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# Doublé pour France France at the Double 



| FINAL |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team | $\mathbf{1 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 3 2}$ | Total |
| Bessis | 85 | 18 | 103 |
| Wanner | 0 | 32 | 32 |


| 3rd - 4th Position |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team | 1-16 | 17-32 | Total |
| Ivancic | 63 | 44 | 107 |
| Serf | 64 | 21 | 85 |

They will be dancing in the streets of Paris tonight when the news of yet another famous French victory arrives. Congratulations to Paul Chemla, Catherine d'Ovidio Saul, Michel \& Veronique Bessis. The holders found their best form when it mattered and their victory was already secure at half time when they led by a remarkable 85-0! No doubt they will try for a hat trick in 2000!

Our commiseration's to their opponents, the Netherlands Martin Wanner, Ine Gielkens, Han Begas and Ellen Bakker. However, as the official representatives of their Country they can be proud of their performance in reaching the final.

The first half of the final was on VuGraph, and Catherine and Ellen were in opposition.They were both celebrating their birthdays, but the presents were all going one way!

What a moment for Davor Ruso, Seka Ivancic, Zoran Vukelic, Izvorka Petrovic and Bato Protega. They won the bronze medals, the first for Croatia in International bridge competition. What a tremendous performance for a Country with only 400 players.

Their opponents were still able to smile at the end, evidence once again of the tremendous spirit in which these championships have been played. Hard lines for France's Jean-Louis Stoppa, Marianne Serf, Marie Renoux and François Stretz.

When you get home make sure you start making your plans to attend the Prokom Software 10th European Open Pairs Championship \& 5th European Senior Pairs Championship which will be staged in Warsaw, March 15-20 1999.


## Bill Pencharz

President of the EBL
Ladies \& Gentlemen,
For all of you - and especially the medallists and other prizewinners - the Prize Giving is your ceremony. Therefore I shall be brief.

But I believe I have time for one short reminiscence. When I was elected president of the European Bridge League I checked the EBL's library and discovered that we did not have a tape of the National Anthems of all our 42 member Countries. Obviously we had the National Hymn of France, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland and so on. But not of Latvia and Malta; and some others!

It has been a difficult search at times. For example I had to go to the Consulate in Aberdeen (in Scotland) to obtain a tape of the Faroe Islands National Anthem. But we now have a copy, on CD or tape, of the National Hymn of all NCBOs who are members of the EBL.

Here in Aachen my insistence that we have all the National Anthems has turned out to be wise. For the first time in an International Bridge Championships it will be your pleasure, and their pride, to hear the Anthem of the Croatian Team.

The marvellous performance of the Croatian Team shows once again that Bridge is a truly International sport, where all are included; all can participate.

Bravo to the Croatians!
Bravo to all the medallists!
And also Bravo to all the people who have worked in the 5th European Mixed Championships to make them such a success.

On your behalf I would like to thank the Tournament Directors, Bulletin Staff, Press Room, View- Graph Operators, Tournament Manager and his team of scorers, duplicators, workers and caddies, Hospitality Staff, and everyone else, front stage or back room, who have worked so hard over the last seven days.

And of course, not just for the last week. An event like the 5th European Mixed Championships took months, indeed nearly two years, of planning and organizing. The Championships have been a joint production - a real partnership - by the European Bridge League and the Deutsche Bridge-Verband.

And on behalf of the EBL I would like to present the President of the DBV, Dr Walter Hüger, with the EBL Plackette as a mark of appreciation of how much the German Federation has contributed to our joint venture.

Now its time for medals, prizes and National Anthems. So I hand you back to Anna Maria.Thank you very much.

## The 'bronze'' board

InIn the play-off for third place the French team Serf lead by just one IMP at half-time $65: 64$. The second half was an interesting part score battle with France running away in the beginning and Croatia coming back with an incredible finish. Excitement lasted until the very last board:

Board 32. E/W Vul. Dealer West.

- 108
$\bigcirc 432$
$\diamond$ Q 976
\& AK 108

| - Q 9 | N | - AK 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 98$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 105 |
| $\diamond$ KJ2 | W E | $\checkmark 1043$ |
| \& QJ9742 | S | -63 |
|  | - J7642 |  |
|  | Q QJ 76 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 85 |  |
|  | - 5 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Srotega <br> Ms.Serf | Ms. Petrovic |  |
| Pass | Pass | I $\diamond$ | Pass |
| 2\& | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | Dble | All Pass |  |

The Croatian North player, Protega, found an excellent double. He knew that East/West only held limited values and he knew he was in full control of West's longest suit, clubs.

Ms. Petrovic did not miss the opportunity to lead her singleton club to dummy's jack and North's \$K. He then found the perfect switch, a small heart. Declarer won with the ace, played another club and to her disappointment had to give this trick to North's $\boldsymbol{\$ 8}$, who insisted on hearts with South's jack winning the trick. Ms. Petrovic returned a small spade, declarer took the Q , played another spade to her ace and tried the unsuccessful diamond finesse. A third round of hearts came back, South's queen scoring another trick for the defenders, who subsequently collected one more trick to score an excellent three down (Croatia +800 ).

Now over to the Closed Room.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vukelic | Stretz | Ms.lvancic | Ms. Renoux |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \&$ | Pass |
| $3 \mathbf{3 \&}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Although this contract went four down (France +400), the missing double meant another 9 IMPs and the bronze medal to the Croatian team, who won the match $108: 86$.

## SEMI-FINAL

## Second Half

by Brian Senior

Team Bessis led by 8 IMPs at half-time in their semi-final match with Team Serf. For the second half the vugraph show started with Board 3I and there was a major swing to set the ball rolling.

