## Day of <br> 

After four of the twelve qualifying matches, team Maurin of France enjoys a healthy ten-point lead at the top of the table. They have scored a tremendous 94/IO0 Victory Points. Two teams follow them from the Netherlands, Wanner and Vriend, the latter tying with Belgium's Polet.

On a different note, it has been a day of important announcements.

Following his medal winning performance here, WBF President José Damiani has confirmed that in order to give everyone else a chance he will not compete in the Mixed Pairs in Lille!

Omar Sharif will be arriving today - see below - and another important contract has been signed.

Spectators at the Championships can enjoy the VuGraph commentary of Hans Werge and his multilingual team. There were a number of technical problems yesterday, but, fingers crossed, everything should be running smoothly today. The Vugraph theatre is located on the first floor in Room K3 and the first match today starts at 13.30 .

## OMAR SHARIF SEEKS PARTNER AND TEAM

We are delighted to announce that Omar Sharif has suddenly decided to visit the Championships. He needs a partner and a suitable team to join. If you have a vacancy in your team please inform Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room located in room KIon the first floor.

Prokom Software IOth European Open Pairs Championship \& 5th European Senior Pairs Championship Poland, Warsaw March 15-20 1999


The President of the European Bridge League, Bill Pencharz, and the Chairman of the Polish Bridge Federation, Andrzej Orlow, sign the contract for next year's Championships.

Prokom Software S.A. has a unique and proven capability for large and complex IT projects, an extensive, high quality customer base, uses world-class technology and experienced management and IT personnel. It is a listed Company on the Warsaw Stock Exchange.

## Mixed Teams Programme

13.30 hrs Third Session (Three matches)
20.00 hrs Fourth Session (Two matches)

## They Play the Same System... a different Way

French pairs are known to play 'all of them' 'French Standard'. That is five card majors, strong no trump, and weak twos in the majors. In the first session of the Championship final, Board 14 did not exactly support that premise.

## Love All; Dealer East.

- A Q 10763

Q Q 102
$\diamond J 42$
*

- J 2
-K 9864
$\diamond 98$
29863

- 984

○J5 3
107

- AK 752
- K 5
$\bigcirc$ A 7
$\diamond$ AKQ653
- Q 104

Philippe Cronier and Christine Lustin are a new 'association' and they had some misunderstanding.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cronier |  | Lustin |
|  |  | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}{ }^{\text {(1) }}$ |
| Pass | $3{ }^{(2)}$ | Pass | $3{ }^{(3)}$ |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark^{(4)}$ | Pass | 48 |
| Pass | 51 | All Pass ${ }^{(5)}$ |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ 20-2I but as you will see, the obvious choice.
${ }^{(2)}$ Transfer
${ }^{(3)}$ Compulsory
${ }^{(4)}$ Cronier's choice of forcing bid
${ }^{(5)}$ South thinking there was no club control
+480 was a poor score, only 39/I 18

| West | North <br> Mouiel | East | South <br> Gaviard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $3 \vee$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $4 N T^{(2)}$ | Pass | $3 N T^{(1)}$ |
| All Pass ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  | $6 \diamond$ |

(1) Only two spades
${ }^{(2)}$ Non-forcing
${ }^{(3)}$ Considering three trumps and a singleton a dream

Though spades behave, +920 scored 94/I I8
Catherine Saul-d'Ovidio (her recent marriage justifies the double name!) and Paul Chemla did well on this board and the whole session.

| West | North Chemla | East | South <br> d'Ovidio-Saul |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 40. ${ }^{(1)}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5{ }^{(2)}$ | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Natural or a cue bid
${ }^{(2)}$ What do you think?
64 scoring +980 was worth a heavy 109 match points.
The best was yet to come.
Luc Hirchwald and Mrs Chatard from Lyon knew their methods in this sequence:

| West | North <br> Hirchwald | East | South <br> Chatard <br> 2NT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  |  |  |

## All Pass

${ }^{(1)}$ Standard, as I told you
${ }^{(2)}$ Only two spades
${ }^{(3)}$ Re-transfer to spades
${ }^{(4)}$ Cue Bid
West led a diamond and South collected all the tricks for an absolute top, II8. Only I5 of the 60 North-South pairs bid this good slam.


The Lion KIng

## "'Watch board IO!"

What do you do, when you're looking for good stories and everybody you meet, tells you:"Hey bulletin man, you have to watch board 10 !"? Well, first you try to find the board, then sit down where you have a good view and finally look like a man who's bored to death.

You arrive right in time. The actors involved in your article to come are about to finish Board 9 and here it comes, the crucial, the wonderful, the "you have to" one:

Board IO. Game All. Dealer East.

- K 106532
$\stackrel{\square}{8}$
$\diamond 1042$
- AK 75

| ¢ Q 7 | N |  | A J 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ 10 | 532 W |  | AK 97 |
| $\diamond$ Q 73 | W |  |  |
| 9 - | S |  | 108643 |
|  | ¢ 84 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK | 86 |  |
|  | \& Q J |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Sabri Alan |  | Funda Sümer |
|  |  | 120 | $1 \diamond$ |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 49 | 5 | Pass |
| Pass | 6 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Happy with the fireworks in the bidding, you can't wait to find out what's in the play. The defense starts with $\triangle 2$ ruffed in dummy. Now declarer fails to draw trumps and plays a club. His 2 is ruffed by West, who continues with Q ducked and a small spade covered by the 10 and the jack. East plays another club ruffed by West, who exits with $\triangleleft$ Q ruffed in dummy. Declarer draws trumps and claims for three down.
-800 seems to be a good result for East/West as they beat all the pairs playing games in hearts. But the shock for East/West is already on it's way. North opens the score sheet and all eyes are on one $-1660(68 x=)$ and the rest -1050 s $(5 \vee x+1)$ ) Not a single undoubled game for East/West! In the light of day -800 looks more like a case for mourning than celebrating.

All of a sudden, West wonders if he could have done much better to beat all these overtricks by not leading $Q$ but the small spade first if decrarer ducks East gets in twice now to give West one ruff more for the magic number of -llo0!

Of course, from the journalists point of view things look quite different. How right your advisors had been, to make you watch board IO. More than grateful you leave the table, because you know you have just seen the cherry on the top of the cream! Whoever had watched board 10 so far, had obviously been referring to a wild bidding, ending in a "thrilling" and "expensive" doubled overtrick. But YOU know that the even better stuff had been waiting for the presence of the press!

