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## ENTERING THE FINAL STRAICHT



Before the Staff Party

The leading pair in each event carries forward the equivalent of exactly two tops start over the bottom qualifying pair (see page I2).
The Juniors have 57 boards ( 3 boards per round $\times 19$ opponents), the Girls $55(5 \times \mathrm{II})$ and the Youngsters 60 boards ( $4 \times 15$ ).
For information about dinner and the President's Cup schedule, see page 10 .
The Closing Ceremony will be held at 20.15.

| Programme |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRIDAY July 6th <br> Junior-Youngsters-Girls Pairs Barometer |  |  |
| Juniors | Youngsters | Girls |
| 9.30-10.50 ........1-9 | 9.30-11.10 ...1-12 | 9.30-11.00 . .1-10 |
| II.05-12.35..10-18 | II.25-12.30 I3-20 | II.I5-I2.45 .ll-20 |
| Lunch |  |  |
| 13.15-15.15 ....1-15 | 13.15-15.35...1-16 | 13.15-15.15 . .1-15 |
| 15.40-17.40 ....1-15 | 15.50-18.10...1-16 | 15.30-17.00 . .1-10 |
| 17.55-19.15..16-24 | 18.25-19.30 17-24 | 17.15-18.45 . $11-20$ |
| 20.15 ........ . $C$ | osing Ceremony, C | tre Court off foyer |

## JUNIORS

## QUALIFIER - FINAL RESULTS

WACKWITZ Ernst - WESTERBEEK Chris ..... 56.80
BILDE Dennis - JEPSEN Emil ..... 56.67
KLUKOWSKI Michal - ZATORSKI Piotr ..... 56.58
DI FRANCO Massimiliano - ZANASI Gabrie ..... 56.47
GEORGESCU Horia - NISTOR Radu ..... 56.28
GANDOGLIA Alessandro - DELLE CAVE G. ..... 55.83
IGLA Bartlomiej - WOJCIESZEK Jakub ..... 54.88
HEGGE Kristoffer - STANGELAND Kristian ..... 54.05
BIELAWSKI Maciej - NIAJKO Slawomir ..... 54.02
KILANI Alexandre - LEBATTEUX Aymeric ..... 53.56
GULLBERG Daniel - KARLSSON Johan ..... 52.42
KARBANOVICH Stanislav - SIAREBRANY M. ..... 52.30
BOVET David - MARGOT Cedric ..... 51.94
HUBERSCHWILLER Matthias - TAR ..... 50.84
BUUS THOMSEN Signe - JEPSEN Rasmus Rask ..... 50.82
JONES Edward - PAUL James ..... 50.66
FRANCESCHETTI Pierre - LHUISSIER Nicolas ..... 50.49
GRUENKE Paul - RUSCH Michael ..... 50.32
BOYD Richard - O'CONNOR Kelan ..... 50.10
Shuttlebuses to Billund Airport Saturday 07.07.201210:00

12:30
16:00
17:00

Please be ready in good time.
We hope you have enjoyed your stay in Denmark.

| 27 | BJORKSTRAND Robin - FRYKLUND Erik | 50.06 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | BRAUN Raffael - WEYAND Sebastian | 49.72 |
| 29 | JOCHYMSKI Tomasz Maciej - KANIA Michal | 49.15 |
| 30 | BROERSEN Vincent - PHILIPSEN Rens | 48.92 |
| 31 | FISCHER Brigitta - WAGNER Zsolt | 48.67 |
| 32 | EGE Niclas Raulund - TOFTE Lars | 48.59 |
| 33 | KOFLER Thomas - KRONSCHLAEGER Florian | 48.56 |
| 34 | ELLERBECK Max - ZIMMERMANN Felix | 48.53 |
| 35 | VLAANDEREN Floris - VLAANDEREN Frits | 48.17 |
| 36 | BOURA Patrik - KRALIK Frantisek | 48.01 |
| 37 | LIETAERT Pieter - VAN DEN HOVE Wouter | 47.83 |
| 38 | WADL Raffael Daniel - WEINBERGER Simon | 47.29 |
| 39 | LONSKI Adam - MADEJ Kamil | 46.92 |
| 40 | LIEPINS Karlis - RATNIEKS Janis | 46.92 |
| 41 | AYDOGDU Erkmen - KAPUSUZ Yusuf Berkay | 46.64 |
| 42 | FITSKIWouter - SCHOONEN Ludo | 46.45 |
| 43 | EIDE Harald - ELLINGSEN Kristian | 46.05 |
| 44 | BASA Marusa - RUS Gregor | 45.72 |
| 45 | HALAT Tomasz - KAZMIERCZAK Remigiusz | 45.19 |
| 46 | VERBEEK Rik - VERBEEK Thijs | 44.46 |
| 47 | BEKERE Liga - GARKAJE Ginta | 39.68 |
| 48 | ELLISON Ruaridh - McLEOD Alisdair | 38.99 |
| 49 | THORSEN Matilde - THORSEN Rasmus | 38.84 |
| 50 | JANSEN Sander - RAMER Milan | 38.16 |

