## Die Fliegenden Holländer v La Belle France

Today's final is between Wanner and Bessis. The former survived a strong fight-back by their semi-final opponents Ivancic, who recovered almost their entire first half deficit of 37 IMPs in the first five boards of their semi-final. The holders survived a titanic struggle with Serf, their victory only being sealed on the final board, one that even so could have cost them the chance to defend their title.

There was a dramatic finish in the qualification race. Bessis had an excellent day, but with just three boards to go they were still one victory point away from the all-important fifth place. A timely overcall by Paul Chemla kept his opponents out of a game that had been made at the other table - it would have failed after his bid - and the swing was just enough for the number one seeds to creep into the semi-finals.

The hard luck story was that of team Maurin. They had been at the top of the table for almost the whole time, but they weakened towards the end and could only finish 13th.

The surprise package turned out to be team Ivancic from Croatia.They surprised everybody by reaching the semi-finals.

The remaining teams are headed by Bulgaria's, Bonev.

In today's final bulletin we will bring you a detailed report on the semi-finals and final.

| SEMI FINAL |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team | I-16 | $\mathbf{1 7 - 3 2}$ | Total |
| Serf | 24 | 39 | 63 |
| Bessis | 32 | 39 | 71 |
| Wanner | 43 | 34 | 77 |
| Ivancic | 6 | 41 | 47 |

## Prize Giving and Celebration Cocktail

This is scheduled to take place at 17.30 in the Lenne-Pavillon of the restaurant of Spielcasino Aachen (for those in doubt, the imposing building outside the front door of the Eurogress).

There will be a buffet and drinks after the presentations.

Remember that the Casino is an elegant place, so please dress smartly - that means no trainers!

## Mixed Teams Programme

| 11.30 hrs | Final \& 3rd place match <br> (Boards I-16) |
| :--- | :--- |

$13.30 \mathrm{hrs} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Final \& 3rd place match } \\ & \text { (Boards 17-32) }\end{aligned}$
13.30 hrs Seventh Session
(Two matches)

## The prize you pay for possible brilliancy

From the match between the Dutch-Israelian combination of team Barr and the Italian team Guariglia, Jan van Cleeff reports a deal, that is definitely one of the wildest hands of the tournament.

## Board 2. N/S Vul. Dealer East.

Q QJ 96

- A 5
$\diamond 106542$
* Q 9

| - 853 | N | - AK 1042 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 16432$ |  | $\bigcirc-$ |
| $\checkmark 83$ | W E | $\checkmark 9$ |
| -832 | S | \& AKJ 10764 |
|  | - 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 10987 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQJ 7 |  |
|  | * 5 |  |


| West | North <br> J. van Cleeff | East | South <br> C. van der Ven <br> $2 N T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  |  |  |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | $5 \$$ | $6 \diamond$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Ghestem, showing hearts and diamonds
It took East at least a couple of minutes before she passed $6\rangle$. Even more time she then spent thinking about which ace to underlead to get a heart ruff. Had East cashed her two black aces without any imagination, the result would have been much less spectacular than it was now, because East finally decided to lead a small spade, which to her horror was won by North's 4. Later in the play Jan van Cleeff discarded his club losers on the long hearts, and scored 13 tricks, which meant +1390 for North/South. And two very disappointed aces vanished in the dark of the board.

At the other table it was East who convinced the opponents that she was the strongest one at the table:

| West <br> I. Herbst | North | East <br> R. Barr | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dble | Pass | 2e (1) | 4 |
| (1) game forcing |  |  | All Pass |

It's hard to believe that South never mentioned the diamond suit, possibly the $\diamond 7$ was too small to enter the five level. Anyway, East was happy to play $5 \%$, only losing a spade and a diamond to add another +400 on the "account" of team Barr, which won 18 IMPs on that board and held on to win the match 25:5.

## Question Time

Itt's time to answer an important question. What has gone wrong with team Auken? The answer is simple, they have been the victims of some daring (see how polite we are) bridge by their opponents.

Here is a typical example:
You are South, looking at:
$\pm 10$
$\odot$ AJ 10743
$\diamond 8652$
2 73
Only East-West is vulnerable and this is the bidding:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \cap$ |  |  |  |

So, what should you lead?
Let's try and analyse the hand.
Dummy will surely have some length in hearts, and some values in that suit, perhaps the 8 K or 8 KQ . Declarer must be short, the absence of a $4 \checkmark$ bid making a singleton more likely than a void. However, the latter holding is a possibility, and in that case you should surely hope partner has the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ and try the 10 . On the other hand, a diamond looks much more attractive, as it may set up a second trick to go with your ace of hearts. There is no point in trying to set up a spade trick in partner's hand, as there may be no way to reach it.

Have you decided?
We are sorry to tell you that we, like Sabine Auken, led a diamond. This was the layout:

> | $\& A 8764$ |
| :--- |
| \&Q2 |
| $\diamond 1973$ |
|  |



Declarer won, drew trumps, threw a heart on the diamonds and set up the spades. A major suit lead puts the slam two down.

## From the Commentator's Table - 4

The Round 10 match between Serf of France and Polet of Belgium had very few swings, but it was the best played seen on Vugraph to that point and there were several hands of interest.

On the first board both Easts faced the problem of what to bid with these cards:

$$
\triangle \text { Q1086 } \vee \text { K9 } \diamond \text { Q97 } \& \text { Q865 }
$$

after the auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| $1 \$$ | $2 \vee$ | $?$ |  |

They both bid Three Spades. This was two off in top tricks, whereas even Three Hearts is a struggle for the other side. The tip from Andrew Robson that you should underbid when you have a poor "Offense to Defense Ratio" would have helped here. The minor suit queens are no help in attack, but are both potential tricks in defence.

This came next:
Board 2. N/S Vul. Dealer East.