## Masterful Mass

Anton Maas collected 114/II8 matchpoints on this deal from the second session of the pairs final when he found an outstanding technical play that was missed by almost every other declarer.

Board 19. East-West Game. Dealer South.

|  | - AKQ 1096 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 94 |  |
|  | $\diamond 654$ |  |
|  | - Q |  |
| - 43 | N | - 75 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 8763$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q J |
| $\checkmark$ J 72 |  | $\checkmark$ K 1093 |
| \& 853 | S | \% KJ1062 |
|  | - J 82 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1052$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 8 |  |
|  | \& A974 |  |


| West | North <br> Maas | East | South <br> Vriend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1 4}(1)$ |
| Pass | $4!$ | All Pass |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Drury
East led the 5 and dummy's eight held the trick. Anton now made a brilliant and far sighted play when he continued with a low club to the queen!

East won and played another spade, taken by dummy's jack. Now Anton ruffed a club and took the winning diamond finesse. Then he cashed the A, discarding his losing diamond, and ruffed a club. His next move was to cash the $\oslash \mathrm{A}$. Only then did he cross to the $\diamond A$ and ruff a diamond. He exited with a heart and the defenders had no answer. If East won the trick he would have to concede a ruff and discard and West could only overtake his partner's queen at the cost of setting up dummy's ten of hearts.

A perfect example of elimination play.

## Vive le sport

It is not generally known that male lions (as opposed to lionesses) have a rather splendid life style.

The male spends 20 hours each day sleeping, two hours each day eating and two hours each day...

# Honours Even 

Marc Smith (GB)

The Bridge Gods decreed that there should be no average scores when top French pair Nadine Cohen \& Alain Levy met Jette Bondo \& Lauge Schäffer, one of the surprisingly few Danish pairs at these championships.

## Board I5. N/S Vul. Dealer South.

- 653

ค9852
$\diamond$ A Q 108

* Q 6



## West

Levy
Pass
I
4.
${ }^{(1)}$ splinter raise
North led a trump. South won her King, returned a diamond to North's eight, and declarer took the trump continuation with dummy's seven. Declarer was at the crucial point.

Clearly, with the Queen of hearts doubleton in the same hand as the singleton spade, declarer can play three rounds of hearts ending in hand, ruff a diamond, ruff a heart, ruff a diamond and concede a club, but that is not a realistic layout to play for.

Instead, Levy gave Jette Bondo a chance to beat him, although not one many players would be capable of taking -- he led a small club from dummy. Can anyone reading this say in all honesty that they would have followed small (thus allowing North to win the Queen and play a third trump)?

Bondo won the King of clubs and returned the suit to

## Rules and Regulations

Copies of the rules and regulations for the Mixed Teams are available at the hospitality desk.

To be named European Mixed Teams Champion a player of the winning team needs to have played one third of the boards played in the qualification rounds and one third of the boards played in the semi-final plus final.

Queen and Ace. Now Levy ruffed a club - what could North discard. In practise he threw a heart, and now Levy quickly played the Ace and King of hearts and claimed when the Queen fell.

Let's say North discards a diamond. Declarer ruffs a diamond with dummy's Ace and ruffs another club. Whatever defence North tries, declarer has a counter.

E/W +620 was an excellent board for the French, with the majority of $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Ws}$ either failing in 41 or stopping in a partscore.

Could the Danes redress the balance on the second board of the round? You bet they could...

Board 16. E/W Vul. Dealer West.

- KQ4 3
-K 107
$\diamond$ QJ 7
- A 52

| - AJ 8762 | N | -10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 84$ |  | QQJ 65 |
| $\checkmark 982$ | W E | $\checkmark$ K 10 |
| \& K 7 | S | 2 QJ 10964 |
|  | - 95 |  |
|  | © A 932 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A6543 |  |
|  | ¢ 83 |  |

At every other table I saw, the bidding went...

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 a $^{*}$ | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East invariably led a club to the King and declarer took the second or third club.The $\diamond Q$ was covered by King and Ace and a spade led to the Queen. Next came Jack and another diamond, and when East proved to have no entry to her clubs it was a simple matter for declarer to cash dummy's diamonds and lead towards the King of spades for his ninth trick. N/S $+400$

The Danes were not satisfied with average-plus though, They wanted revenge for the previous board. This was the auction at their table...
West

| Levy |
| :---: |
| $2 \wedge^{*}$ |

North
Schäffer
Pass
East
Cohen
Pass

South
Bondo Dble
All Pass
The defence is fairly straightforward after the Queen of diamonds lead to the King and Ace and a trump back. Declarer duly lost two diamonds, two spades, two hearts and a club two down and N/S +500.

Honours even, I would say.

# Unlucky or Misjudged? 

by Marc Smith (GB)

Two leading players were left feeling a little aggrieved on Board 5 of the second qualifying session Friday evening.

## Board 5. N/S Vul. Dealer North.



2NT was a spade raise and this brief auction left declarer in a similar contract to that found by most N/S pairs. Unfortunately for the Netherlands' Anton Maas, the East at his table looked beyond the "obvious" Jack of diamonds lead and chose instead the devilish Ten of Clubs.

Maas ducked the first club and won the second. Seeing that to play a spade now would only win if East had either a singleton honour or both King and Queen, he chose a heart finesse in an attempt to dispose of his club loser. He cashed the King and overtook the Ten of hearts with dummy's Jack. When
that lost to the Queen, the roof fell in - West cashed a high club and led a fourth round, overruffed with the Queen as dummy had to follow suit. The defence still had the King of spades to come.

Four spades going one down was not an uncommon result, but any more was a disaster. Clearly Maas' line is correct at IMPs. At matchpoints it is surely much closer, although if you expect most pairs to make ten tricks on a more favourable lead then settling for nine would be a poor view.

Justin Hackett found himself in that other matchpoint dilemma.

| West | North <br> Hackett <br> INT | East | South <br> Smith |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 !}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$, |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Hackett's Strong NT clearly was not the field's choice, but the resulting contract was a good one. The diamond lead went to the Queen, and the Jack of Spades was covered by Queen and Ace.A spade went to West's King, and declarer ducked the King of clubs switch (East playing the 10 ), ducked the King of diamonds and won the third round of the suit.