## Reminder

On Friday the 6th of July, after the closing ceremony, the Danish Junior Committee would like to invite all of you to an afterparty in the bar downstairs.
The party will start after the closing ceremony and will finish at 2 in the night.
You will be able to buy alcoholic drinks and of course softdrinks like coca cola.
Please let Mr. Morten Bilde or Mr. Kristoffer Rasmussen know if you would like to participate in the party.
You will find Morten or Kristoffer in the area arround the information in the hall.
Best regards
Morten and Kristoffer
The Danish Junior Committee.

## RESULTS

## YOUNGSTERS

## QUALIFIER - FINAL RESULTS

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { KAZMIERCZAK Wojciech - WITKOWSKI L. } & 58.63 \\ \text { CHARIGNON Fabrice - DU CORAIL Edouard57.84 } \\ \text { GULCZYNSKI Michal - KOWALEWSKI R. } & 57.60 \\ \text { POLAK Tobias - SCHOLS Michel } & 56.2 \text { I } \\ \text { EKENBERG Simon - HULT Simon } & 56.12 \\ \text { GRONKVIST Ida - GRONKVIST Mikael } & 55.68 \\ \text { RIMSTEDT Mikael - RIMSTEDT Ola } & 55.03 \\ \text { BERNARD Julien - CAILLIAU Ivan } & 54.39\end{array}$
OVERBEEKETom van - WESTERBEEK Ricardo54.36
BEUGIN Francois - DEHEEGER Colin 53.29
BEN DAVIDYuval - HERSHFANG Dan 53.1I
LOSIEWICZ Igor - TERSZAK Andrzej 52.79
COMBESCURE Baptiste - LALOUBEYRE C. 52.54
KOLEK Lukas - VOJTIK Jakub 52.30
DONATI Giovanni - PERCARIO Giacomo 51.78
PEDZINSKI Jan - ZELAZNY Aleksander 51.76
STOUGIE Leen - STOUGIE Marc 51.20
ENGELEN Bas van - GOTINK Kevin 51.09
OIKONOMOPOULOS loannis - VOVOS K. 50.88
DLUGOSZ Olga - GRABIEC Maciej 50.83
JEDRZEJEWSKI Grzegorz - POLOWCZYK P. 50.70
BILDE Majka Cilleborg - JEPSEN Peter 50.52
BAHBOUT Sam - VAN OVERMEIRE Jens 50.01
BILDE Soren Cilleborg - PLEJDRUP Andreas 49.95
GUNDESEN M. - STEINMULLER Jes Enok 49.43
COPPENS Pim - DONKERSLOOT Bob 49.21
JAKABSIC Jakub - KVOCEK Juraj 48.95
GINOSSAR Itamar - REITER Adam 48.74
BIJSTERVELDT Niels van - KILJAN Veri 48.42
BUUS THOMSEN Emil - SKOVLY Frederik 48.17
SEVEREIJNS Luc - TIJSSEN Luc 47.67
ERASTOVA Anastasia - ZVEZDIN Zigfrid 46.99
ILLINGWORTH Frederick - ROBERTS Alex 46.83
ALTER Florian - LEROY Christian 46.72
LABRIZ Daniel - SAFSTEN Johan 45.91
BUNE Soren - LAHRMANN Christian 45.83
NIJSSEN Oscar - SMID Tom 44.59
BRODERSEN Jon - NIELSEN Tobias Hinz 43.88
TAYLER Angus - WOLSTENHOLME Arthur 43.20
DJILOVIC Josko - MIJIC Ante 42.60
4I CASPERSEN Soeren Veel - ROSAGER Oliver 36.75
42 CHRISTENSEN M. - GRAVERSEN Kira Oland 35.95