- K 54
- Q 82
$\diamond 1043$
- Q 1083

4. 1098
$\checkmark$ AK 104
$\diamond A 97$
\& $A J 5$

| N | - AJ 62 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | QJ953 |
| S |  |
| - Q 73 |  |
| $\bigcirc 76$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ 8 |  |
| ¢ K9642 |  |

At every major Championship you may be on the lookout for restaurants, cafés and bars where you can be confident you will be understood. The ones which display in their windows signs like, 'English spoken here', or 'Wie sprechen Deutsche' for example.

Perhaps in the future the organisers will arrange for all the approved hostelries to display a new sign:
'Bridge spoken here!'
West
Labaere
INT
$2 \otimes$
West
Stoppa
INT
$2 \boxtimes$

| North | East | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stretz | Carcassonne | R |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |  |
| Pass | $4 \searrow$ |  |

South
Renoux
Dble
All Pass

North

## East

Coenraets
Pass
Pass

Serf
2
$4 \checkmark$

South<br>Vanden<br>Pass<br>All Pass

Both Wests played Four Hearts on a club lead, though Labaere had an easier task when the first club was ducked round to his jack! However, the key thing was that both showed good technique by playing on spades early. If you start with ruffing clubs then the defence can make life difficult by playing the KING of spades on the first round, cutting you off from the long spade. In practice both declarers lost only one spade, one trump and one diamond for no swing.

Then came a small swing:
Board 3. E/W Vul. Dealer South.

- Q 9732
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond 5$
* AKQ 875


| West <br> Labaere | North <br> Stretz | East <br> Carcassonne | South <br> Renoux <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \diamond(1)$ | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

${ }^{(1)} 2 \diamond$ showed both majors (at least 9 cards) and less than opening points

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Coenraets | Serf | Vanden |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 1 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Against Five Clubs played by Coenraets East led $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$. We only know it went two off. Double-dummy declarer can come to ten tricks by drawing trumps and taking the ruffing finesse in hearts.

Three Notrumps looked to have a better chance but Alain Labaere led a low diamond from the West seat. South won the king and rattled off six club tricks but the defence made no mistake and it was held to eight tricks. 2 IMPs to Stretz.

Then came a most interesting deal:
Board 4. Game All. Dealer West.

```
@ A }8
PA43
\diamond
&KJIO6532
```



| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labaere | Stretz | Carcassonne | Renoux |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \&$ | $2 \&$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Coenraets | Serf | Vandenbossche |
| $\mathbf{I} \diamond$ | 29 | Dble ${ }^{(1)}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \&$ | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

${ }^{(1)}$ Showing spades
Both East reached Three Notrumps and received a club to the ten and queen. They played a spade at trick two to set up what seemed to be their ninth trick and another club, the king, was taken by the ace, dummy throwing a heart. We don't have the details of what happened at the table where Serf was declaring, but let us describe the fine defence on Vugraph. After winning the second club East cashed the queen of diamonds to discover South had four. Now she led the nine which was covered by ten and ace. Then declarer exited from dummy with a low heart. Stretz made the essential play of rising with the ace of hearts, and cashing his good club. Madame Renoux made the excellent discard of the JACK of spades. North, knowing the position, played a heart to South's king and South now endplayed the dummy by exiting with the carefully preserved $₫ 8$. One off at both tables.

The next deal was the only big swing of the match and it
was decided by the lead:

## Board 5. N/S Vul. Dealer North.



The auction was the same at both tables:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labaere | Stretz | Carcassonne | Renoux |
| Stoppa | Coenraets | Serf | Vandenbossche |
|  | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

On Vugraph Stretz led a low diamond which finished the hand very quickly. Declarer was able to cash only eight tricks before South was in to lead another diamond to North. One off.

At the other table North made the fatal lead of the ACE of diamonds. Superficially it appears that West still has only eight tricks, but two more diamonds destroyed South. Best is to throw a heart, but West, aware of what is happening, simply exits in the majors and eventually obtains the extra trick when South has to play a club. This was 10 IMPs to Stretz, who now led 12-0.

Board 6 and 7 were both dull partscores with no swing. This was Board 8:

Board 8. Love All. Dealer West.

- A Q J 6
$\triangleright 3$
$\diamond$ AKQ 1097
\& 85

| - 987 |  | -103 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A QJ 1087 | N | $\bigcirc 92$ |
| $\checkmark 8$ | W E | $\checkmark$ J643 |
| 2164 | S | \& AK 1092 |
|  | - K 542 |  |
|  | -K654 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 52$ |  |
|  | \& Q 73 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labaere | Stretz | Carcassonne | Renoux |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Dble | Pass | 2NT ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ (2) | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc^{(3)}$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Lebensohl |  |  |  |
| $3 \vee$. Of course this means a strong hand. |  |  | $30 \%$ as he |
| ${ }^{(3)}$ Cue-bid |  |  |  |

At the table where Belgium were North-South the final contract was Five Spades one off. But Stretz did not overstretch (!) and stopped in Four Spades. This looks an easy contract but the Belgians found an excellent defence which gave declarer no chance.

West led a club. East cashed king and ace, West following intelligently with the JACK. Then East switched to 89 which held the trick, and another heart which forced declarer to ruff in dummy. Declarer could no longer draw trumps, ruff out the diamonds and get back to the long diamond. Best play is to cash two top trumps and then try the diamonds. If they break you go back to drawing the third trump. If they are 4-I and the defender with the singleton has only two trumps you can ruff the third round and get back, drawing the last trump. However, this was not to be and Four Spades went one off for another flat board.

The only point about Board 9 is that the West, last in hand, holds:

## $76432 \bigcirc \mathrm{~K} \diamond \mathrm{AQ} 6$ KJ42

Last in hand West opens One Spade, finds a take-out double on his left and Four Hearts on his right, which is cold for 420 to the opponents but a flat board. West regretted not throwing the hand in.