At this point, declarer can cash his nine top tricks. However, Justin Hackett was fairly certain that West's shape was 3-2-$4-4$. Knowing the hearts were 5-2, he could not resist the allure of that matchpoint overtrick, so he took a heart finesse for a tenth trick and when that lost to the doubleton Queen found himself going two down for an awful -200.

It's a shame, but a $70 \%$ play still only works... well, about $70 \%$ of the time. Today just happened to be one of the other $30 \%$. I will leave you to decide whether this risk was justified or if declarer should judge that most pairs would be failing in 44 and that scoring his contract would therefore produce most of the matchpoints...

## Judgement justified

by Nikolas Bausback, Germany

You should always be able to play as well as you bid. Sementa proved his abilities in both departments on the following deal.

Board I8. N/S Vul. Dealer East.
$\uparrow$
© A Q 973
$\diamond 3$

- AJ 8764

$$
108752
$$

$\vee 10$
$\diamond$ KJ 1075
-92

| West <br> Bausback | North <br> Sementa | East <br> Alberti | South <br> Tempestini <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \diamond^{(1)}$ | $3 \diamond^{(2)}$ | Pass | $3 \$^{(3)}$ |
| Pass | 6 | All Pass |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ DONT (diamond + major)
${ }^{(2)}$ asks for a diamond stopper
${ }^{(3)}$ spades, no diamond stopper
After this short but effective bidding sequence Antonio Sementa justified his judgement in the bidding with brilliant declarer play. He ruffed two hearts and threw one on the spade.

He won the diamond lead with dummy's ace, played a heart to the ace and another heart and was able to ruff two hearts. Had East, however, found a trump lead, her side would have been all smiles.

Editor: Double-dummy 6e can succeed, even on a trump lead;


## Double Jeopardy

By Maureen Dennison

My partner, David Mossop, has earned himself the nickname of Mr Double. We earned at least 14 tops over the five sessions defeating doubled contracts, five of them in the last. This was one of them.

## Board26. Game All; Dealer East.

|  | 4. K 9874 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 K 1032 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 97 |  |
|  | - 2 |  |
| - Q 2 | N | -1063 |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 98 |  | $\bigcirc 5$ |
| $\diamond$ KJ 53 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 64 |
| 2K 95 | S | 2 QJ10643 |
|  | - AJ5 |  |
|  | Q J 764 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1082$ |  |
|  | \& 487 |  |

After two passes David, West, opened INT and North bid 2* showing the majors. Now I felt I had a difficult decision. I could Lebensohl to 3 - wimpish? I could bid a direct $3 \%$ forcing or I could double to show the values for 2 NT with a balanced hand.

I chose the last of these alternatives and South bid 2NT!!!
Nobody except South knew what the bid meant but partner doubled. North shrugged his shoulders and passed and I thought, maybe we are going to finish in a minor! I too passed and now South bid 3 which David doubled and we all passed. It is not so easy to see how this goes off but watch what happened. Partner led a diamond to my ace and I returned the $\%$. Declarer took this and led a heart to the IO. Next came \&A and another heart towards the table. West rose with the ace and forced dummy with the $\boldsymbol{K}$ : this was ruffed, the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ played, dropping the Q , and another played to the J and a ruff. West played his last club and dummy ruffed with the $\vee K$. South called for the master spade throwing a club but partner was able to ruff, cash his 8 Q and $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ for the magic 200 against $I I O$ at almost every other table.

## Grand \& Precise Auction

The first session of the Pairs Final saw this brilliantly concise solution to reaching the best spot on Board 23, found by Gerrard Tissot \& Sylvie Willard of France told to us by Philippe Cronier:

Board 23. Game All. Dealer South.


How did Sylvie Willard come up with the winning bid so quickly? As 5NT was a grand slam try asking for the top trumps she knew her partner must have the ace of diamonds and a void in clubs. So surely he would have at least six hearts headed by the ace-king. In which case she could count I3 top tricks in notrumps.

## 'Up Went the Ace, down went the Contract'

Edgar Kaplan (1925-1997)

O
ne of our roving reporters spotted a nice play by Arne Larsson on this deal.
Board I8. North-South Game. Dealer East.
-K 62

- 103
$\diamond$ A 6
\& K Q J 864


West \begin{tabular}{cccc}

North \& East \& | South |
| :---: |
| Andersson | <br>

1s \& $2 \&$ \& Pass \& | Pass |
| :---: | <br>

Pass \& $3 \&$ \& $3 \&$ \& Pass <br>
All Pass
\end{tabular}

Arne started with the king of clubs and declarer took the ace and played three rounds of hearts, discarding the 9. North ruffed and tried the Q . West ruffed and played a diamond. If North plays low on this trick the defence gets into a tangle because the diamond suit is blocked. Of course, for an about-to-be-crowned European Champion, this was not a problem. Larsson went up with the $\diamond A$ and played a second diamond. That ensured the defeat of the contract and a reasonable share of the matchpoints.

# Lille 1998 

Great Britain's Sandra Landy, one of the world's outstanding women players, provides you with some of the reasons why you must visit the forthcoming World Championships.

It is hard for aspiring players, particularly those who would seek to make an international team, to find ways of developing their bridge. Playing in the same old club each week, albeit a good standard club, only improves your game against a small group of people. Playing in national events widens that circle and you may play tougher opposition, but it is still the same ideas, the same systems and a fairly homogeneous group of people.

To do well you need new ideas, new systems to play against, people whose thinking is very different from your own. Whenever I am asked what somebody can do, I say, 'Go and play in an overseas event.' Getting to see other people from other countries, playing other systems, with other attitudes to the game is a great learning experience. You learn amazing defences to INT, weird signalling and leading methods, incomprehensible conventions with strange foreign names. I never guessed there could be so many variations on the way the game is played till I played people from other countries. It is not only an educational eye-opener, it is also great fun and a wonderful way to make new friends all over the world.

There has never been a better time than 1998, because the World Bridge Championships are being held in Lille during August and September Originally, the teams championship at this event was just the Rosenblum Cup, but it has grown over the years to include a whole range of events.

## Free Transfers

The Official Carriers, Air France, are offering free transfers from Paris Charles de Gaulle airport to Lille.