## Board 3I. N/S Vul. Dealer South.



In the Closed Room, Serf/Stoppa bid to 4s on the East/West cards. Paul Chemla doubled that and, thanks to the terrible trump split, the contract had to go one down for +100 to Bessis.

| Open Room <br> West <br> Bessis | North | Stretz | East <br> Bessis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | South |
| :---: |
| Renoux |
| 19 |

Ms Renoux passed the complex South hand and Michel Bessis opened l\%. When Stretz made the thin Is overcall on the North cards, there was no longer any danger of East/West playing in spades. When Veronique Bessis chose to ignore the club fit another doomed game was also avoided ( $5 \%$ goes down on ace of spade lead). But 3NT is also far from secure. Ms Renoux decided not to lead a diamond - the jack would destroy the contract while the spectacular play of the king to pin a stiff queen lets it through. Instead she led her top heart. The

The Organizing Committee would like to express its gratitude to:

## Digital Device Computer

in co-operation with
GAP-Soft GbR Aachen
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heart position is now clear to North and the indicated play appears to be to rise with the ace and switch to a diamond. But Stretz put in the nine and now Bessis was home. By leading spades through North twice she took two spade tricks and could then establish a second heart, making ten in all for +430 and a great start to the set; II IMPs to Bessis, ahead by 19.
Two boards later Serf struck back when Chemla/Saul played 5\% down one, a contract requiring one of two finesses, while Stretz/Renoux did well to play in an unbeatable 3NT.And there was more to come for Serf.

Board I9. E/W Vul. Dealer South.

- AK9854
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond 54$
- AK 82


## - 103

-AJ983
$\diamond$ J 10987

* 5
 -
8 KQ 105
$\diamond$ AKQ63
* QJ 73
- QJ 762

ค 642
$\diamond 2$
20 1094
West
Stoppa
Pass
5
Pas

Pass

| East | South <br> Serf <br> d'Ovidio-Saul |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass |
| Dble | 44 |
| 68 | Pass |

All Pass

There was nothing to the play, of course, and Stoppa was soon scoring up +1660 . Could his team-mates avoid doubling $6 \checkmark$ in the other room?

| West <br> Bessis | North <br> Stretz | East <br> Bessis | South <br> Renoux <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 10 | Dble | 49 |
| 58 | $5 \$$ | 68 | $6 \$$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

The auction was the same as far as $6 \vee$, but Ms Renoux made a big decision for her team when she saved in 64. The best East/West could do now was to double, but that netted only 300 as declarer was able to endplay East to hold his club losers to one.That meant a massive 16 IMPs to Serf, who were in the lead.

There was a similar swing in the other semi-final when Ivancic of Croatia found the 6ave while Wanner of the Netherlands doubled 68 . The swing was only 15 IMPs to the Croatians because their declarer missed the endplay and went down 500.

Board 20. Game All. Dealer West.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. AKQ 43 | N | - J 108 |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  | ¢J64 |
| $\checkmark 1083$ | W E | $\diamond$ KQ976 |
| 2 AK 85 | S | \& J 10 |
|  | - 65 |  |
|  | -K8532 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 52 |  |
|  | \& 92 |  |

The two auctions were identical: 14-24. Both Norths led the $\diamond 4$ to the king, ace and eight. We had seen that in the other match Croatia had defeated the game while the Dutch had let it through. Would either of the French Souths find the diamond return. North ruffs and must underlead his $\nabla \mathbf{A}$ to get a second ruff.

Given the strength of dummy and her own hand, perhaps South should bank everything on the diamond ruff defence but it is far from clear - after all, it only works when partner's limited values include the ace of hearts. Both Souths switched to the nine of clubs and the contract was duly made for a flat board. On vugraph, we saw Michel Bessis carefully win the club and play a heart to cut the defensive communications before taking his first club ruff. We do not have the play record from the Closed Room.

At this point, Croatia had closed from 37 down at the half to only 5 IMPs behind. That was to be as good as it got, however, and the Dutch gradually pulled away again to win by 7747 IMPs.

Board 2 I. N/S Vul. Dealer North.

|  | Q Q 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQ 109532 |  |  |
|  | 2 432 |  |  |
| - J 1042 | N | 1 | AK 97 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 109 |  | $\checkmark$ | K J 8653 |
| $\diamond 64$ |  | E $\diamond$ | 8 |
| \% A Q 10 | S | \% 65 |  |
|  | ¢ 863 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 72$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ 7 |  |  |
|  | 2KJ987 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Stoppa | Chemla | Serf | d'Ovidio-Saul |
|  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $4 \diamond(?)$ | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

We know that 3NT was a balanced game raise in hearts and we guess, but cannot be sure, that $4 \diamond$ showed the shortage. When Stoppa was willing to go past game to cuebid $5 \%$, Ms Serf jumped to the poor slam. Poor perhaps, but cold as the cards lie. After conceding 980 on this one Chemla/Saul must have been getting very concerned - their previous two results had been - 1660 and -620 , remember.

| West | North | East | South <br> Bessis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stretz | Bessis | Renoux |  |
|  | $3 \diamond$ | $3 \searrow$ | 3NT (!) |
| $4 \oslash$ | All Pass |  |  |

In the other room Stretz made what looks to me to be a completely normal $3 \diamond$ pre-empt. When East overcalled, Renoux psyched $3 N T$ and West just bid a quiet $4 \checkmark$. That made twelve tricks, of course, but +480 meant II IMPs away. Serf led by 13 .

## Board 22. E/W Vul. Dealer East.

- 87542

Q Q 3
$\diamond$ AJ 9

- 532
- KQJ963
- 1082
$\diamond K 4$
\& A 4


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Stoppa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemla | Serf <br> d'Ovidio-Saul |  |  |
| IS | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 28 | All Pass |  |

Stoppa under-competed with the West cards, allowing Saul to play a peaceful $2 \vee$, making ten tricks; +170 .

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis | Stretz | Bessis | Renoux |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| 19 | Pass | Pass | 20 |
| 24 | Pass | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

Here Bessis bid a second time with the West cards but Ms Renoux had the bit between her teeth and bid a third time with the South hand. Suddenly, having passed twice, Stretz
sprang to life, jumping to $4 \checkmark$. With hearts $3-3$ and the club finesse working it seemed that the Serf charge was about to continue.