## GIRLS

## QUALIFIER - FINAL RESULTS

KAZMUCHA Danuta - SAKOWSKA Natalia 60.49
SPANGENBERG Sigrid - TICHA Magdalena 58.70
CHAVARRIA Margherita - LANZUISI Flavia 58.61
DUFRAT Katarzyna - KEDZIERSKA Urszula 57.60
TACZEWSKA Joanna - ZMUDA Justyna 55.86
NAB Judith - SPANGENBERG Jamilla 55.72
BUTTO Federica - COSTA Margherita 54.76
8 BRINCK Katharina - EGGELING Marie 54.12
9 BIRD Sinead - O'CONNOR Sarah 53.89
10 HOLEKSA Magdalena - WESOLOWSKA K. 53.16
II JAROSZAleksandra - WEINHOLD Izabela 52.47
12 BUDZYNSKA Magda - SROKA Joanna 52.01
13 MORGIEL Anna - ROSLON Barbara 51.88
14 DELFT Doris van - RUITER Emma de 50.50
I5 LE PENSEC Alice - PUILLET Carole 50.46
16 PETERSEN Irma - RODIN Erika 49.73
17 LELEU Anais - SEGUIN Pauline 49.54
18 CHOJNICKA Agata - CHUDY Agnieszka 48.8।
19 DUFRENE Beryl - THUILLEZ Mathilde 48.35
20 PETERSEN Moa - WAHLESTEDT Catrin 46.84
21 BYRA Aleksandra - BYRA Joanna 46.38
22 KAYA Deniz - USKUP Burcu 46.29
23 BUNE Sophie - JANTZEN Britt 44.14
24 BOTTA Giorgia - SALVATO Michela 43.91
25 BANAS Natalia - WACKWITZ Janneke 43.4I
26 GANZEVLES Barbara - LEJEUNE Mette 43.04
27 CHARKOW Alischa - CHARKOW Tamara 4I.2I
28 PALCZYNSKA Liliana - SKUBICH Karolina 39.65
29 JUSTESEN Rikke Capion - KOCH-PALMUND S. 38.46

## REFRESHMENTS

If you are in need of soft drinks, ice cream, sweets etc. this week there is a service shop where you can buy at favourable prices. The "shop" is situated down the long hall adjoining the playing area. It is open during play times, both just before and after, and during breaks.

## Youngsters Qualifier Day 2

Yesterday's Bulletin featured mostly Juniors and Girls so on the second day of the Qualifier the Bulletin began at the table of the overnight leaders in the Youngsters, Michal Gulczynski, 20, \& Roman Kowalewski, 20, of Poland. This is Roman's last major championship as a Youngster but Michal is in the Poland Youngster team for the World Youth Teams in China later this month. Their system, of course, is Polish Club.
They began against two brothers from the Netherlands Marc, 16, \& Leen, I5, Stougie. The Stougie's play 5-card major, strong notrump.

Board I. Dealer N. None Vul.

```
4 A 3
&AJIO 9 8 7 3
\diamond
```

2982



Roman Kowalewski, Poland

Marc Stougie as North had a surprisingly strong pre-empt for a Junior, having two aces. East had a normal overcall and South a raise to Four, though some might bid Five.
Over Four Hearts Gulczynski bid a fairly quick 4s, though your reporter was thinking me might make a slam try of $5 『$. When North bid on and East doubled West had an automatic removal to spades again.
South led $\vee$ K. Declarer ruffed and played a trump. North ducked so declarer switched to diamonds. North ruffed the second diamond with his ace of trumps and switched to a club. Declarer rose with the ace, and took the diamond finesse to claim 12 tricks. -480 was close to average ( $18 / 40$ for $N / S$ ) as only one pair had bid and made the slam. The next deal was not a good advertisement for Polish Club:

Board 2. Dealer E. N-S Vul.

- J 1075
© A 4
$\diamond$ A Q J 4
- 1053
$\perp Q 8642$
$\triangleright$ Q 10965
$\diamond 83$
$>9$
$W_{S}{ }^{N}$
- K 3
- K 7
$\diamond 1052$
- A 9

คJ832
$\diamond$ K 976

- 182

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gulczynski | Marc S. | Kowalewski | Leen S. |
|  |  | 1\% | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 29 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3s | All Pass |

West began with the negative $\mathrm{I} \diamond$. When opener rebid clubs it appears the range is very wide. West mentally shrugged his shoulders and decided to hope for a major suit fit. They ended in 34 .
This did not go well. North, Marc Stougie, made the intelligent lead of the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ to see dummy. The sight of that produced an automatic switch to ace and queen of diamonds. A third diamond was ruffed by declarer who led a spade to the king and ace. South returned a heart. Declarer won and led a trump to the queen. The key moment had arrived. By switching to clubs declarer could ensure two off, but would this collect many matchpoints?
Going for broke declarer led a third trump. Broke it was. North won, drew the last trump, and cashed a diamond before conceding the rest to the three top clubs in dummy. That was +150 to N/S and only 9 matchpoints for E-W (two off would have been worth twice as much).