World Bridge Championships
2I August to 4 September 1998 Lille Grand Palais Lille, France

21 Aug 18.00
Opening ceremony
21-22 Aug
Par contest for 20 top players
21-23 Aug
Mixed Pairs - qualifying and final
24-28 Aug
Rosenblum Round Robin for Open Teams
McConnell Round Robin for Women's Teams
29 Aug - 4 Sept
Open \& Women's Pairs
Qualifying and Finals
27 Aug - I Sept
Quarters, Semis and Finals of Rosenblum and McConnell

24-28 Aug
Junior Teams, Pairs and Individual
27-30 Aug
Senior Teams
31 Aug- 3 Sept
Senior Pairs
22 Aug - I Sept
Continuous Pairs
You play when you want to
2-3 Sept
IMP Pairs
4 Sept 19.30
Closing Ceremony and Victory Banquet

For serious players, the Rosenblum is a great event. It is open so anyone can enter, though I would recommend that teams containing only women play in the McConnell - you'll have a better chance of getting your money's worth.

Those born before 1944 can enter the Seniors events. Just because competitors are a bit older, don't think you will have an easy ride!

You don't have to go for the whole fortnight. You could just go for the Open or Women's Pairs. As they start on Saturday 29th, you need not take too long a break. It costs $\$ 320$ per pair, plus another $\$ 200$ if you make the final stages. Or you can go for the fortnight, have a holiday and just enter the Continuous Pairs at $\$ 10$ a session and play when you want.As a free side-show, you can watch the selected experts battling with a Par Contest.

The best news is for Juniors. All Junior events are free. Five days bridge will be played alongside the main teams events and there will be an overall winner of the Triathlon.

The number of hotels near to the venue is limited. No problem if you take a car as there is sensible accommodation on the outskirts of Lille and plenty of free outdoor parking is promised. So get a move on, your entries have to be in by the end of May - not too late if you act today!


# Béncer <br> Die Deutsche Homepaye <br> BRimg 

# Highlights aus der "Dunkelkammer" 

Rama-Kurzreport über Runde I der Team-EM

Das Teamturnier hat begonnen! Gleich im ersten Match trafen im Rama zwei absolute Spitzenmannschaften aufeinander. Das Team aus Frankreich Catherine Saul-d'OvidioPaul Chemla,Veronique und Michel Bessis traf auf die deutschdänische Kombination Sabine und Jens Auken, Daniela von Arnim- Klaus Reps.

Board 9 brachte einen überraschenden Endkontrakt im offenen Raum, der sich auf das Endresultat deutlich auswirkte.

## Board 9. O/W in Gefahr. Teiler Nord.

|  | $$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - D 94 | N | - 762 |
| $\bigcirc$ B 5 | w | $\bigcirc$ D 74 |
| $\checkmark$ D 863 |  | $\checkmark 1097$ |
| - A 862 | S | \& K 743 |
|  | - KJ85 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 102$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 542$ |  |
|  | 2 D $\mathrm{B}_{5}$ |  |

Im geschlossenen Raum erfüllten von Arnim - Reps problemlos $4 \bigcirc$ und legten somit +420 vor.

Im Open erlebten die Rama-Besucher diese Reizung:

| West | Nord | Ost <br> S.Auken | Süd <br> Chemla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $I \varnothing$ | Pass | Saul-d'Ovidio |

Jens Auken startete mit $\diamond 6$ und die Alleinspielerin gewann am Tisch mit dem As und kassierte $\vee A K$. In der Hoffnung die Coeurs zu etablieren, spielte sie eine dritte Runde. Zu ihrem Unmut besaß Ost das letzte hohe Coeur. Nach langer Denkpause schnappte Süd schließlich mit 88 und Jens Auken warf ein Treff ab!

Da es an der Zeit war, sich um die Trümpfe zu kümmern, spielte die Alleinspieler Pik zum As (West bediente die 4) und Pik gegen die Hand. Ost gab $\$ 2$ und $\$ 6$ zu, worauf Süd wieder in die Bücher ging. Letztendlich übernahm sie mit $\$$ (um weiter Trümpfe ziehen zu können) und West verwandelte jetzt seine Dame. Als Jens Auken wieder Karo spielte, schnitt Süd zwar erfolgreich, war aber am Tisch festgenagelt und mußte sich eine Trumpfpromotion in Form eines Coeur-Überschnappers mit $\$ 9$ gefallen lassen.

10 wertvolle IMPs, die das Match im vorletzten Board deutlich zu Gunsten der Heimmannschaft entschieden.

## Ein doppelter Grosvenor

Obwohl etwas vernachlässigt, hat natürlich die Trostrunde der Paar-EM ihre Momente. Normalerweise schlägt Roland Rohowsky am Bridgetisch keine Geschenke aus, um so erstaunlicher, daß es ihm gelang, in einem Spiel gleich zwei Chancen ungenutzt zu lassen, seinen Kontrakt zu erfüllen.

## Board 29. Alle in Gefahr. Teiler Nord.

```
& KD92
    \vee9853
    \diamond K 8
& D }3
```

| ¢ B 10875 | N | ¢ 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 62 |
| $\diamond$ AD 10953 | W O | $\checkmark 742$ |
| \& 109 | S | \% A 874 |
|  | - A 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ D B 1074 |  |
|  | $\triangleleft$ B6 |  |
|  | 9 K B 65 |  |

Rohowsky war in $4 \diamond$ gelandet und erhielt Coeur-Angriff. Er
nahm mit dem As, entfernte ein Treff und spielte Pik zu Bube und Dame. Nord fand nun den Switch auf $\vee 8$, Süd gab $\diamond 6$ zu und West gewann zu seiner Überraschung den Stich mit $\diamond$. Der Alleinspieler drehte eine weitere Pikrunde und Süd gewann mit dem As, um $\diamond B$ auf den Tisch zu legen. Rohowsky legte die Dame und bereute dies Sekunden später, als Nord sich sein erstes Geschenk zurückholte.Aber es sollte noch bitterer kommen, Nord hatte noch das Rückspiel von 99 auf Lager. West muß dieses Zweitgeschenk nur zu seiner $\$ 10$ laufen lassen und hätte das "Unerfüllbare" erfüllt. Leider schnappte der Alleinspieler und Nord bekam auch seinen fast schon verschenkten Pikstich für den Faller zurück.