Bessis led the $\mathbf{K}$ to the ace.After some thought Ms Bessis switched to a low diamond for the king and ace. Renoux played a club to the king and ace and back came a diamond. East won the queen and returned another diamond and suddenly the contract could not be made. Declarer had to ruff high but now West threw his remaining club and declarer could no longer take the club finesse to good effect. She was one down for 6 IMPs to Bessis when it could have been 6 IMPs to Serf.

Declarer must take the club finesse on the first round to succeed, and surely she knows that West holds the ace after seeing East's ace of spades.

Serf still led but over the next few boards Bessis gradually crept closer with a series of small swings and finally moved into the lead. That lead stood at just 2 IMPs when the last board hit the table.

## Board 30. Love All. Dealer East.

|  | - 10985 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 543 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K |  |
|  | * Q 2 |  |
| - J 64 | N | ¢ K Q 732 |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  | $\bigcirc 2$ |
| $\checkmark$ AQ 105432 | W E | $\diamond 9876$ |
| -83 | S | 2K74 |
|  | - A |  |
|  | 『 J109876 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |
|  | \& AJ10965 |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Chemla | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Serf } \\ & \text { Pass } \end{aligned}$ | d'Ovidio-Saul 18 |
| $2 \checkmark$ | $4 \bigcirc$ | 5 | $5 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bessis | Stretz | Bessis | Renoux |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| 2 | 45 | $4{ }^{1}$ | $5 \bigcirc$ |
| 5 | Dble | All Pass |  |

What a deal! In the Closed Room, Chemla/Saul stopped in 5 5, scoring up twelve tricks when the clubs came in; +480.

A dangerous board and when, in the Open Room, Michel Bessis started thinking over $5 毋$, his supporters in the vugraph room were praying that he would pass. But, though he knew he was only facing a five card spade suit because there had been no weak two opening, he also knew that his partner must have a diamond fit to risk 49, and in that case the double fit could produce a lot of tricks. When he bid 54 there were groans and cheers, all depending on the audience's allegiances. Stretz doubled 54 and Renoux made a disciplined pass.

The lead was a heart to the bare ace. Veronique Bessis led a spade to the queen and ace. It looks to us as though South must give a ruff and discard now and the hand will just become too much for declarer to manage, probably going for 800. Had Renoux found this defence, she would have been looking forward to playing in the final this morning. But unfortunately her actual choice was to play ace and another club. Making the K was all the help declarer needed. She established the diamonds and got out for just two down; -300 but 5 IMPs to Bessis.

After a breathless finish, Bessis won the match by 8 IMPs and would have the chance to defend the title they won two years ago in Monte Carlo.

Flat Board
by Herman DeWael, Belgium

Itn the match between Maurin (Fra) and Polet (Bel) in Round 9 this deal featured a one notrump contract at both tables which had been made at almost every other table playing the board.

## Board 28. N/S Vul. Dealer West.

- K 1053
-K865
$\diamond 96$
K K 75
$\&$
\&J 1043
$\diamond$ QJ 732
$\& Q J 64$

AJ9 42

- A 97
$\diamond A 10$
- 1092

Q Q 876
$\vee$ Q 2
$\diamond$ K 854
\& A 83

East opened Is and West,Voldoire, responded INT to end the auction. North led a heart. Declarer put in the nine, South taking the queen; then, on the heart return West played low and the eight forced out the ace. The 10 ran to the king and North switched to a spade. Declarer put in the nine and, rather to his surprise, it held the trick. Declarer now tried ace and ten of diamonds. South ducked and so did West. Then a second club was ducked by South and won by West. West was able to set up his diamonds, but he had no entry to them. South cashed the top club and exited with a low spade to the king and ace to endplay dummy. One off.

The auction and lead at the other table were the same. However, more naturally declarer played low from dummy at trick one and so won the heart nine at trick two. Again South ducked the diamond holding declarer to two tricks in the suit. North, when in with club king, cleared the heart ace, and when South won the third club she exited with a spade to the king and ace. So the defence again came to $A K, \diamond K$, two hearts and two spades. One off again!

## Aui Wiedersehen, Aachen!

The advances in technology that have been made in the last iew years have resulted in significant changes in the way the Daily Bulletin is produced.

In the good old days we could be coniident that at least one edition would be finished just as the players were appearing for brealifast, and that the last edition would almost always be the one that took the longest to arrive.

Jingers crossed, this edition will be in your hand during the closing ceremony. If it is, spare a thought for the people who helped to malie it possible, many of them giving up their chance to play in this event. In no particular order, they are: Steian Back, our German expert, who now wants to do a bulletin with 14 German pages and 2 'English Homepages'. Patrich Jourdain, our' chief prooi reader and Limericla compiler: Stelios Hadjidalis, lay-out editor extratrdinare.

They did the bulli of the worlt, but we did receive valuable assistance from a number of people, including Jean-Paul Meyer, who brought us the best Jrench stories, Marc Smith, who produced masses of copy, and Brian Senior, who provided coverage of the semi-finals and final.

Thanassis Matziaris, the wel editor; made some invalualble suggestions (he spotted our mistalies!) and the scoring teams from the Netherlands and Jrance set new records in the speed with which they made the results available to the bulletin.

[^0]Editor

Der :⿹ortschritt, den die moderne
Technologie in den letzten Jahren gemacht hat, bringt auch entscheidende
Verainderungen in der Produlition des Daily Bulletin mit sich.

In den guten, alten Zeiten lionnten wir sicher sein, dalb eine Ausgabe gerade fertiggestellt war, wenn die Spieler zum Jrühstüch erschienen, und daß die letzte Nummer meistens diejenige war, die am längsten dauerte.

