over 30.000 euro


| Ryan air to Pula (2-way ticket) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| London | $100 €$ |
| Brussels | $50 €$ |
| Oslo | $120 €$ |
| Paris | $60 €$ |
| Frankfurt | $60 €$ |

Info \& bookings:
Thana BrkJacic tihana@pilar.hr +385996668877
www.pulabridgefestival.com