Sicherlich knirschte Roland Rohowsky über so viel ungewohnte eigene Großzügigkeit mit den Zähnen, aber er war so beeindruckt, daß man ihn in ein und demselben Board zweimal vorgeführt hatte, daß er selbst die Geschichte den BulletinHerausgebern kolportierte. Grosvenor-Coups - wie der obige basieren darauf, daß sich der Alleinspieler einen bestimmten, irrationalen Spielzug einfach nicht vorstellen kann, woraufhin der Gegenspieler einen verschenkten Stich postwendend zurückbekommt und noch Vorteile daraus zieht. Ein doppelter Grosvenor ist jedoch ein besonders seltenes und deshalb berichtenswertes Ereignis. Ob hier wohl die Regel gilt: Je prominenter das Opfer, desto besser die Erfolgsaussichten?

## 'Du mußt Dir unbedingt Board 10 anschauen!'"

Was tut man, wenn man auf der Suche nach guten Stories von mehreren Seiten zu hören bekommt:"Du mußt Dir unbedingt Board 10 anschauen!" Nun, zuerst einmal versucht man das betreffende Board aufzuspüren, dann einen Platz mit guter Sicht zu ergattern und schließlich wie ein Kiebitz auszusehen, der sich gerade zu Tode langweilt.

Gerade noch rechtzeitig gekommen! Die unfreiwilligen Hauptakteure haben gerade Board 9 beendet und legen nun das spannende, das wundervolle, das "Du mußt Dir unbedingt" Board auf.

Finale I.Dg./Board IO. Alle in Gefahr. Teiler Ost.

```
& K 106532
8-
\1042
& AK75
```

| - D 7 | N | - AB9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ D B 1086532 |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 97 |
| $\diamond$ D 73 | W O | $\checkmark 5$ |
| 9- | S | ¢ 108643 |
|  | - 84 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKB986 |  |
|  | \& D 92 |  |


| West | Nord S. Alan | Ost | Süd <br> F. Sümer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12 | $1 \diamond$ |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 4. | $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| Pass | 6 | Pass | Pass |
| Dы. | Pass | Pass | Pass |



Sabri Alan


Funda Sümer
Zufrieden mit dem Reizungsfeuerwerk, wartet man mit gespitztem Bleistift darauf, was die Hand spieltechnisch zu bieten hat.

Die Verteidigung startet mit $\vee 2$, am Tisch geschnappt. Anschließend irrt die Alleinspielerin leicht, und spielt - statt Trümpfe zu ziehen - Treff zur Dame. West sticht und spielt 4 D , gefolgt von einem kleinen Pik zu 10 und Bube. Ost gibtWest noch einen PikSchnapper, bevor der Alleinspieler nach Coeur-Fortsetzung die restlichen Trümpfe zieht und für drei Faller claimt.
-800 scheint ein gutes Ergebnis für Ost/West zu sein, da man damit alle Paare im Griff hat, die nur Vollspiel in Coeur erreichen. Doch die Schrecksekunde für die Gegenspieler rückt unaufhaltsam näher. Nord öffnet den Boardzettel und vier Augenpaare starren auf einen -1660er ( $6 \times x=$ ) und ansonsten durch die Bank -1050er ( $58 x+1$ ). In diesem Licht erscheint -800 wie ein geküßter Prinz, aus dem plötzlich eine häßliche Kröte wurde.

West fühlt sich auf einmal gar nicht mehr wohl auf seinem Stuhl, denn es dämmert ihm, daß er leicht an all den kontrierten Überstichen hätte vorbeiziehen können, hätte er statt \$D mit einem kleinen Pik begonnen. Die Partnerin wäre jetzt mit $\uparrow B$ und $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ zweimal zu Stich gekommen - vorausgesetzt Süd setzt nicht hellseherisch $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{K}$ ein - und hätte West einen zusätzlichen Schnapper für die magischen -IIO0 geben können.

Aus der Sicht des Berichterstatters sieht die Sache natürlich ganz anders aus. Wie recht doch all diejenigen hatten, die sagten: "Du mußt Dir unbedingt Board 10 anschauen!". Dankbar verläßt man den Tisch, im sicheren Bewußtsein, daß man gerade die Kirsche auf der Spitze des Sahnehäubchens zu sehen bekommen hat. Alle, die Board IO bis dato gesehen hatten, waren offensichtlich von wilden Reizungen und "teuren", kontrierten Überstichen beeindruckt gewesen. Nur man selbst weiß, daß die wirklich gute Story auf die Anwesenheit der Presse gewartet hatte!

## It's Showtime

## Rama-Report Round I

The first Vue-graph match of the day saw one of the home teams (Sabine and Jens Auken, Daniela von Arnim-Klaus Reps) playing against the pairs'silver medallists from France Catherine Saul-d'Ovidio - Paul Chemla who had formed a team with their compatriots Veronique and Michel Bessis.

The first swing went to Germany-Denmark due to efforts in the bidding and a good defense.

Board 3. E/W Vul. Dealer South.

|  | - 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 107 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 97654 |  |
|  | \& Q 2 |  |
| - 93 | N | - A 75 |
| ¢KJ9532 | $W^{N}$ | Q Q 86 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ | W E | $\checkmark$ K 32 |
| -1085 | S | * A976 |
|  | - KQJ 1086 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 108$ |  |
|  | \& KJ43 |  |


| West <br> J.Auken | North <br> Chemla | East <br> S.Auken | South <br> Saul-d'Ovidio <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Pass | $3 \varnothing$ | $3 \Omega$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

In the Closed Room North/South had scored 2 $\mathbf{~ + ~ I ~ a f t e r ~}$ an opening bis by South. Much to everybody's surprise, South passed in the Open Room, Jens Auken came in with a weak two in hearts, his partner found a preemptive raise to $3 \checkmark$ and South had to bid on the three level.

West led $\diamond \mathbf{A}$, played another diamond to East's king, and South had to ruff the third diamond high. Next came $\mathbf{~ K}$, East smoothly ducked and won the second round of spades. Sabine Auken then killed the entry to the diamond suit by playing $>8$ to 4 , jack and ace. South tried a diamond, but East ruffed and declarer over-ruffed. However there was no way to avoid the loss of two club tricks, and contract went one down. 5 IMPs to Germany/Denmark.

In the next board France gained the lead.


Board 4. Game All. Dealer West.