Dr'ïchen wir die Danmen, daß Sie diese Ausgale wailrend der Schlufieier in Händen halten. Sollte dies der Tall sein, ist es an der Leit, sich einen .Moment derjenigen zu erinnern, die an diesem Bulletin mitgearbeitet halven, und damit aui eine Teilnahme als Spieler verzichteten. is sind dies in unbestimmter Reiheniolge: Steian Bach, unser deutscher Experte, der beim naichsten .Mal ein Bulletin aui Deutsch mit zwei "English Hompages" herausgeben will, Patricki Jourdain, unser Chei-Korrehtor und Simerich-LCieieramt, und Stelios Hadjidalis, unser exzellenter Cayout-Editor:

Sie hatten hier eine Menge Arbeit, alver wir erhielten auch Unterstützung von einigen Jrreiwilligen, darrunter Jean-Paul Meyer; der uns die besten französischen Geschichten lieferte, Marr Smith, der Ummengen an Händen niederschrieb, Brian Senior, der das Hallbinale und Finale dokumentierte, Thanassis Matziartis, unser Internet-Editor, stand uns hilireich zur Seite (er entdeckite alle unsere Jehler!) und die Scoring Teams aus den Niederlanden und Jramkreich, die alle Geschwindigheitsreliorde lrachen und somit die Ergebnisse unserem Bulletin schnell und zuvertässig zur Veriüugung stellen honnten.

## FINAL

## Boards I- 16

There was an explosive start to the Final between the holders from France and the winners of the Dutch Mixed Teams Championship who, as their prize, became the official Dutch team in Aachen.

Board I. Love AII. Dealer North.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begas | Chemla | Bakker | Saul |
|  | 18 | 24 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 49 | 5 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Michel | Wanner | Veronique | Gielkens |
|  | 18 | 3s | 4NT |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 54 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## I st Russian Bridge Cup

## 5-I I May 1998, Chelyabinsk

Pairs, Swiss \& Patton Teams.

## Prize fund US\$20,000

Further information is available from:
Alexander Riskin: 0035 I2 2104454 or
003512664919
Viacheslav Loundovsky: 003512770402
Vladimir Lebedev: 003512666743 or
003512175297

On Vugraph East overcalled only Two Spades and the French were allowed to play in Five Hearts. This is defeated by a minor suit lead, but West had not suggested an alternative and East led a fatal spade. Chemla was able to discard his losing club, and gave up only a trump and $\diamond A$ for 450 to France.

At the other table Veronique Bessis overcalled Three Spades on the East cards, and that encouraged Michel to go on to the Five level and he took the chance to indicate a lead other than a spade.
"The five level belongs to the opponents" is good advice, but only if you find the right lead! Various leads beat Five Spades doubled but not ace and another club. Declarer won, ruffed a heart and led a trump. So Five Spades doubled made for another 650 to France. A swing of 15 IMPs on the first board.

The next board was also a thriller, though it produced no swing:

Board 2. N/S Vul. Dealer East.

- K 87643

คJ62
$\diamond 632$


A 52
A 10874
A 987
K

- J 109
$\bigcirc 953$
$\diamond$ J 5
」 10753
West

Begas $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Chemla

$\quad$

East <br>
Bakker

$\quad$

South <br>
Saul
\end{tabular}

Take the Dutch auction first. After the fourth suit 2ast's raise implied the singleton club. $4 \odot$ was a cuebid, $4 N T$ Roman Keycard Blackwood, a response showing three keys, an enquiry about kings and East showed one outside trumps. West now knew that either East held AK x or making the grand a good proposition.

South led $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. Bakker won, led a trump to dummy, returned with a club, ruffed a spade, unblocked the hearts, cashed another top trump and led A. North ruffed, and was overruffed. A spade ruff was followed by a club ruff and then $\vee \mathbf{A}$ felled the suit. Whew!

At the other table after the same first three bids, West was able to make a forcing raise to $3 \triangleleft$. The lead was the same, but we have no details of the play except that the slam was made for a flat board.

## Board 3. E/W Vul. Dealer South.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow J 864 \\
& \diamond K 754 \\
& \diamond K \text { QJ } 3 \\
& \& 8
\end{aligned}
$$



| West Begas | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begas | Chemla | Bakker | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Saul } \\ & \text { INT } \end{aligned}$ |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | 4. | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Michel | Wanner | Veronique | Gielkens |
| Dble ${ }^{(1)}$ | Redble | Pass | Pass |
| 2* | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Hearts and a minor
Two Clubs doubled did not prove very profitable for the Dutch North-South pair who collected only 100. This was poor exchange for the easy game made at the other table despite the 4 -I trump break. Saul lost only one club and two trumps. A further 6 IMPs to France.

Board 4 was a normal game which failed at both tables when the trumps were $5-0$. Board 5 saw France gain an overtrick IMP and two more came on the next deal:

## Boards 6-16

by Brian Senior
Board 6. E/W Vul. Dealer East.
\& A
$\triangleright 87$
$\diamond 10852$

* AJ 9872


Both Easts opened $3 \bigcirc$ and were raised to game. Chemla and Wanner both found a brave 5\% bid with the North cards and played there, the only difference being that Michel doubled while Begas did not. Both Norths must have been quite impressed with the dummy, I think. They took the normal line in trumps by starting with the queen in case of a 3-0 break onside. Both went one down now, losing a club and two diamonds; -50 for Chemla and -100 forWanner - 2 IMPs to Bessis.

## Board 7. Game AII. Dealer South.

- 53

P64
$\diamond$ K 10542
\& A985


- Q

KJ 10
$\diamond$ J 76
\& K Q 7642

- K 9842

ค983
$\triangleleft$ A 98

* 10


## Open Room

| West Begas | North <br> Chemla | East <br> Bakker | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Saul |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2* | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 32 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Michel | Wanner | Veronique | Gielkens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

The key decision fell to the respective East players over their partners' $2 \triangleleft$ rebid. The hand is slightly short of the values to force to game if you play an aggressive opening style but most of us would shrug our shoulders and bid $3 \diamond$ anyway, as did Veronique, who soon became dummy in $4 \Omega$. Ellen Bakker preferred to repeat her clubs and $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ ended the auction.