This was the last board:
Board IO. Game AII. Dealer East.
4 875

- Q 87
$\diamond 9752$
\& Q 107
© K J 62
- K 653
$\diamond$ Q J 4
\& K 6

\& A 9854

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labaere | Stretz | Carcassonne | Renoux Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT ${ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |
| Forcing |  |  |  |

The final board is a thin game which makes on a favourable lie of the cards. The French North-South did their best by leading a spade to the ace and a low club back at trick two, but Labaere was not accepting any Greek gifts. Knowing the defence could have left him to play clubs himself, he put on the king, drew trumps and took the spade finesse to make ten tricks. At the other table the French had reached Three Notrumps making nine tricks and the Belgians had their only gain of the match, I IMP. The French had won I2-I.

## Jeff's fan club

Following Jeff Meckstroth's advice written up in one of the last bulletins "When you have a six-card major and partner supports you, bid game" the German player Claudia Vechiatto scored an outstanding success.

## Board II. Love All. Dealer South.

- KQxx
$\checkmark$ Q $10 x$
$\diamond 109854$
a $x$


West
$2{ }^{(1)}$ Dble
${ }^{(1)}$ hearts and a minor
West lead $₫$ and continued $\diamond 7$, dummy played the four and East carelessly let go $\diamond$ J, which Claudia Vechiatto gratefully took with her ace. Then she played a spade to the king and ordered $\diamond I O$. East covered with the queen, declarer ruffed with 48 and West contributed the important $\diamond 6$. Another trump followed to dummy's king. Next came $\diamond 9$, East had to cover again with the king and South ruffed. When declarer continued with a heart to the queen it was finally West's time to take a nap, so he ducked and as a reward didn't get his ace at all, as both heart losers went on the "high" diamonds.

Two doubled overtricks (+790) earned her team 9 IMPs, when in the other room South bid and made 44, scoring +420 .

## Down to the Wire

The holders had been making heavy weather in the defence of their title, but by the time the final round started they had dragged themselves back into contention. The boards did not appear to offer a lot of scope for swings, but as the famous Polish/French chess player, Savilly Tartakower once said, 'The mistakes are all there, waiting to be made'.

## North-South Game. Dealer North

- 98643

$\diamond$ J 2
* AKJ 75
- AQ 5
คA7432
$\diamond 9865$
28 8

| N | ¢ J 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KQ} 10986$ |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 7 |
| S | \& 1042 |
| ¢ K 72 |  |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK 1043 |  |
| \& Q 963 |  |

There was a dramatic start to the match when Bessis picked up 12 IMPs on the very first board.

West
Bessis
$4 \nabla$

| North | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bo | Bessis |
| Pass | $2 》$ |

South
Pigeaud Pass
All Pass

## FESTIVAL

 INTERNATIONAL DE BRIDGEBIARRITZ, 30th June to I2 July 1998
IMP Pairs
Patton Teams
Open Pairs
Mixed Pairs
All 3 session events
250,000 French Francs prize money
Contact: Hervé Pacault: 0033556520046

No problem here; East-West +420 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Briere | Chemla | Poulin | d'Ovidio-Saul |
|  | Pass | 20 | Dble |
| 498 | $4{ }^{4}$ | 52 | Pass |
| 5 | 5 | Pass | Pass |
| 6 | Dble | All Pass |  |

West's 40 was intended as a splinter, but East clearly thought it was natural. It's not clear if West's final bid was made in the hope that $6 \checkmark$ would make, or if it was intended as a sacrifice. Either way it was miles off line and it cost 12 important IMPs. The 'comeback kid' was on his way!

Love AII. Dealer South

- 98732
$\bigcirc 743$
$\diamond$ Q 96
\& A 8
- KQ65
$\vee$ Q 5
$\diamond 7$
\& K Q 9432

\&
- AK 2
-KJIO 8542
* 10
- A 104
『J10986
$\diamond$ A 3
\& 765

When the result came up from the other room East-West had collected +430 , an excellent result. This had been their auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis | Bo | Bessis | Pigeaud |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| 14 | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| $3 \%$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

This is how things went in front of the cameras:

| West <br> Briere | North <br> Chemla | East <br> Poulin | South <br> d'Ovidio-Saul <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| $1 \$$ | Pass | $2\rangle$ | Pass |
| $3 \%$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

South led the 8 J and declarer won in hand and went after the clubs, North having to win the second round. A heart re-
turn now would force East to guess the diamonds correctly after cashing the winning clubs, but Chemla preferred to force an immediate decision, and he switched to the nine of diamonds. Declarer covered with the ten and there were no further problems.

This was the decisive board:

## North-South Game. Dealer West



| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bessis | Bo | Bessis | Pigeaud |
| Pass | Pass | $10 \%$ | Pass |
| 1 $\varnothing$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

South led a diamond - end of story. Declarer made ten tricks, +430 .

| West | North | East | South <br> Briere |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemla |  |  |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pavin |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \Delta$ | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Chemla's overcall was a match winner. 3NT was now out of the question and it was asking too much for East-West to reach $4 \Omega$.

The holders were not going to relinquish their title easily!

## Know your System

AIthough attention is naturally concentrated at the top of the rankings, there is plenty of good bridge going on elsewhere. Here is a board that featured a sound knowledge of partnership methods at one table and excellent defence at the other.

## Game AII. Dealer South

- 862
© AJ 109
$\diamond 1095$
- A 32


| West <br> Buze | North | East <br> Banaszkiewiz | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass |  |  |  |
| Pass | $1 \&!$ | Dble | Redble |

Has your partnership discussed this sequence?
Would you be confident that West really wanted to defend is redoubled?

East was in no doubt, and North's third in hand opening was harshly treated as there was no way for declarer to arrive at more than 6 tricks. -400

At the other table, the Chodorowskas found an effective defence to West's contract of INT.