- K Q 108642
$\bigcirc 63$
$\diamond A$ Q 7
49
- A 75

Q Q 107
$\diamond 1864$
\& 1075


- J 93
©AK542
$\diamond K 2$
- AJ 4
-     - 

PJ 98
$\diamond 10953$
\& K Q 8632
In the Closed Room North/South had lost -200 playing 34-2. In the Open Room things went different again:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J.Auken | Chemla | S.Auken | Saul-d'Ovidio |
|  | $1 \$$ | 2 | Pass |
| $3>$ | $3 \$$ | $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |

Jens Auken pushed the auction a little bit and East bid the vulnerable game. Chances would have been good, had South selected a club lead, but wisely Catherine Saul-d'Ovidio went for $\diamond 10$.

North won with the ace, switch to a club and East played small. South won the trick and immediately returned $\%$. North ruffed, played a spade and North/South continued their cross ruff to set the contract three times. That was another 300 and II IMPs to France.

The wind turned two hands later.

## Board 6. E/W Vul. Dealer East.

- 1053

Q Q 92
$\triangleleft$ K Q 3
\& A Q 108

- 192
© A 43
$\diamond$ J 64
*) 974

| N | 4. A Q 87 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ KJ75 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 87 |
| S | ¢ K 3 |
| - K 64 |  |
| $\bigcirc 1086$ |  |
| $\checkmark 10952$ |  |
| -652 |  |

While East/West in the Closed bid and made INT (-90 to France), aggressive bidding in the Open Room was sure to create a swing. But in which direction would the IMPs go?

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J. Auken | Chemla | S.Auken | Saul-d'Ovidio |
|  |  | $I \varnothing$ | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass | Pass | Pass |

Paul Chemla led the $\triangleleft \mathbf{Q}$, a card that warned partner not to
unblock anything. The declarer ducked in dummy and North was left on play. When he finally led 8 , Jens Auken took his chance. He won with 9 and finessed in spades. South scored人K, but there was no way to cash three tricks in the club, so declarer ended up with three spades tricks, four heart tricks and one in each minor. II IMPs to team Auken, who continued to win the match $32-14$.

# Must We Always Fight? 

by Marc Smith (GB)

Matches between long-standing rivals always seem to carry that little bit of extra tension. England vs. Scotland and Belgium vs. Netherlands are classic examples, and of course, Russia against Finland must come into that category.

Board 23. Game All. Dealer South.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - J 82 <br> A A 7654 <br> AJ643 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\wedge$ $\diamond$ $\diamond$ $*$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AK } 765 \\ & \vee 109 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Q Q 9 $\checkmark$ Q 8 $\diamond$ Q 8 $\sim$ A Q | 53 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Koistinan | Chour | Koistinan | Kelina $1 \%$ |
| 18 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

The trouble for the Finns began on the first round of the auction when Raija Koistinan elected not to bid 14, for thereafter the only suit in which E/W could make game was lost to them. However, there is a plus side - it allows us to witness a neat declarer play exhibition by Kauko Koistinan.

Arseni Chour led the Ten of spades, taken in dummy. Declarer now led the Ten of diamonds, and ran it when Marina Kelina failed to cover (as I suspect most of us would too). A heart now ran to North's Jack and a club came back, ruffed by declarer. Next came the Ace of hearts, a heart ruff with dummy's King, and a trump to the Jack and the Ace of trumps. Declarer now ran the Jack of spades to South's Queen and claimed the balanced, discarding his heart losers on dummy's long spades.

Declarer lost just a spade and a heart, but E/W +150 still scored poorly with so many pairs making ten tricks in spades.

Board 24. Love AII. Dealer West.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } 74 \\ & \vee \text { AJ } 9 \\ & \diamond \text { A } 72 \\ & \& \text { Q } 1074 \end{aligned}$ | - Q$$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W^{N} \quad \mathrm{E}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \qquad 109632 \\ & \diamond 87 \\ & \diamond K Q J 105 \\ & \& 5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| AK 85$\bigcirc 1032$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 83$ |  |  |  |
| 21862 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Koistinan | Chour | Koistinan | Kelina |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| 2 | Dble* | 3 - | Pass |
| Pass | 38 | All Pass |  |

West elected to bury his 5-card spade suit and in doing so got his partnership to the three-level too quickly for N/S to be able to judge that everyone could make exactly eight tricks.

The King of diamonds was led and West switched to his club, covered by Ten and King. With no entry to dummy's spade winners without compacting her tricks in the suit to two, what could Marina Kelina do but lead a second diamond? West forced his partner to win the Ace, and a club ruff quickly followed. West exited with a spade, and although declarer could win the Queen, ruff her diamond loser and pitch the clubs on the top spades, she now had no entries to lead hearts twice from dummy. With diamonds clearly 5-3, how was declarer to know that she could safely overtake the Queen of spades and lead hearts twice from dummy since the hand with the singleton club also had only two hearts.

This board redressed the balance from Board 23 and left each pair with a $50 \%$ round.

They may well meet again yet though, since both pairs are safely into the final - the Russians despite a terrible third session that saw them plummet from 8th to 7Ist while the Finns moved in the opposite direction with an impressive finish that lifted them from 68th to IIth.

## EBL SENIORS COMMITTEE

The first meeting of the Committee was held as an Open Meeting on 31st March 1998 at Aachen. The meeting was Chaired by Dr. Nissan Rand in the presence of the President of the EBL, Bill Pencharz. Also present was: Judy Rand (lsr), Mario Dix (Malta), Patrick Jourdain (GB), Victor Hess (Germany), Alfred Fleischmann (Austria), Andrezj Orlow (Poland), Irena Chodorowska (Poland), Anna-Maria Torlontano (Italy). The meeting was joined later by: Jean-Claude Beneix (France), Paul Hackett (GB), Ewa Skopinska (Poland) and Piotr Vitkovski (Poland).

Dr. Rand (Isr), convening the meeting, welcomed the President of the EBL, Bill Pencharz, appointed Mrs. Rand to take minutes, and invited persons present to introduce themselves. Dr. Rand noted that of 398 pairs in the Mixed Championship 40 had registered as senior pairs, and there were other seniors partnering younger players. He then reported on decisions concerning Senior bridge already made by the EBL Executive:
I. The EBL executive had appointed a Seniors Committee with Dr. Rand as Chairman and three other members of the EBL Executive as members, namely: Nils Jensen (Swe), José Oliveira (Por), and JeanClaude Beneix (Fra).