In 3e the lead was a heart. Bakker won and played her spade to the ace then passed the jack of spades, pitching a diamond. Saul promptly switched to ace and another diamond and there were three trump losers to come for two down; -200.

Trump leads might have put $4 \checkmark$ under some pressure in the other room but the actual defence was to lead diamonds and declarer could lead towards the clubs and soon had ten tricks; +620 and 13 IMPs to Bessis, ahead by 37.

Board 8. Love All. Dealer West.

- Q 107
$\bigcirc 62$
$\diamond$ AKQ654
* Q 6
¢ 64
8 AQ 1093
$\diamond$ J 97
- J 107

| N | - A 853 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\diamond-$ |
| S | \& AK 8543 |
| - KJ92 |  |
| QKJ8754 |  |
| $\checkmark 10$ |  |
| \& 92 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Begas | East <br> Chemla <br> Bakker | South <br> Saul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \dot{2}$ | 2 |
| $3 \&$ | $3 \diamond$ | $3 \&$ | Pass |
| $4 \&$ | All Pass |  |  |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Michel | Wanner | Veronique | Gielkens |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \&$ | $2 \searrow$ |
| $3 \&$ | Pass | Pass | $3 \vee$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room, Wanner passed the North hand on the second round and Gielkens balanced with $3 \bigcirc$. Perhaps a double would have been better but $3 \bigcirc$ was not a horrible call, it just suffered a horrible fate - doubled for three down and -500 .

In the Open Room, Chemla rebid $3 \triangleleft$ and that would have kept Saul quiet even had Bakker not competed further with 34. Begas converted that to $4 \%$, ending the auction. The defence took three diamonds and soon had a spade also for one down; -50 and II IMPs to Bessis. The lead was up to 48.

Board 9. E/W Vul. Dealer North.

Q 12
-QJ94

- Q 742
- 7

8 A Q 109
$\diamond 8765$

* J 1053

1094

\& AJ 63
จK765
$\diamond A$
\& A 986
4 K Q 852
○ 843
$\diamond$ K 1032
\& K
The two auctions were identical - East opened l\&, South overcalled is and everybody passed. The contract was two down for - 100 in the Closed Room but Catherine Saul did rather better on vugraph. The lead was the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ to East's bare ace. Bakker switched to ace and another club and Saul discarded a heart while Begas won the queen. He switched to the $\checkmark 2$ and Saul won the ace and cashed the two club winners, throwing her remaining heart then a diamond. Now she played a spade to her queen followed by a low spade. Begas won the $\$ 9$ and gave his partner a diamond ruff. Saul ruffed the heart return and played another spade and had the rest; +80 and 5 IMPs to Bessis.

The loser on loser play on the club at trick three was good play but perhaps if West had ducked this, holding declarer to one club winner, that would have been a nice counter and would have led to one down.

## Board IO. Game All. Dealer East.

```
4.1053
8KJ93
< K
& | 973
```

| ¢ K 9742 | N | - A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 874$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 10 |
| $\checkmark 863$ | W E | $\diamond$ A QJIO 742 |
| - Q 8 | S | ¢ K 102 |
|  | Q Q 86 |  |
|  | QQ65 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond 5$ |  |
|  | \& A 654 |  |

Both Easts played in 3NT. In one room the lead was the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$, in the other the $\vee 2$ to North's king. How should you play? It looks to us as though on the spade lead a club to the queen gives an extra chance. If the club is onside you get to take the diamond finesse, while if it is not you can fall back on trying to drop the $\forall K$. On a heart lead you need to judge whether hearts will be 4-4, when the same logic applies, or $5-3$, when the only hope is to play to drop the diamond. Both our de-
clarers cashed the ace of diamonds at trick two and had five losers; one down for a push.

There was a decent slam for East/West on the next board, missed at both tables, though it was reached by Croatia in the third place match. Then Bessis went even further ahead with a quick one-two on the next two deals.

Board I2. N/S Vul. Dealer West.

| - J 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 97$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 42$ |  |  |  |
| \% K Q 109872 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K } 1082 \\ & \curvearrowright \text { KJ } 5 \end{aligned}$ | N | - 54 |  |
|  | N | $\checkmark$ A Q 1063 |  |
| AK Q 876 | 6 W | $\checkmark$ J 93 |  |
|  | S A43 |  |  |
| - A Q 973 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 842$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 105$ |  |  |  |
| * J 65 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West N | North | East | South |
| Begas C | Chemla | Bakker | Saul |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | 2. | Dble | All Pass |

Disaster for the Dutch. Whatever the double should have meant, they were clearly not reading from the same page of the system file. Even if double is penalty, it is questionable whether West should pass it. There was no problem in defeating 2\% doubled, but one down for +100 was hardly adequate compensation for the cold slam. The French sailed into slam at the other table:


Veronique Bessis


Michel
I $\diamond$
Dble $6>$

North
Wanner Pass
Pass
All Pass

## East <br> Veronique <br> 18 <br> $4 \bigcirc$ <br> South <br> Gielkens <br> 1s <br> Pass

The double of was a support double, showing threecard heart support. When Veronique jumped to game, Michel had no trouble in bidding six; +980 and 13 IMPs to Bessis.

Board I3. Game AII. Dealer North.

|  | ¢ A 982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 1972$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 984 |  |
|  | ¢ J |  |
| ¢ 3 | N | ¢ J 654 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 4 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 106 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 107532 | W E | $\diamond 6$ |
| \& AK 6 | S | ¢ Q 9875 |
|  | ¢KQ 107 |  |
|  | - K 853 |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | 210432 |  |

Closed Room

| West | North <br> Wanner | East <br> Veronique <br> Mass | South <br> Gielkens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | 3 | Pass |  |

When Wanner led the nine of hearts and Michel put in dummy's ten, he had no heart loser. There were just two diamonds and a spade to lose; +710.