The opening lead of a spade was allowed to run to West's jack. A heart was played to the queen and when that held declarer returned to hand with the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ to run the $\$ 9$. South won with the queen and switched to the 2 K ! Declarer could scarcely be blamed for going wrong on the next round when South continued with the 25 . North took the 2 Q with the ace and played the jack of hearts. Declarer was now booked for one down, - 100.


#  <br> Die Deutsche Homepaye 

## Aus der Tiefe des Raumes

Natürlich ist nicht nur das Geschehen im RAMA von Interesse und Bedeutung. $\mathrm{Daß}$ es auch an den anderen 48 Tischen gute Aktionen und interessante Manöver zu sehen gibt, zeigen die beiden folgenden Gegenspielprobleme. Der Leser ist wieder einmal aufgefordert, mitzurätseln und zu schauen, ob er besser oder schlechter agiert hätte.

Eine falsche Bewegung im Gegenspiel ließ Daniela von Arnim 3 SA erfüllen:

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc K 97 x$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8$ |  |
|  | \& K 7 xxx |  |
| - 109 | N | - $A K 7 x$ |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{xxx}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AB 8 |
| $\checkmark$ AD 54 | W O | $\checkmark 10962$ |
| 2 B 109 x | S | \& $A D$ |
|  | ( D $8 \times \mathrm{x}$ |  |
|  | ¢D108 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 73 |  |
|  | \& 8 x |  |

Nachdem Nord in dritter Hand mit $1 \vee$ versucht hatte, Sand ins Getriebe zu streuen, erreichten Ost/West 3 SA, gespielt von Ost.Versetzen wir uns für einen Moment in die Lage des Nordspielers. Er spielte $\vee 8$ aus, die zu König und As lief. Den Versuch der Alleinspielerin $\diamond 9$ durchzumogeln, vereitelte er mit $\diamond$ K, und West nahm das As. Es folgte Treff-Schnitt zur Dame, A und $\diamond 10$ zu Bube und Dame. Vom Tisch kam nun e B und Nord war mit K bei Stich, um Coeur zu spielen und Süd zu zwei Stichen in dieser Farbe zu verhelfen. Was nun Süd?

Unschuldig kassierte der Verteidiger die hohe $\diamond 7$, ohne erkannt zu haben, daß die Karofarbe für die Alleinspielerin "eingefroren" war und keinen Übergang mehr zu der hohen Treffkarte darstellte, die am Tisch sanft entschlummert wäre. Spielt die Alleinspielerin $\diamond 2$, steigt Süd mit $\diamond 7$, so daß Osts $\diamond 6$ Wests $\diamond 5$ blockiert. Versucht Ost durch Vorlegen von $\diamond 6$ Süds $\diamond 7$ herauszutreiben, duckt Süd einfach kaltlächelnd, und wieder verhungert $\$ 10$.

Als Süd aber nun $\diamond 7$ einsammelte, entblockierte Daniela von Arnim rasch $\diamond 6$ und hatte endlich mit $\diamond 2$ zu $\diamond 5$ das TischEntrée, um ihren neunten Stich einzusammeln.

Was hätte Süd folglich stattdessen tun sollen? Ganz einfach, in Pik aussteigen, auf Faller warten und Tee trinken!

Obwohl Gegenspiel die schwierigste der drei Disziplinen im Bridge ist, werden nicht alle Probleme - wie im ersten Beispiel - nur durch schlaue Analysen im Nachhinein gelöst. Nevena und Brian Senior demonstrieren, daß es auch in der Praxis Erfolgsmeldungen für die Verteidiger gibt. Hier ein exakt durchgeführtes Gegenspiel gegen Nords 24-Kontrakt.


| Ost | Süd |
| :---: | :---: |
| N. Senior | Svobodova |
| I $\otimes$ | Pass |
| All Pass |  |

Ost spielte Treff-Single aus, gewonnen von Nord mit A. Um einen Tischübergang zu schaffen, spielte der Alleinspieler $\diamond$ B, aber West duckte. $\diamond$ K, West duckte wieder. Erst die dritte Karo-Runde gewann West, zog 9 K ab und gab Ost einen TreffSchnapper mit ${ }^{2}$. Folgsam wechselte Ost nach diesem Lavinthal-Signal auf $\triangleleft$ B zu Dame, König und As, worauf der Alleinspieler wieder in Coeur an Osts 10 ausstieg. Als diese die dritte Coeurrunde initiierte, war 89 zwar hoch, aber West - auf der Höhe des Geschehens - warf einen Verlierer ab. Der Alleinspieler hatte nur noch Trümpfe, mußte in Folge dessen stechen und noch zwei Pikstiche für einen Faller abgeben.

4 schön herausgespielte IMPs. Die stolzen Verteidiger betonten aber, daß am Ende ihre Gegner mit 22:8 deutlich die Nase vorne hatten. War der Kräfteverschleiß in 24 vielleicht zu hoch?

## Das sehen wir gerne!

Dr. Michael Schneider berichtet über eine faire Geste seiner Gegner im Teamturnier. Seine Partnerin hatte 6 SA zu spielen und claimte ohne genaue Abspielangaben zu einem bestimmten Zeitpunkt für erfüll.

Natürlich hatte sie zwölf Stiche im Gepäck, aber am Dummy lag noch $\diamond 107$ (die Honneurs in Karo waren schon gespielt und $\diamond 7$ kann auf einen anderen Gewinner abgeworfen werden), und der Gegner saß dahinter mit $\diamond 96$.

Verständlicherweise erkundigten sich die Gegenspieler, wie denn das weitere Spiel hätte aussehen sollen. In dieser etwas unübersichtlichen Situation geriet die Alleinspielerin ein wenig ins Stocken, wohl auch erkennend, daß ihr Claim zumindest unvollständig war.

Daraufhin inspizierten die Gegner selbst die Kartenlage, erkannten, daß $\diamond 7$ auf eine andere hohe Karte abgeworfen werden kann, und konzedierten - für sie selbstverständlich - 6 SA erfült.