Had West Passed Two Clubs it is likely North would protect, though it is risky with only two hearts. Two Diamonds is the limit for N -S. If $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ continue to 30 which goes only one down, N-S will need to double to get a decent score.
On the next round the Polish pair switched to East-West and met the French pair who were in second place overnight. Edouard Du Corail, 20, is playing in his last Youngster Championship. His partner Fabrice Charignon, 19, is partnering Grégoire Lafont in the world Youngster Teams later this month in China.They play Standard French style except Multi $2 \triangleleft$ and a constructive 2 $\$ / \checkmark$.

Board 3. Dealer S. E-W Vul.

- 1074

ค AJ 2
$\checkmark$ A 874
\& Q 32

| ¢ A Q 3 | N | ¢ K 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 954$ | $W^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q 8 |
| $\diamond$ Q | W E | $\diamond$ KJ9652 |
| \& A 109764 | S | ¢ 85 |
|  | Q J 952 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10763$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 103$ |  |
|  | \& K J |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kowalewski | Du Corail | Gulczynski | Charignon <br> Pass |
|  |  |  | INT |

## All Pass

East-West can make $2 \diamond$ and North does not have the right shape to protect, so South, Charignon, was right to


Fabrice Charignon, France
overcall Two Hearts. As he had not had enough to overcall on the previous round North had an automatic Pass.
West led $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$.
The contract hinges on either guessing the trumps or disposing of the losing diamond on a club before East gets the lead to cash his diamond. Charignon decided to rely at once on West having the trump queen. He won the diamond lead played a heart to the king and a heart to the jack. When this lost East was able to cash his diamond and the defence made their four black winners in due course. So 28 went one down. -50 for N-S was worth $23 / 40$ Matchpoints. Had they allowed $2 \triangleleft$ to play the N/S score would be only 14 MPs, and if $2 \checkmark$ makes that is almost a top, 36/40.
This was the next deal:
Board 4. Dealer W. All Vul.

- J632

คA95
$\diamond A J$
\& Q 954

| -104 | N | Q Q 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 743$ |  | QJ10 8 |
| $\diamond$ Q 98543 | W E | $\diamond 1076$ |
| ¢ 6 | S | \& A 1083 |
|  | - AK98 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ 62 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 2 |  |
|  | \& K 72 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kowalewski | Du Corail | Gulczynski | Charignon |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 15 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4NT |

All Pass
The opening le promised at least three. In France opener, with four spades, rebids is whether balanced or not. In other countries the rebid with a weak notrump hand is INT with a checkback to find a spade fit, and then a Is rebid promises longer clubs (either 5 or 4-I-4-4).
Having located their spade fit the French did well to get back into notrumps. South with 18 points facing a known I2-14 made an invitational raise that North, holding a minimum, rejected. So the final contract was 4NT by North.
The best lead for the defence is a diamond, in which case, if declarer as one might expect misguesses spades, he will be down.
But East led a low club. Declarer won with the queen over the jack and cleared the spades. East switched to the QJ. Declarer won and led a club towards dummy's king. East rose with the ace so when the hearts were 3-3 declarer had II tricks. +660 was worth a magnificent $34 / 40$ matchpoints for N-S. Making the same II tricks in spades would have been only 25/40.

## Junior Pairs Qualification, Sessions 3 and 4

Watching at the highest-numbered table is something special if the movement of the event is a so-called Endless Howell. At this table each pair makes its arrow-switch and this gives the kibitzers the chance to see each pair in action twice in succession. As it happened, interesting things often came up on consecutive boards so quite a number of the same actors will appear more than once in the report below.
The second board of the first round after the lunch interval was a slam. The only way to get there, however, was by appreciating the full potential of a minor suit fit in a pairs' game:

| Board 2. Dealer E. N-SVul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 9 |  |  |  |
| PKJ62 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ 65 |  |  |  |
| Q Q 1075 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K Q } 4 \\ & 8 \text { Q } 1043 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | ¢ 175 |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc 975$ |
| Q 8732+4 |  | E | $\checkmark 1094$ |
|  | S |  | \& 1932 |
|  | - A108632 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 8 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A |  |  |  |
| \& AK 86 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| O'Connor | Georgescu | Boyd | Nistor |
|  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 320 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pa |  |

Once North settled for 3NT with both his red tenaces, the auction would rest there. Only nine tricks were made by Georgescu on a diamond lead so one might say that declarer was in two minds, maybe also because he saw at once that the club slam was odds-on. He first went after the clubs but when they did not break, turned his attention to spades but in the end, refrained from playing a third round of that suit and simply cashed his top tricks. Six pairs were in slam for 43 mp to each of them. Nine tricks at NT also occurred six times but it closed the frequencies, apart from one pair going down.
On the next board, the Romanians got a much better score after their arrow-switch:

## Important

Please pay the bill for your room and food at the reception, at latest by Friday 12.00 am .