In the other room Saul opened $1 \&$ rather than $I \vee$ and Begas punted 3 NT . That was not a success. The lead was a low heart to the queen, king and ace. Begas returned a heart towrds the ten and Chemla ducked. The ten scored and Begas led dummy's diamond. When the king appeared he won and cashed a hopeful queen but when South showed out he had nowhere to go for tricks and was two down; -200 and 14 more IMPs to Bessis.

There were a couple of small swings to come for Bessis and at half-time in the final they led by an incredible 85-0!

The third place play-off saw even more swings, but at 64-63 to the French team, it was nicely poised for a fiercely competitive second half.

## 25\% OFF

If you subscribe to BRIDGE Magazine during these Championships.

For a complimentary copy and details of this offer talk to Mark Horton in the Daily Bulletin office.

## Technischer Wert und künstlerischer Eindruck

Eines der wenigen deutschen Teams im Vorderfeld dieser Meisterschaft ist Team Dueball (mit Gerda Heinrichs, Beate Birr und Wolf Stahl). Hier eine Hand, in der über A- und BNote zu reden sein wird.

## Board II. Keiner in Gefahr. Teiler Süd.

|  | - A98 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{Dxxxxx}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | \& $A x x$ |  |
| ${ }_{8} 10 \times \mathrm{x}$ | N | - KBx |
|  | $w^{N}$ | $\varphi \mathrm{xx}$ |
| $\diamond$ D B $10 \times x \mathrm{xx}$ | W O | $\diamond A x x^{\prime}$ |
| \% K x | $s$ | ¢98x |
|  | - D $\mathrm{Sx}^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKBxx |  |
|  | $\diamond x$ |  |
|  | \& D B $10 x$ |  |

Hier die Reizungen im Vergleich:

| West <br> Birr | Nord | Ost <br> Stahl | Süd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \diamond(!)$ | $5 \triangleleft$ | alle passen | $1 \diamond$ |


| West | North <br> Dueball | East | South <br> Heinrichs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass (!) | $4 \boldsymbol{e}^{(1)}$ | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 44 | Dbl. | Pass |
| Pass | 58 | alle passen |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ cue bid
Zunächst ein Wort zum künstlerischen Eindruck. Der Temperamentsunterschied der beiden Westspielerinnen scheint eklatant.Aber gibt es nicht auch Gebote zwischen Himmel und Erde? Auch das Kontra von Ost verdient eine Würdigung. Genau im rechten Moment verrät es die Pikstellung und warnt vor Schlemm. Zu einer hohen Wertung kann sich die Jury hier nicht entschließen.

Nun zum technischen Wert. Jürgen Dueball hatte natürlich keine Probleme nur einen Karo- und einen Pikstich (mittels Expaß) zu verlieren. Im anderen Raum stand West bald vor einem Problem. Nach Karo-Angriff und Trumpf zurück, zog der Alleinspieler den letzten Trumpf, machte Treff-Schnitt, sammelte seine Treffstiche ein und schnappte sein viertes Treff am Tisch. Dann ging er mit Trumpf zurück in die Hand und spielte ein kleines Pik. Es ist richtig, mit $\$ 10$ zu steigen, falls Ost KDx hält, da dieser sonst endgespielt werden kann. Besitzt Ost aber nur $\$ \mathrm{KBx}$ muß man klein bleiben, damit Süd überhaupt noch eine Chance hat, einen Fehler zu machen, und nicht auf den simplen Expaß zu spielen.

Technisch richtig ist sicherlich sich gegen die erste Variante zu schützen. Beate Birr stieg also mit $\$ 10$ und der Alleinspieler konnte nichts mehr falsch machen. Schade, hoher technischer Wert, leider ohne zählbaren Erfolg!

## Nimm Dir Zeit!

Barbara Stawowy und Dr. Andreas Kirmse berichten von einer eigenartigen Situation, in die sie durch eine vorschnelle Aktion des Gegners gebracht wurden, dessen Geduld und Übersicht ein wenig zu wünschen übrig ließen.

## Board 7. Game All. Dealer South.

$4 \times$
$\bigcirc K D x x x$
$\diamond A K D B 8$
\% $\mathrm{x} \times$



- DBxx

AB8
109
2. A $10 x x$
. AK 10
$\nabla-$
$\diamond x \mathrm{xxx}$
K KBxxx
Süd war Alleinspieler in 3 SA geworden und Barbara Sta-
wowy spielte ein kleines Pik zum Buben ihres Partners und Alleinspielers As aus. Als dieser mit K fortsetzte, fiel Andreas Kirmse in die für ihn übliche Trance. Er dachte darüber nach, ob er Treff einmal ducken sollte, oder ob es besser sei, zu nehmen und mögliche vier Pikstiche zu kassieren.

Für einige Zeit tat sich deshalb am Tisch wenig. Zu wenig für den Alleinspieler, der quasi claimte, indem er auf vier schwarze Gewinner und fünf Karostiche hinwies. Diese Informationen dankbar registrierend, duckte Ost einmal, nahm den nächsten Treffstich und spielte $\diamond 10$ nach.Verärgert darüber, daß es nun mit den vier schwarzen Stichen nichts war, versetzte der Alleinspieler sich selbst den Dolchstoß, in dem er nun $\triangle K$ orderte. Ost nahm, spielte $\upharpoonright$ B zurück und die Verteidigung erzielte noch weitere drei Coeurstiche für einen Faller.