Fairplay ohne wenn und aber - demonstriert von Pavla Svobodova und Otakar Svoboda, einem der vier teilnehmenden Paare aus der Tschechischen Republik. Hut ab!

## Fünfmal "'Dunkelkammer"!

n der siebten Runde des Teamturniers traf Team Maurin, das zuvor schon vier Runden (!) an Tisch I gespielt hatte auf das polnische Team Siwiec.

Liebhaber guter Spieltechnik kamen dabei zweimal auf ihre Kosten. Zunächst hatte der polnische Nordspieler Marcin Krupowicz I SA zu erfüllen.

Board 2. N/S in Gefahr. Teiler Ost.

D B 9
ค B 83
$\diamond$ D 105
\& A B 32

- AK5

『K 65
$\diamond$ KB96
\& K 85


- 1032
-D 94
A 8
109764
- 8764

คA1072
$\diamond 7432$

- D

Die Vorhersagen waren schlecht, aber Krupowicz erfüllte leicht, elegant und im richtigen Tempo. Er erhielt Treff-Angriff und nahm die dritte Treffrunde mit dem König. Zu aller Überraschung spielte er jetzt $\diamond$ K aus der Hand, ein Spielzug, der ihm keine Oberfarbe aufriß, und in Karo immer noch Perspektiven ließ.

Ost/West sammelten daraufhin ihre Treffstiche ein, aber Krupowicz konnte die Pik-Fortsetzung gewinnen, spielte

Coeur zum Tisch und klein Karo gegen $\diamond B 96$. West nahm $\diamond D$ und der Alleinspieler konnte claimen.

Zwei Boards später landete Nadine Peyrot nach abgebrochenem Schlemmversuch in 5\$ von Ost und zeigte, daß es im Team um mehr als Überstiche geht.

Board 4. Alle in Gefahr. Teiler West.

- 1032
$\bigcirc 98$
$\diamond$ B 10974
aKB5
© KB76
○KD765
$\diamond K D$
\& 7

Süd spielte $\diamond A$ aus und setzte mit 10 fort. Die Alleinspielerin gewann in der Hand und stand nun vor der Aufgabe, keine zwei Trumpfstiche zu verlieren. Natürlich kann man mit offenen Karten alle Pikstiche machen, doch das Ziel im Teamturnier ist es, möglichst sicher 5\$ zu erfüllen. Nadine Peyrot war der Aufgabe gewachsen und spielte zielsicher Pik zu Osts König, gefolgt von einem kleinen Pik zu $\varphi 8$ in ihrer Hand. Mit diesem Spielzug hätte sie sich gegen Dxxx auf beiden Seiten geschützt, und somit ihre Chancen auf 5 $\mathbf{~}=$ maximiert.

## "Yes I could!"

Manche Boards haben - unabhängig von ihrem Ausgang - eine unvorhersehbare, spezielle Pointe. Je undurchsichtiger die Reizung und je kürzer das Spiel, desto schwieriger das Post mortem. Quod erat demonstrandum:

## Board 7. Alle in Gefahr. Teiler Süd.

|  | ¢ AK K $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \times x$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ B |  |
|  | \% $\mathrm{D}^{\text {B }}$ x |  |
| 4 | N | Q x |
| $\checkmark$ D | $W^{N}$ | $\checkmark$ AK 109 xxx |
| $\diamond 109 \times x \times$ | W O | $\checkmark$ K876 |
| \% $\mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{xx}$ | S | \% $\times$ |
|  | , Dxxx |  |
|  | $\bigcirc B x x$ |  |
|  | $\diamond A D x$ |  |
|  | \& $A x x$ |  |


| West | North | East | South <br> 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 S A^{(1)}$ | 4 |  |  |
| Pass | Pass | $6 \checkmark$ | Kass |

${ }^{(1)}$ beide Unterfarben
Süd spielte ein kleines Pik zu Nords König aus, der anschließend auf Coeur switchte. Die Alleinspielerin gewann mit der Dame und begann Trümpfe zu ziehen. Klein-Karo lief zu Bube, König und As. Süd beging nun den Fehler, Pik in die Doppelchicane zu spielen, wodurch Ost für -500 entkam.

Nach Osts Claim entschuldigte sich Süd mit den Worten: "Ich hätte wohl besser $\diamond \mathrm{D}$ und Klein-Karo nachgespielt, schließlich konnte ich nicht wissen, ob mir \& nicht heruntergeschnappt wird!" Nord stimmte zu und meinte ironisch: "Ja, eine Sechserfarbe hätte die Alleinspielerin wohl kaum abwerfen können." Ost lachte und zeigte Nord nochmals ihr 7er Coeur:
"Yes I could!"

## From the Commentator's Table - 5

## "Leaders" Leads"'

Round eleven saw team Serf, the convincing leaders, playing team Ivancic from Croatia. The set of boards was such that a lot of difficult openings leads played a key role. And all this five times in a row. Let's have a look:

## Board I4. Love All. Dealer East.



The French North/South pair in the Closed room had a decent score, playing $4 \bigcirc-2$ (Croatia: +100 ). In the Open Room things went differently:

| West | North | East <br> Stretz | South <br> Protega |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ms. Renoux Ms. Petrovic <br> Pass |  |
| Dble | $3 \vee$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

## Worldwide Simultaneous Pairs

Belgium's Herman De Wael organised the first World simultaneous event with post-factum scoring on the 31st October last year.

Boards are sent to all centres by e-mail and the results are transmitted to HDW. He then calculates a world ranking.

Some clubs found it so interesting they decided to do the same every fifth Friday of a month.

They held a second event earlier this year on 30th January and the next is scheduled for 29 May.