Board 3. Dealer S. E-W Vul.
Q QJ 976
$\bigcirc 102$
$\diamond 106$

- AK 2

-AKQ964
$\diamond$ K 9
* Q 963

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Georgescu | McLeod |
| Dble | 19 |
| Pass | 32 |
| All Pass |  |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nistor | Ellison |
|  | $1 / 8$ |
| Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 3NT |

West led the $\diamond \mathbf{A}$ and continued the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, thus establishing the suit. When declarer did not take his top tricks and did not take the heart finesse either, he had to go down three after conceding a trick to East who thus scored not only his $\mathrm{JJ}^{\text {b }}$ but all the matchpoints as well.
Time now for an accident or two. So far, we haven't had many of them which is, of course, a good thing as far as the general standard of the bridge here is concerned. However, stories about big numbers etc. often make good reading, especially at breakfast. Here is a nice little suspense story:

Board 6. Dealer E. E-WVul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \& 6 \\
& \diamond 10763 \\
& \diamond A K Q 9 \\
& \& 10986
\end{aligned}
$$




Apparently, 31 was alerted to South as a preemptive raise so he called the TD to protect his rights when dummy came down. Play went on, of course, and partner led a top diamond followed by a club to South's jack. Another diamond and another club through meant the defence already had five tricks before declarer got the lead for the first time. A heart and two trump tricks then made it I,I00 which enlightened the TD's job considerably...
One board later, this same NS pair got another very good score when Rus, now West, was one out of 4-6 players to find the killing lead against the spade small slam:

|  | Board 7. Dealer S. All Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 AK 1076 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 9 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK Q 732 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |
| ¢ J | N |  | - Q 43 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 654 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ K 1083 |
| $\checkmark 854$ |  | E | $\checkmark 9$ |
| * AKQJ9 |  | S | \& 106532 |
|  | ¢ 9852 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 172$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 106 |  |  |
|  | 9 874 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rus | Margot | Bana | Bovet |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1\% | 3\% | Dble | 3s |
| 4\% | 5NT | Pass | 64 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



Johan Karlsson, Sweden

Three Clubs showed the pointed suits and 5NT enquired about trump quality. From the bidding, West could deduce that North had to be void in clubs, so the only chance for the defence should be a heart. Right he (and a few happy others) were! One down was worth 40 mp .
Twelve tricks were made on 12 occasions: 5 in slam, once in 64 doubled and six times in game.
And another horror story or two:
Board II. Dealer S. None Vul.

- 10742

QQ9743
$\triangleleft K 5$

- 19
- A985
$\bigcirc 86$
$\diamond 8763$
\& A Q 7
West

Suzer $\quad$\begin{tabular}{lll}
North <br>
Karlsson

$\quad$

East <br>
Ercan

 

South <br>
Gullberg <br>
INT
\end{tabular}

In this auction, as you can see, East balanced like a real junior. West had nowhere to go and nine tricks proved not too difficult. NS +570 and all the matchpoints.
Only to hand them back on the next board at the same table:

Board I2. Dealer W. N-S Vul.
, AKQJ842
$\checkmark$ AJ
$\checkmark 3$
\& J 72
$\pm 93$
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond$ Q 1076542
\% Q 108

\& 1076
ค 10876
$\diamond$ AK 8

- 943

هK Q 5432
$\diamond$ J 9
\& AK 65

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Suzer | Karlsson | Ercan | Gullberg |
| Pass | $1 s$ | Pass | $2 \otimes$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $3 \Delta$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $?$ |

We were all waiting for South's reply over 4NT when suddenly...nothing happened.

East had an easy lead with the $\diamond A$ and the contract went down four from the top. This gave 46 mp back to the Turkish pair.
Not that it did much harm to the Swedes:
Board I3. Dealer N. All Vul.

- A 109643
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$
$\triangleleft 106$
\& KQ 97


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karlsson | Stangeland | Gullberg | Hegge |
|  | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 21 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

A nice natural auction to a distinctly unlucky slam. Only four pairs bid it and they all went down which seems a logical outcome. Even on a high cross-ruff, declarer does not have enough tricks on East's natural diamond lead. So 45 mp back to the Swedes against their Norwegian rivals.
The last board of the 3rd session caused havoc all over the EW camp:

Board I6. Dealer W. E-W Vul.