Wäre Süd mit einem kleinen Coeur ausgestiegen, hätte er sich noch gerettet, Ost kann nicht mehr als drei Coeurstiche erzielen und sich auch nicht entblockieren, da dann Nord wieder zwei Coeurstiche bekommt. Unglücklicherweise wird die Moral dieser Geschichte nur Andreas Kirmse gefallen: "Es lohnt sich eben doch, sich die Zeit für einen Gegenspielplan zu nehmen. Manchmal bekommt man dabei die besten Ideen!"

# Wrong Contract in the Right Hand 

Jan van Cleeff,The Netherlands

S uppose you pick up as South:
Q 74
Q Q 83
$\diamond$ AKJ 4
\& 1074
West is the dealer, nobody vulnerable. Christine van der Ven from the Netherlands encountered an uncharacteristic bidding problem.

| West | North | East | South <br> Christine |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{(1)}$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Dble $^{(2)}$ | $4 e^{(1)}$ | Pass | $?$ |

(1) $15-17$
${ }^{(2)}$ Asks for a spade lead
Christine elected to bid $4 \diamond$ that was passed out. This was the complete deal:

| -8632 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 2 |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 7 |  |
| * AKQ 92 |  |
| N | ¢ 9 |
| W E | 8 J 10974 |
| W E | $\diamond 10982$ |
| S | 2 183 |
| - Q 74 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 83 |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ 4 |  |
| \& 1074 |  |

West led two top spades and let her partner ruff a third spade. With the clubs 3-2 the diamond partscore turned out to be a claim. Still, North-South were not happy, since 3NT by South was cold. However, the board turned out to be a I IMP gain, since the North-South pair at the other table played in 3e from the North hand. East led her singleton spade, and four rounds of the suit promoted the ${ }_{j}$, holding declarer to nine tricks. This defence would have defeated a contract of 4e so you see it is better to opt for your 4-2 fit.

## Un jeu Passionant, Certainement

In the match between Maurin (France) and Polet (Belgium) in Round 9 this deal featured a one notrump contract which had been made at almost every other table playing the board.

We should inform the readers that these players all know one another quite well. Valérie Carcassonne (as she is now called) often plays in France, and Jean-Michel Voldoire plays in the Belgian first division. So when the second and third board both ended in INT, Mrs Maurin commented "un jeu passionant" (what an exciting game). The board did prove exciting though.

## Board 28. N/S Vul. Dealer West.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& K 1053 \\ & \diamond K 865 \\ & \diamond 96 \\ & \& K 75 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - - | N | - AJ942 |
| QJ1043 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 97 |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 732 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 10 |
| \& QJ 64 | S | -1092 |
|  | - Q 876 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 2 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 854 |  |
|  | 2 A 83 |  |

East opened Is and West, Voldoire, responded INT to end the auction. North led a heart. Declarer put in the nine, South tak-
ing the queen; then, on the heart return West played low and the eight forced out the ace. The 910 ran to the king and North switched to a spade. Declarer put in the nine and, rather to his surprise, it held the trick. Declarer now tried ace and ten of diamonds. South ducked and so did West. Then a second club was ducked by South and won by West. West was able to set up his diamonds, but he had no entry to them. South cashed the top club and exited with a low spade to the king and ace to endplay dummy. One off.

The auction and lead at the other table were the same. However, more naturally declarer played low from dummy at trick one and so won the heart nine at trick two. Again South ducked the diamond holding declarer to two tricks in the suit. North, when in with club king, cleared the heart ace, and when South won the third club she exited with a spade to the king and ace. So the defence again came to $A K, \Delta K$, two hearts and two spades. One off again!