## 2. Senior Pairs in Warsaw

There would be no limit on numbers except space. There would be 3 qualifying sessions and 3 final sessions.

## 3. Senior Teams in Malta

There would be a maximum of two teams from each NCBO. Teams were intended to be national but trans-national teams would be allowed to apply to the Seniors Committee for approval. The intention would be to have a full Round Robin, using the same format as the Women's Championship in the same playing area.

Dr. Rand then declared the meeting open for debate about senior bridge. The meeting made the following recommendations:
I. The EBL, through the Seniors Committee, should write to each NCBO informing it of the decisions regarding senior bridge and inviting them to nominate an individual to act as the contact point for communications with the EBL Seniors Committee.
2. The sessions in Warsaw should be intended to be of 26 boards.
3. The intention behind the EBL allowing trans-national teams in Malta was to assist countries that could not otherwise compete to do so. However, there was a danger it would become a mechanism for teams to be created containing professional players, which would conflict with the intended national status of the championships.A partial solution was suggested: that the Seniors Committee should not give approval to a transnational team unless at least two players in the team came from a
country that was not otherwise represented by a national team.
4. The timing of the Senior teams in Malta would be to start on the first Wednesday (17th June) and finish on Thursday, 25th June, (the day before the Open teams finishes) i.e. the event would cover nine days. Members of Senior teams would be invited to the final banquet. Mario Dix said the host hoped to organise one trip for the Seniors, as although this had not been included in the budget, it might be combined with the Press trip. It was suggested that, as the entry in the Senior teams was not a fixed number, the EBL should consider allocating a proportion of the entry fee to the host to defray hospitality costs.
5. Andrezj Orlow (Pol), Patrick Jourdain (GB), Goran Mattsson (Ger), and Paul Hackett (GB) were appointed as additional members of the Seniors Committee. Mr. Orlow was specifically authorised to be in charge of Senior's activities in Eastern Europe on behalf of the EBL.
6. The EBL Senior's Committee strongly recommends that all European NCBOs encourage the participation of their players in the World Senior Pairs and Teams Championships in Lille.
7. The next meeting of the EBL Senior's Committee will take place on Wednesday 26th August in Lille, 30 minutes after play concludes in the afternoon.

## From the Commentator's Table

T-his deal which came up in yesterday's second match caught our eye.

North-South Game. Dealer South.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - J } 1092 \\
& \bigcirc- \\
& \diamond \text { A } 643 \\
& \text { - K Q J } 102
\end{aligned}
$$

```
4}
AK95
\diamond J 9
&9765
```

| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W | E |
|  |  |

© J 1064
$\diamond$ Q 852

- A 8

| West | North | East | South <br> 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

As it happens, North-South might make $6 \diamond$, but as you would expect, the contract was a more mundane 3NT.

West led a club, declarer winning with the ace. She cashed the AK and played a third spade. East won and switched to a heart. That should have spelt declarer's downfall, but after winning the trick, West switched to a diamond.

Declarer had missed a sure tricks play.
After winning with the the correct line is to cash a top spade and then cross to dummy with a club. You take a spade finesse and if it loses it is impossible for the defence to collect four heart tricks. The best they can do is switch to a diamond, but declarer goes up with the ace and cashes the clubs, discarding the blocking $\mathbf{\$ K}$.

## To enter the Casino

In their hospitality bag all players will find invitations from the Aachen Casino: a plastic card guaranteeing free entry for the duration of the championships.

With this card you are welcome to enter the Casino as often as you like.All you have to do is present your plastic card at the reception of the Casino, mention that you belong to the Bridge group and get your "real" ticket there.

Don't forget that correct dress is required. Ties can be borrowed at the Casino, but you are not welcome wearing jeans. Furthermore you will have to have your identity card or passport with you to enter the Casino.Via a separate entrance you will also find a room with all kinds of slot machines, where casual dressing is allowed.

The famous and elegant Aachen Casino offers all kind of games one can think of and invites everyone to pay it a visit.

# About greed and patience 

Richard Bley, Germany

n the second session of the pairs final I had the chance to watch Poland's Piotr Gawrys defending a hand with the coolness of a real champ.Admittedly North/South didn't reach their best spot, but a lot of pairs ended too high in notrumps on this hand. Here South had to play 6 NT with Gawrys sitting West.

## Board 2. N/S Vul. Dealer East.

-AKQ76542
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond 84$
\& 84

- 11098
$\bigcirc 82$
$\checkmark$ Q 5
\& 4765
4 3
A 10953
9762
」 J 103
© K QJ 64
$\diamond A K J I O 3$
- KQ 2

At the other tables where South was trying to play 6 NT, East/West managed to get three tricks, scoring two aces and a heart in the end - the declarer being locked in his hand couldn't go wrong in diamonds then - for down two.

But Gawrys was looking for more. And if you defend with competence greed pays quite often.

Gawrys did not lead his ace, but started with the D. Declarer cashed ace and king in that suit and took his losing option to finesse in diamonds. Gawrys won with the queen of diamonds, but instead of cashing aces hastily, he patiently played another spade!

Although now the declarer scored three spade tricks more than many other South players, he lost one more trick in the end, one in each suit. Down three and a well-deserved top for East/West.

## NEW SENIOR TEAMS BIG ATTRACTION

News that there will be a senior teams event in Malta in which some trans-national teams would be permitted has produced a flood of interest. Dr. Rand, the organiser of the event, should be delighted with enquiries from 73 teams, reports Panos Gerontopoulos. Poland-Estonia, Latvia-Poland, San Marino-Poland, and one unusual entry from Poland-Poland have been received. Please contact Dr. Rand for details.