$$
\text { - } 732
$$

$\triangle$ AJ 103
$\diamond$ K 843
\& K 10

| -1086 | N | - Q 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 8$ |  | $\bigcirc$ KQ962 |
| $\checkmark$ J 10952 | W | $\checkmark$ A Q 6 |
| \&) 853 | S | - ${ }^{\text {A } 6}$ |
|  | - AKJ5 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 754$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 7$ |  |
|  | - Q 9742 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stangeland | Ilzins | Hegge | Bethers |
| Pass | Pass | INT | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2} \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The Norwegians dealt very well with these dangerous EW cards, finding their best fit. Though the contract went one down for the most of the time "magical" score of +200 , this board proved a clear exception. For NS, +200 was worth just over 27 mp or $56,8 \%$ only. You needed to score at least 800 in NS on a board like this for any chance of a decent score.
In the 4th session, the second board was a difficult game contract. I saw one of the leading pairs, the Italians Gandoglia and Delle Cave, play this hand against Latvian opponents.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ilzins | Gandoglia | Bethers | Delle Cave |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | $2 \diamond$ | 2ه | 24 |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

When Ilzins found the very good lead of a trump, Delle Cave had a nasty problem. He had to find alternative parking places for quite a number of losing hearts, as ruffing

them in dummy was out of the question now. So he decided to cross to his K K and play the $\diamond I 0$. When West played low, he decided to put up the king in dummy in view of West's opening bid but East won the $\diamond A$ and returned the QIO which held the trick. East continued the suit and dummy ruffed with the ace. From here, declarer had little option but to ruff a diamond in hand, cash the Q Q and play a club to dummy's ten. When this held and the Q appeared under dummy's c A, two more hearts went away so Delle Cave lost only the master spade at the end. Contract made and $38,6 \mathrm{mp}$ as six other declarers had made ten tricks in 44, one of them doubled.
On board 21, a number ofWests refused to lead partner's suit:

Board 2I. Dealer N. N-S Vul.
\& 109753
$\checkmark$ A 107
$\diamond 652$
(KJ
$\wedge Q 6$
$\diamond K 2$
$\diamond K$ Q 10
$\& A Q 7532$
$W^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}$

- AKJ 42
$\bigcirc 86$
$\diamond$ A 9874
99

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ellerbeck | Wadl | ZimmermannWeinberger |  |
|  | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| 2NT | 4@ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West was quite right to double the final contract but why not lead partner's suit? The actual trump lead gave it away immediately as the losing heart can go on the NK now. The reason to publish this hand is that the score of +590 to NS occurred on no less than five occasions. This excludes the +930 for the lucky NS who got doubled in 3s and managed to make two overtricks...

Board 22. Dealer E. E-WVul.
¢ AK 3
คA982
$\diamond 2$
\& K IO 854

| - J 8 | N | - Q 542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K QJ 53 |  | $\bigcirc 764$ |
| $\diamond{ }^{\text {J }} 3$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 87 |
| - Q 96 | S | - A 2 |
|  | -10976 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 1096 |  |

\& 73

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ellerbeck | Wadl | ZimmermannWeinberger <br> INT | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

On the other board at the same table, EW did much better. During the auction, there was some confusion about the exact meaning of West's double opposite the weak NT but for East, it did not matter at all. When declarer lost a trick in the play after the obvious PK lead, the contract even went down three for +500 and 47 mp to EW. Not that down two would have been any better...
On the slam below, Buus Thomsen found an interesting lead that did not make any difference:

Board 25. Dealer N. E-W Vul.
\& Q 873
$\checkmark$ K 106
$\diamond 95$
\& 976

```
@ | 10965
O-
< K10863
* J }10
```

 © A
$\bigcirc$ A Q 193
$\diamond A$ Q J 74
\% K 2

- K 42

๑ 87542
$\diamond 2$

* A Q 83

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Huberschwiller Jepsen | Tartarin | Buus Thomsen |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| $1 \$$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |



Emil Buus Thomsen, Denmark

The slam was bid at 9 or 10 out of our 25 tables. Twice it made with an overtrick, most probably on a heart lead which establishes the suit for declarer with only one ruff.
Would you, as South, fancy the lead of a low club on this auction? That's what happened at this table if I can believe the records... Not that it mattered: declarer still had to lose a club at the end.
Two boards later, Jepsen was among the East players who judged well:

Board 27. Dealer S. None Vul.

|  | $\text { \& } 93$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $898543$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 4 |  |
|  | - $\begin{gathered}\text { K } 97 \\ N\end{gathered}$ |  |
| - 8652 |  | ¢ K Q 4 |
| Q J 10 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\checkmark$ J 1065 |  | $\diamond$ K Q 92 |
| -1043 | S | * AJ 86 |
|  | -107 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 762 |  |
|  | $\diamond 873$ |  |
|  | 2 Q 52 |  |


| West <br> Buus Thomsen | North | East | South <br> Jepsen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kazmierczak |  |  |  |

This is one of those typical pairs' hands where both sides can nearly make game in a major. The danger is, of course, that when East doubles again, EW will probably end up in a spade contract which will be doubled for -I00 and nearly an average score (20-28). To score well, you should pass $4 \bigcirc$ as East. This brings you 36 mp but avoids the danger of partner getting involved in unwelcome activities.