## Results after $\mathbf{1 5}$ rounds

|  | TEAM |  | TOT | R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | R6 | R7 | R8 | R9 | RIO | RII | RI2 | R13 | R14 | RI5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MAURIN | FRA | 261 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 17 | 11 | 20 | 25 | 14 |
| 2 | BONEV | BUL | 260 | 14 | 15 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 20 | 7 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 18 |
| 3 | KOCH-PALMUN | DEN | 255 | 10 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 24 | 15 | 17 | 24 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 16 |
| 4 | VOLINA | RUS | 254 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 16 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 16 |
| 5 | PUCZYNSKI | POL | 254 | 22 | 9 | 22 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 11 | 20 | 20 | 25 |
| 6 | POLET | BEL | 253 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 21 | 13 | 25 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 24 | 20 |
| 7 | BARR | ISR | 253 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 13 | 23 | 21 | 25 | 15 | 12 | 21 |
| 8 | VRIEND | NTH | 252 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 23 | 13 | 22 | 14 | 18 | 12 |
| 9 | BREIVIK | NOR | 252 | 25 | 8 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 15 | 19 | 14 |
| 10 | RIBEROL | FRA | 249 | 19 | 7 | 24 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 9 | 11 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 14 | 22 | 19 |
| 11 | BEINEIX | FRA | 247 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 9 |
| 12 | AUKEN | GER | 247 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 25 |
| 13 | COURTY | FRA | 244 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 19 |
| 14 | BONORI | ITA | 244 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 |
| 15 | ROTH | FRA | 241 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 20 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 21 | 15 |
| 16 | PAOLUZI | ITA | 241 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 25 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 8 | 20 | 15 | 11 |
| 17 | GROMÖLLER | GER | 241 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 22 | 15 |
| 18 | BO | FRA | 240 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 10 |
| 19 | SIWIEC | POL | 240 | 18 | 23 | 21 | 25 | 19 | 16 | 23 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 10 | 19 | 14 |
| 20 | FUCIK | AUS | 239 | 9 | 25 | 13 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 17 | 19 | 14 | 19 |
| 21 | LISE | FRA | 237 | 20 | 13 | 21 | 21 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 16 | 10 | 19 |
| 22 | RETZLAFF | GER | 237 | 16 | 13 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 25 | 14 | 2 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 16 |
| 23 | KAPLAN | FRA | 237 | 14 | 19 | 21 | 9 | 17 | 22 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 19 | 14 | 24 |
| 24 | DUEBALL | GER | 235 | 14 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 21 | 19 | 7 | 25 | 10 | 22 | 1 | 14 |
| 25 | LANGER | SWI | 235 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 19 | 24 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 10 | 16 | 20 |
| 26 | THUILLEZ | FRA | 235 | 16 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 11 |
| 27 | ZAKRZEWSKI | POL | 233 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 20 | 11 | 4 |
| 28 | BEAUMIER | FRA | 233 | 17 | 19 | 9 | 19 | 14 | 21 | 16 | 20 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 25 | 11 | 15 |
| 29 | ALLOUCHE | FRA | 232 | 14 | 24 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 22 | 14 | 11 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 11 |
| 30 | HOFLAND | NTH | 231 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 25 | 25 | 12 | 23 |
| 31 | MULLER | FRA | 231 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 18 | 25 |
| 32 | POPOVA | BUL | 231 | 5 | 14 | 24 | 17 | 24 | 10 | 22 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 10 | 25 | 17 | 19 |
| 33 | LESUR | FRA | 230 | 21 | 14 | 21 | 20 | 8 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 24 | 22 | 24 | 6 | 15 |
| 34 | TELTSCHER | GBR | 230 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 19 | 20 | 25 | 14 | 23 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 16 |
| 35 | ARAMI | ISR | 228 | 19 | 22 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 11 |
| 36 | JOEGNE | FRA | 228 | 16 | 11 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 21 | 18 | 14 |
| 37 | BRUNEL | FRA | 228 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 20 | 25 | 9 | 24 | 11 | 6 | 23 | 15 | 25 |
| 38 | CAESAR | GER | 227 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 4 |
| 39 | TICHY | HUN | 227 | 9 | 18 | 7 | 16 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 6 | 18 | 17 |
| 40 | KELINA | RUS | 226 | 21 | 8 | 23 | 15 | 11 | 20 | 23 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 8 | 10 |
| 41 | MARSAL | GER | 225 | 13 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 16 |
| 42 | KOWALSKI | POL | 224 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 14 |
| 43 | SMITH | GBR | 223 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 13 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 12 | 24 |
| 44 | ZORLU | TUR | 223 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 22 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 4 | 22 | 14 | 16 |
| 45 | BURATI | ITA | 223 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 11 | 13 |
| 46 | CAPUCHO | POR | 222 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 18 |
| 47 | SCHROEDER | GER | 221 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 16 | 9 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 22 | 16 | 8 | 11 |
| 48 | ROHOWSKY | GER | 221 | 12 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 14 | 21 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 18 |


| 49 CHODOROWSKA | POL | 221 | 9 | 25 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 25 | 12 | 16 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 DAVIES | GBR | 220 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 6 | 19 | 16 | 24 |
| 51 MAGUIRE | IRE | 220 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 21 | 12 | 21 | 18 |
| 52 ALBERTI | GER | 218 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 20 |
| 53 HOBSON | GBR | 217 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 19 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 6 |
| 54 DIRKS | NTH | 217 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 21 | 14 | 18 | 15 |
| 55 POKORNA | CZE | 217 | 21 | 17 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 14 | 15 |
| 56 BALDURSSON | ICE | 216 | 11 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 9 | 12 | 20 | 7 |
| 57 LEGER | FRA | 215 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 5 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 12 |
| 58 CLEARY | IRE | 215 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 23 | 25 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| 59 GOTARD | GER | 213 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 12 |
| 60 ZOBU | TUR | 213 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 23 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 20 | 25 |
| 61 YALMAN | TUR | 212 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 11 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 16 |
| 62 TOMCIKOVA | CZE | 212 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 25 |
| 63 NAHMENS | FRA | 211 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 25 | 15 | 2 | 25 | 13 | 16 | 7 | 5 |
| 64 THEODORSSON | ICE | 210 | 9 | 11 | 22 | 7 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 23 | 14 |
| 65 NILSSON | SWE | 209 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 14 | 10 |
| 66 BONDA | CRO | 208 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 14 | 15 |
| 67 TAILLEFER | FRA | 208 | 18 | 9 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 19 | 25 | 15 |
| 68 LORMANT | FRA | 207 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 69 TOICCAN | TUR | 207 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 8 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 18 |
| 70 HONKAVUORI | FIN | 207 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 19 | 16 | 16 |
| 71 MAITOVA | RUS | 206 | 16 | 20 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 20 | 16 | 17 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| 72 SUMER | TUR | 206 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 23 | 17 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 19 | 14 |
| 73 GUARIGLIA | ITA | 205 | 14 | 21 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 3 |
| 74 MASSEUS | NTH | 203 | 18 | 4 | 18 | 14 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 13 | 19 | 6 |
| 75 KLEIN | GER | 203 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 16 |
| 76 LARSSON | SWE | 202 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 MARAKULIN | RUS | 201 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 5 | 21 | 16 |
| 78 LÜSSMAN | GER | 200 | 6 | 18 | 13 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| 79 GERARDS | NTH | 199 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 14 |
| 80 KRIEGER | GER | 199 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 20 | 11 | 21 | 15 | 14 |
| 81 TJORSWAAG | NOR | 194 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 25 | 10 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 7 | 14 |
| 82 ZAJKIEWICZ | POL | 192 | 25 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 2 | 19 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 16 | 11 | 5 |
| 83 VARGA | HUN | 191 | 4 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 12 |
| 84 WITKOWSKI | POL | 191 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 18 |
| 85 BETTAG | GER | 190 | 25 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| 86 G $\triangle$ NTHER | GER | 190 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 5 |
| 87 DANIELS | NTH | 188 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 13 | 15 | 18 |
| 88 CRONIER | FRA | 183 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 89 CURETTI | FRA | 178 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 90 KASS | FRA | 171 | 20 | 9 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 5 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 MAURY | FRA | 170 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 92 SAPIGA | RUS | 166 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 15 |
| 93 BEAUFAYS | BEL | 143 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 14 |
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