## Results after 4 rounds

| TEAM |  | RI | R2 | R3 | R4 | TOT |  | CAESAR | GER | 15 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 MAURIN | FRA | 25 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 94 | 50 | HOBSON | GBR | 24 | 16 | 14 | 5 | 59 |
| 2 WANNER | NTH | 15 | 25 | 21 | 23 | 84 | 51 | GOTARD | GER | 15 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 59 |
| 3 VRIEND | NTH | 22 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 80 | 52 | FUCIK | AUS | 12 | 9 | 25 | 13 | 59 |
| 4 POLET | BEL | 14 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 80 | 53 | CHODOROWSKA | POL | 18 | 9 | 25 | 7 | 59 |
| 5 LEGER | FRA | 19 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 79 | 54 | LARSSON | SWE | 15 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 59 |
| 6 SERF | FRA | 23 | 14 | 17 | 22 | 76 | 55 | VARGA | HUN | 11 | 4 | 19 | 25 | 59 |
| 7 BREIVIK | NOR | 22 | 25 | 8 | 20 | 75 | 56 | KRIEGER | GER | 14 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 58 |
| 8 DUEBALL | GER | 25 | 14 | 13 | 23 | 75 | 57 | POPOVA | BUL | 15 | 5 | 14 | 24 | 58 |
| 9 SCHROEDER | GER | 10 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 74 | 58 | SMITH | GBR | 13 | 14 | 13 | 18 | 58 |
| 10 IVANCIC | CRO | 14 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 74 | 59 | BURATI | ITA | 13 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 57 |
| 11 ARAMI | ISR | 25 | 19 | 22 | 7 | 73 | 60 | TJORSWAAG | NOR | 23 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 57 |
| 12 ROTH | FRA | 14 | 25 | 17 | 17 | 73 | 61 | GERARDS | NTH | 16 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 57 |
| 13 KELINA | RUS | 20 | 21 | 8 | 23 | 72 | 62 | KASS | FRA | 12 | 20 | 9 | 16 | 57 |
| 14 BESSIS | FRA | 10 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 72 | 63 | KLEIN | GER | 4 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 57 |
| 15 LISE | FRA | 17 | 20 | 13 | 21 | 71 | 64 | ALBERTI | GER | 16 | 12 | 16 | 13 | 57 |
| 16 KAPLAN | FRA | 16 | 14 | 19 | 21 | 70 | 65 | VOLINA | RUS | 22 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 56 |
| 17 BONEV | BUL | 16 | 14 | 15 | 25 | 70 | 66 | TOMCIKOVA | CZE | 21 | 19 | 9 | 7 | 56 |
| 18 PUCZYNSKI | POL | 16 | 22 | 9 | 22 | 69 | 67 | BONORI | ITA | 18 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 56 |
| 19 SIWIEC | POL | 7 | 18 | 23 | 21 | 69 | 68 | RIBEROL | FRA | 6 | 19 | 7 | 24 | 56 |
| 20 DIRKS | NTH | 23 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 69 | 69 | THUILLEZ | FRA | 14 | 16 | 6 | 20 | 56 |
| 21 PAOLUZI | ITA | 25 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 69 | 70 | GÜNTHER | GER | 19 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 55 |
| 22 GUARIGLIA | ITA | 24 | 14 | 21 | 9 | 68 | 71 | TOICCAN | TUR | 22 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 55 |
| 23 LESUR | FRA | 12 | 21 | 14 | 21 | 68 | 72 | CLEARY | IRE | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 55 |
| 24 CRONIER | FRA | 18 | 23 | 19 | 7 | 67 | 73 | BARR | ISR | 7 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 55 |
| 25 MARSAL | GER | 15 | 13 | 22 | 17 | 67 | 74 | ZAKRZEWSKI | POL | 8 | 11 | 16 | 19 | 54 |
| 26 BO | FRA | 20 | 9 | 20 | 17 | 66 | 75 | TAILLEFER | FRA | 16 | 18 | 9 | 10 | 53 |
| 27 AUKEN | GER | 20 | 14 | 21 | 10 | 65 | 76 | HONKAVUORI | FIN | 8 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 53 |
| 28 MAITOVA | RUS | 20 | 16 | 20 | 9 | 65 | 77 | SUMER | TUR | 10 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 53 |
| 29 BONDA | CRO | 16 | 16 | 18 | 15 | 65 | 78 | POKORNA | CZE | 5 | 21 | 17 | 10 | 53 |
| 30 ZAJKIEWICZ | POL | 8 | 25 | 17 | 15 | 65 | 79 | CAPUCHO | POR | 14 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 52 |
| 31 RETZLAFF | GER | 16 | 16 | 13 | 19 | 64 | 80 | DANIELS | NTH | 16 | 8 | 13 | 14 | 51 |
| 32 ALLOUCHE | FRA | 16 | 14 | 24 | 10 | 64 | 81 | MAURY | FRA | 7 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 51 |
| 33 JOEGNE | FRA | 16 | 16 | 11 | 20 | 63 | 82 | MAGUIRE | IRE | 15 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 50 |
| 34 CURETTI | FRA | 19 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 63 | 83 | DAVIES | GBR | 14 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 49 |
| 35 KOCH-PALMUND | DEN | 17 | 10 | 19 | 17 | 63 | 84 | THELTSCHER | GBR | 11 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 49 |
| 36 BALDURSSON | ICE | 14 | 11 | 18 | 20 | 63 | 85 | BRUNEL | FRA | 9 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 48 |
| 37 LÜSSMANN | GER | 25 | 6 | 18 | 13 | 62 | 86 | LANGER | ISWI | 18 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 47 |
| 38 ROHOWSKY | GER | 24 | 12 | 18 | 8 | 62 | 87 | THEODORSSON | ICE | 5 | 9 | 11 | 22 | 47 |
| 39 COURTY | FRA | 14 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 62 | 88 | ZOBU | TUR | 15 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 47 |
| 40 LORMANT | FRA | 16 | 11 | 11 | 24 | 62 | 89 | NILSSON | SWE | 4 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 47 |
| 41 BEINEIX | FRA | 25 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 61 | 90 | HOFLAND | NTH | 12 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 46 |
| 42 NAHMENS | FRA | 15 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 61 | 91 | MASSEUS | NTH | 4 | 18 | 4 | 18 | 44 |
| 43 GROMÖLLER | GER | 12 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 61 | 92 | TICHY | HUN | 10 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 43 |
| 44 BETTAG | GER | 11 | 25 | 15 | 9 | 60 | 93 | SAPIGA | RUS | 14 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 40 |
| 45 BEAUMIER | FRA | 15 | 17 | 19 | 9 | 60 | 94 | KOWALSKI | POL | 4 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 40 |
| 46 MARAKULIN | RUS | 20 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 60 | 95 | BEAUFAYS | BEL | 6 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 38 |
| 47 ZORLU | TUR | 18 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 60 | 96 | WITKOWSKI | POL | 6 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 33 |
| 48 MULLER | FRA | 10 | 19 | 10 | 21 | 60 |  | YALMAN | TUR | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 24 |