## DINNERTONIGHT \& PRESIDENT's CUP SCHEDULE

The President's Cup Schedule is:
Session 1 10.00-11.30
Session 2 II.45-13.15
Session 3 I4.00-I5.30
Session 4 I5.45-17.15
The Restaurant will be open for dinner only from 1800 to 2000.
You are requested to eat early to be back in time for the Closing Ceremony.
The Closing Ceremony starts at 2015 in the Centre Court in the foyer.

At the conclusion of the Closing Ceremony there will be a light buffet and soft drinks.

## Younger brother

By Charles (Jens Otto Pedersen)
The Danish Bronzemedallist in Mixed Pairs, Signe Buus Thomsen has a younger brother, Emil Buus Thomsen. Emil and his partner Frederik Skovly is a part of The Danish National U-20 Team.
The two young Danes are playing in the Youngsters. They got a good start but fell away later.
I kibietzed this nice defence from the 6th Session.
Board II. Dealer S. None Vul.

- KQJ9
- K Q 107
$\diamond 743$
- 63
¢ 763
PAJ6532
$\checkmark$ A 5
\& 12

| N | - A 52 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 94$ |
| W E | $\checkmark 982$ |
| S | \& AK9 85 |
| -1084 |  |
| $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ K Q J 106 |  |
| \& Q 1074 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frederik | Emil | Skovly | Buus Thomsen |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 2\% | $2 \diamond$ |
| $2 \nabla$ | 3 | All Pass |  |

The lead was ej, taken by ek. East shifted to a trump taken by $\diamond$ A follow by $\diamond 5$. Declarer cleared the trumps and then followed with a spade ducked twice by Emil.
South does better to play $\mathbf{e}$, but he continued a third spade taken by CA leaving this position:


East continued with 89 taken by $\vee A$, and Skovly played 2 , East putting in 8 overtaken by 10 , but South was endplayed in clubs!
Two down after a nice defence was worth all the match points for The Young Danes.

## The Second String by Kees Tammens

In the first European Championships for junior mixed pairs the Dutch were not really at their best. However there were still three championships to be played. On the first day of the qualifications I wandered through the playing area. Some interesting playing hands occurred.

Board I5, Session 2, Youngsters

- 108
© J 42
$\diamond$ KJ 652
- AJ 6


| WEST | NORTH <br> Marc | EAST | SOUTH <br> Leen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass <br> Pass |
| $3 \wedge$ | dbl | All pass |  |

Sitting North-South from the Netherlands were brothers Marc \& Leen Stougie.


Sigrid Spangenberg, Netherlands

It is always nice to see that youngsters themselves believe partner has something when he or she opens a multi- $2 \diamond$. So West got to a high 3s instead of an easy 24. After the diamond lead for $\diamond$ Q I wondered what would be the best line of play. Giving up SK -doubleton in North I would play $\diamond A$, ruff a diamond and play $\Phi A$ and a spade. A sort of premature endplay against the player with $\mathbf{~ K}$-doubleton.
At the table declarer tried a smart small spade. Leen was not to be fooled, took $\boldsymbol{\$} \mathrm{K}$ and played $\$ 4$, a good defence (with eAJ8 in East it would be killing. Now declarer put in $\% 10$ (was finessing for 9 recommended, a tough decision) and Marc covered with $\%$ for N in East. Declarer drew the trumps and played heart to $\triangle 8$ and $\vee 10$. $\vee$ A followed by a small heart. Declarer ruffed in dummy, crossed to $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{7}$ in East and played a club for e Q and one down. A great hand to kibitz.
Walking further to the Girls I saw Jamilla Spangenberg execute a nice little safety play.

Board 20, Session 4, Girls
¢ K 73
$\bigcirc$ K 102
$\diamond J 109$
\& KJ 64

$\vee$ J 964
$\diamond A 7643$
\& $A$


- A6
- A Q 8753

52
\& 1082

- J 109842
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond \mathrm{K}$ Q 8
\& Q 975

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nab |  | J.Spangenberg |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All pass |

 played $\vee J$, the right card with $8 \mathrm{KIO2}$ in North. And so it was.
Emma de Ruiter also scored a game, but as North-South

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \curvearrowright$ | $2 \uparrow$ |
| $4 \curvearrowright$ | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dbl | All pass |  |  |

The lead was impressive: $A$, then a small diamond for $\diamond K$ in South. $\$ \mathbf{~}, ~ \$ 3$ and $\$$. The diamond ruff would bring one down but not unreasonably East returned a club for partner to ruff. But helas!