For more information contact Herman via email: hermandw@village.uunet.be

All eyes were now on South who has to find the lead of a small heart to defeat the contract. Partner gets in, leads a club back and Croatia would have scored four IMPs. When South selected a small spade, it was over soon, France scoring $5 \diamond=$ (France: +400). 7 IMPs went to the French team as Croatia unfortunately "picked the right size, but the wrong suit".

On the next board Croatia reached to be played by North. With board 14 fresh in the memory, East should have known how to beat this game. Small heart to partners ace and a club through, but things went a different way:

Board I5. N/S Vul. Dealer South.


The interesting point is, that East will probably not lead a small heart but the queen. If declarer ducks this trick, West has to overtake to play on clubs. If he fails or if declarer plays $\triangle \mathrm{K}$ and West wins the ace to play another heart it's over again. In the Closed Room once again North/South scored very well for France to make 4 + I on the lead of the ace of clubs. When East in the Open Room selected ${ }^{\boldsymbol{e}} \mathrm{A}$ as well, the excitement gone, Croatia scored 44 $=$ and France won I IMP to lead 8 0 at this stage.

Next board - next possible swing and another tough time for the East/West defenders.

## Board I6. E/W Vul. Dealer West.

- A 109
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond$ QJ 9
\& AKQ 754


The result from the Closed Room was $4 \boldsymbol{Q}+1$ by North (France: +150). Croatia however managed to reach $5 \%$, which was won at a lot of tables so far. East selected a trump lead (you can't blame her for not leading a spade at that point) and North played \&AK and continued with $\diamond$ Q. East took her ace and was at the crossroads now. The audience held it's breath, and finally Marie-France Renoux switched to 48 ! Applause from the RAMA accompanied that play which gained the French team $5 \%-1$ and another 5 IMPs.

Croatia came back into the match in the next hand, when East/West in the Closed Room had made 4s (Croatia +420 ).

Board I7. Love All. Dealer North.

|  | 4. 5 $\begin{aligned} & \vee \text { K } 1084 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 95 \\ & \& \text { A Q } 86 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -KJ942 | N | - A Q 8 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{AJ}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 97 |
| $\diamond$ J 1032 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 864 |
| - K 10 | S | 2 ${ }^{7} 5$ |
|  | -10763 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 6532$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 7 |  |
|  | * 932 |  |

In the Open Room France reached 4s by East. Hopes of the French supporters were on high when North selected the lead of A. But it wasn't over yet. East still has to lose a heart, so he has to bring in the diamonds for one loser. Probably North's singleton trump should have him playing North for a three-card diamond suit, but declarer immediately led $\diamond 10$ to South's king and lost four tricks in the end.

To deal with a doubleton honour in the South hand, his play could have been a small diamond his hand, which gives him a chance of South winning the trick or picking up a stiff honour later on. Anyway it was not to be. 10 Imps to Croatia, who were only 2 IMPs behind with three more boards to play.

Serf-Ivancic 12-10.
In many matches at the head tables Board 18 had caused enormous swings. Would it happen on Vu-Graph as well?

## Board I8. N/S Vul. Dealer East.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK } 432 \\ & \text { A } 4 \\ & \text { 9 } 752 \\ & \text { AJ } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - J 5 | N | -107 |
| $\bigcirc 1085$ |  | 8 K 9 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 1043 | W E | $\diamond$ K 6 |
| \& Q 1095 | S | ¢K876432 |
|  | Q Q 986 |  |
|  | QQJ 7632 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ 8 |  |
|  | - - |  |

North/South in the Closed Room has played in making six. Would the Croatian reach the slam? Could East then find the killing diamond lead? Although North tried his best the hopes of the RAMA spectators for excitement were not fulfilled as the bidding went:

| West | North | East <br> Stretz | South <br> Protega |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ms. Renoux Ms. Petrovic |  |  |  |

Once again, East had no reason to lead anything else but a small club, so Croatia scored an easy $41+2$ for no swing.

Interesting hands, fascinating lead problems, that led to much more IMPs in both directions at the tables two to six. The match finally ended $15-10$ in favour of the French team, but the result should be good for both to make it to the semifinals.

## How it should be!

Dr. Michael Schneider from Germany reports a sporting gesture by two of his opponents in the Teams event. His partner played in 6NT and without any further details about her play, she claimed for just made.

Of course, twelve tricks were in the bag, but on the other hand dummy still held $\diamond 107$ and the opponent behind $\diamond 96$. The diamond
honours had already been played and $\diamond 7$ could have been discarded on another winner in declarer's hand.

Naturally the defenders wanted to know, how declarer would have played on. In this irritating situation, declarer did not produce a clearcut answer, probably because she had realized that her claim was not sufficient to say the least.

Now the opponents checked the situation themselves and found out, that $\diamond 7$ would easily go away on another high card, therefore without further ado they conceded twelve tricks.

Active ethics, which we do not see very often at the table. The performers were Pavla Svobodova and Otakar Svoboda from Czech Republic, congratulations!

# The Most Expensive Bid of the Semi-Final 

| $n$ the first half of the match between the two French teams there was one deal, perhaps one bid, that stood out as affecting the half-time score. It is one that Michel Bessis will not wish to remember for he ran into what must the worst trump break of the year as punishment for misunderstanding his partner's natural call:

## Board 8. Love All. Dealer West.

- A 9753
$\triangleright 1$
$\Delta 10986$
- A64

| 1 | N | - KQJ 10642 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K10876 |  | ¢9543 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 53 | W E | $\diamond$ J2 |
| \& Q 853 | S | ¢- |
|  | - 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 74 |  |
|  | \& KJIO972 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemla 18 | Stretz la | $\begin{gathered} \text { Saul } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | Renoux All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Stoppa | Michel | Serf | Veronique |
| 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 3 | 3NT |
| Pass | 44! | Dble | All Pass |

First look at the play in Four Hearts by Chemla West. North led $\diamond 10$ covered by jack, king and ace. West has six minor suit losers with which to deal. Chemla ruffed a club and led a high spade, throwing a losing diamond from hand. Two losers gone, four to go.


Anneliese Schmidt-Bott

North took his ace of spades. If he now switches to his trump, the game is doomed. South allows the jack to run to West's king. West has to ruff another club to get to dummy, but when he leads a master spade, South ruffs with the master trump, and draws dummy's last trump. South then exits in a minor suit to leave West with two more losers.

However, when North was in with the ace of spades he continued diamonds. Chemla won, ruffed a second club, and led a boss spade. South ruffed low, was over-ruffed, and Chemla ruffed a third loser in the dummy. When he led the next boss spade, South ruffed with the queen of trumps. Chemla over-ruffed with the king, and ruffed a fourth loser. Now he led a losing spade. South could ruff with her ace of trumps, but declarer's last loser went away. The defence made their two trumps separately, to go with the ace of spades, but that was all. Chemla had managed to cope with all six of his minor suit losers, to make a game that failed everywhere else.

At the other table, however, when East raised only to Three Hearts, Veronique Bessis, South, bid what she intended as a natural Three Notrumps.This game might well have succeeded even though South cannot pick up the clubs. Suppose West leads a low heart won by the bare jack.A club is ducked to West who has to exit with a club. Declarer has eight tricks and can generate a ninth by cashing the ace of spades and all the clubs. In the ending South is down to $\triangle A Q$ and $\forall K x x$. West has to keep at least two diamonds and is thrown in with a heart to lead up to the king of diamonds. If South makes Three Notrumps the Bessis team would have had a double game swing, worth 13 IMPs. However..... the bidding was not finished. Michel Bessis, North, was under the impression that 3NT was based on a spade fit and he removed to Four Spades!

After the double the first bid of bad news was dummy's singleton spade. The next was the thought that 3NT might have succeeded. The third and worst was to discover that the missing trumps were $7-0$ ! The penalty was 1400 and the swing was 14 IMPS to Stretz. North's bid had swung something like 27 IMPs.

## A MEMORABLE PRIZE-GIVING

Bridge-players travel the world to compete, and sometimes spend too much time in their hotel and at the table, and too little seeing the place they are visiting. Years later the memory has little to distinguish one tournament from another, except the score.

All more important then that the organisers put on at least one show that is unique. And the Pairs Prize-giving on Monday evening at the Albert Vahle Halle was certainly such an occasion. The ceremony began with the Rhein-Maas-Gymnasium (School) choir and orchestra, who performed "Teach Me Bridge", the song from the Italian Bridge Federation which has now been sung in many corners of the world.

Next we saw the Olympic Dance Formation Team TSC SchwarzGelb, trained in Aachen, who are world champions in their class, doing a samba program. This was followed by a demonstration of dressage with commentary by their leader Sabine Rueben. The three riders and their horses have been European Junior Champions on more than one occasion. And there was a colourful and dramatic conclusion, presented by the Stadium's Manager, Franz-Josef Coenen, with the parade of carriages, travelling at high speed.

Thank you, Anneliese, for arranging the occasion.

## Results after 13 rounds

| TEAM |  | TOT | RI | R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | R6 | R7 | R8 | R9 | RIO | RII | RI2 | R13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I BONEV | BUL | 227 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 25 | 14 | 14 | 20 | 7 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 17 |
| $2 \mathrm{KOCH}-\mathrm{PALMUD}$ | DEN | 224 | 17 | 10 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 24 | 15 | 17 | 24 | 20 | 19 |
| 3 BEINEIX | FRA | 223 | 25 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 13 |
| 4 VOLINA | RUS | 223 | 22 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 16 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 17 | 16 |
| 5 MAURIN | FRA | 222 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 17 | 11 | 20 |
| 6 VRIEND | NTH | 222 | 22 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 23 | 13 | 22 | 14 |
| 7 DUEBALL | GER | 220 | 25 | 14 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 17 | 12 | 21 | 19 | 7 | 25 | 10 | 22 |
| 8 BARR | ISR | 220 | 7 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 18 | 22 | 21 | 13 | 23 | 21 | 25 | 15 |
| 9 BREIVIK | NOR | 219 | 22 | 25 | 8 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 15 |
| 10 ZAKRZEWSKI | POL | 218 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 23 | 14 | 8 | 15 | 21 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 20 |
| 11 BO | FRA | 216 | 20 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 11 |
| 12 PAOLUZI | ITA | 215 | 25 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 25 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 8 | 20 |
| 13 BONORI | ITA | 212 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 25 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 17 |
| 14 COURTY | FRA | 210 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 20 | 10 |
| 15 POLET | BEL | 209 | 14 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 21 | 13 | 25 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 8 |
| 16 LESUR | FRA | 209 | 12 | 21 | 14 | 21 | 20 | 8 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 24 | 22 | 24 |
| 17 PUCZYNSKI | POL | 209 | 16 | 22 | 9 | 22 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 11 | 20 |
| 18 LISE | FRA | 208 | 17 | 20 | 13 | 21 | 21 | 13 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 16 |
| 19 KELINA | RUS | 208 | 20 | 21 | 8 | 23 | 15 | 11 | 20 | 23 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 11 | 17 |
| 20 RIBEROL | FRA | 208 | 6 | 19 | 7 | 24 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 9 | 11 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 14 |
| 21 SIWIEC | POL | 207 | 7 | 18 | 23 | 21 | 25 | 19 | 16 | 23 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 10 |
| 22 BEAUMIER | FRA | 207 | 15 | 17 | 19 | 9 | 19 | 14 | 21 | 16 | 20 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 25 |
| 23 LORMANT | FRA | 207 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 23 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 17 |
| 24 AUKEN | GER | 206 | 20 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 13 |
| 25 FUCIK | AUS | 206 | 12 | 9 | 25 | 13 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 25 | 17 | 19 |
| 26 ROTH | FRA | 205 | 14 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 5 | 20 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 10 |
| 27 TELTSCHER | GBR | 205 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 19 | 20 | 25 | 14 | 23 | 17 | 16 | 13 |
| 28 GROMÖLLER | GER | 204 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 16 |
| 29 SCHROEDER | GER | 202 | 10 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 16 | 9 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 22 | 16 |
| 30 ALLOUCHE | FRA | 202 | 16 | 14 | 24 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 22 | 14 | 11 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 13 |
| 31 RETZLAFF | GER | 202 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 25 | 14 | 2 | 24 | 23 |
| 32 LARSSON | SWE | 202 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 8 | 12 | 14 |
| 33 THUILLEZ | FRA | 201 | 14 | 16 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 17 |
| 34 HOBSON | GBR | 200 | 24 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 19 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| 35 BURATI | ITA | 199 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 18 |
| 36 NAHMENS | FRA | 199 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 25 | 15 | 2 | 25 | 13 | 16 |
| 37 KAPLAN | FRA | 199 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 21 | 9 | 17 | 22 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 19 |
| 38 LANGER | SWI | 199 | 18 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 19 | 24 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 10 |
| 39 MARSAL | GER | 199 | 15 | 13 | 22 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 16 | 17 | 11 |
| 40 CAESAR | GER | 198 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 20 |
| 41 ARAMI | ISR | 197 | 25 | 19 | 22 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 14 |
| 42 GUARIGLIA | ITA | 197 | 24 | 14 | 21 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 13 |
| 43 JOEGNE | FRA | 196 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 20 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 21 |
| 44 HOFLAND | NTH | 196 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 25 | 25 |
| 45 POPOVA | BUL | 195 | 15 | 5 | 14 | 24 | 17 | 24 | 10 | 22 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 10 | 25 |
| 46 CHODOROWSKA | POL | 194 | 18 | 9 | 25 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 10 | 25 | 12 | 16 | 21 | 12 |
| 47 KOWALSKI | POL | 194 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 18 | 18 |
| 48 ZORLU | TUR | 193 | 18 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 22 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 4 | 22 |