## JUNIORS

## CARRY OVER

```
WACKWITZ E. - WESTERBEEK C. 36.00
BILDE D. - JEPSEN E. 35.23
KLUKOWSKI M. - ZATORSKI P. 34.67
DI FRANCO M. - ZANASI G. 34.46
BOS B. - LANKVELD J. }34.0
GEORGESCU H. - NISTOR R. 32.87
GANDOGLIA A. - DELLE CAVE G. 32.59
BETHERS P. - ILZINS J. 30.15
IGLA B. - WOJCIESZEK J. 24.39
HEGGE K. - STANGELAND K. 23.13
HELMICH A. - HOP G. 19.4|
BIELAWSKI M. - NIAJKO S. 19.21
KILANI A. - LEBATTEUX A. 16.42
JASSEM P.-TUCZYNSKI P. I2.29
GULLBERG D. - KARLSSON J. 9.54
KARBANOVICH S. - SIAREBRANY M. 8.97
ERCAN S. - SUZER U. 8.84
BOVET D. - MARGOT C. 6.67
HUBERSCHWILLER M. - TARTARIN A. 0.38
SHPUNTOU I. - SHPUNTOUY. 0.00
```

YOUNGSTERS

## CARRY OVER

| I | KAZMIERCZAK W. - WITKOWSKI L. | 28.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | CHARIGNON F. - DU CORAIL E. | 24.80 |
| 3 | GULCZYNSKI M. - KOWALEWSKI R. | 23.81 |
| 4 | POLAK T. - SCHOLS M. | 18.13 |
| 5 | EKENBERG S. - HULT S. | 17.77 |
| 6 | GRONKVIST I. - GRONKVIST M. | 15.97 |
| 7 | RIMSTEDT M. - RIMSTEDT O. | 13.34 |
| 8 | BERNARD J. - CAILLIAU I. | 10.71 |
| 9 | OVERBEEKE T. - WESTERBEEK R. | 10.61 |
| IO | BEUGIN F. - DEHEEGER C. | 6.24 |
| II | BEN DAVID Y. - HERSHFANG D. | 5.48 |
| I2 | LOSIEWICZ I. - TERSZAK A. | 4.18 |
| I3 | COMBESCURE B. - LALOUBEYRE C. | 3.17 |
| I4 | KOLEK L. - VOJTIK J. | 2.18 |
| I5 | DONATI G. - PERCARIO G. | 0.06 |
| I6 | PEDZINSKI J. - ZELAZNY A. | 0.00 |

## Sports News

## Wimbledon

Men
Today's semifinals are
Federer v. Djokovic
Murray v.Tsonga
In the quarterfinals:
Federer beat Youzhny in straight sets
Djokovic beat Mayer in straight sets
Murray beat Ferrer 6-7 7-6 6-4 7-6
Tsonga beat Kohlschreiber 7-6 4-6 7-6 6-2
Women
Tomorrow's final is Agnieszka Radwanska v. Serena Williams

In the semifinals yesterday:
Radwanska beat Angelique Kerber 6-3 6-4
Serena Williams beat Victoria Azarenka 6-3 7-6
Tour de France Stage 4
Andre Greipel won stage 4.
Mark Cavendish \& Bradley Wiggins both involved in crash 2 km from the end.

## Tour de France Stage 5

Andre Greipel wins again. Cancellara retains yellow jersey.

## GIRLS

## CARRY OVER

| I | KAZMUCHA D. - SAKOWSKA N. | 20.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | SPANGENBERG S. - TICHA M. | 15.78 |
| 3 | CHAVARRIA M. - LANZUISI F. | 15.57 |
| 4 | DUFRAT K. - KEDZIERSKA U. | 13.19 |
| 5 | TACZEWSKA J. - ZMUDA J. | 9.08 |
| 6 | NAB J. - SPANGENBERG J. | 8.76 |
| 7 | BUTTO F. - COSTA M. | 6.49 |
| 8 | BRINCK K. - EGGELING M. | 4.97 |
| 9 | BIRD S. - O'CONNOR S. | 4.43 |
| 0 | HOLEKSA M. - WESOLOWSKA K. | 2.70 |
| I JAROSZ A. -WEINHOLD I. | 1.08 |  |
| 2 | BUDZYNSKA M. - SROKA J. | 0.00 |