| 49 TICHY | HUN | 192 | 10 | 9 | 18 | 7 | 16 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 CLEARY | IRE | 191 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 23 | 25 | 11 |
| 51 MAITOVA | RUS | 190 | 20 | 16 | 20 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 20 | 16 | 17 | 10 | 16 |
| 52 BALDURSSON | ICE | 189 | 14 | 11 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 16 | 9 | 12 |
| 53 LEGER | FRA | 188 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 5 | 21 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| 54 MULLER | FRA | 188 | 10 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| 55 BRUNEL | FRA | 188 | 9 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 11 | 11 | 20 | 25 | 9 | 24 | 11 | 6 | 23 |
| 56 POKORNA | CZE | 188 | 5 | 21 | 17 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 17 | 10 |
| 57 SMITH | GBR | 187 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 13 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| 58 ROHOWSKY | GER | 187 | 24 | 12 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 14 | 21 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 18 |
| 59 ALBERTI | GER | 186 | 16 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 19 | 25 | 19 | 4 | 10 | 7 |
| 60 CAPUCHO | POR | 186 | 14 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 25 | 20 | 14 |
| 61 GOTARD | GER | 185 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 22 | 17 | 16 |
| 62 NILSSON | SWE | 185 | 4 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 25 | 25 |
| 63 DIRKS | NTH | 184 | 23 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 21 | 14 |
| 64 YALMAN | TUR | 184 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 11 | 16 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 9 |
| 65 CRONIER | FR | 183 | 18 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 0 |
| 66 MAGUIRE | IRE | 181 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 21 | 12 |
| 67 TOICCAN | TUR | 180 | 22 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 8 | 19 | 17 |
| 68 DAVIES | GBR | 180 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 6 | 19 |
| 69 BONDA | CRO | 179 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 8 |
| 70 CURETTI | FRA | 178 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 20 |
| 71 MASSEUS | NTH | 178 | 4 | 18 | 4 | 18 | 14 | 21 | 8 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 13 |
| 72 ZAJKIEWICZ | POL | 176 | 8 | 25 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 2 | 19 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 16 |
| 73 HONKAVUORI | FIN | 175 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 3 | 19 |
| 74 LÜSSMAN | GER | 174 | 25 | 6 | 18 | 13 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 14 |
| 75 GERARDS | NTH | 174 | 16 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 12 | 4 |
| 76 SUMER | TUR | 173 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 23 | 17 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 2 |
| 77 TJORSWAAG | NOR | 173 | 23 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 25 | 10 | 17 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 22 |
| 78 THEODORSSON | ICE | 173 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 22 | 7 | 17 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 7 |
| 79 KLEIN | GER | 172 | 4 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 11 |
| 80 TOMCIKOVA | CZE | 172 | 21 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 21 |
| 81 KASS | FRA | 171 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 5 | 14 | 13 | 0 |
| 82 MAURY | FRA | 170 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 8 | 0 |
| 83 KRIEGER | GER | 170 | 14 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 20 | 11 | 21 |
| 84 TAILLEFER | FRA | 168 | 16 | 18 | 9 | 10 | 24 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 19 |
| 85 GÜNTHER | GER | 168 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 10 |
| 86 ZOBU | TUR | 168 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 23 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 11 |
| 87 BETTAG | GER | 164 | 11 | 25 | 15 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 11 | 9 |
| 88 MARAKULIN | RUS | 164 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 5 |
| 89 VARGA | HUN | 164 | 11 | 4 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 8 |
| 90 WITKOWSKI | POL | 160 | 6 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 16 | 5 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 11 |
| 91 DANIELS | NTH | 155 | 16 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 13 |
| 92 SAPIGA | RUS | 148 | 14 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 9 |
| 93 BEAUFAYS | BEL | 120 | 6 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 17 |

