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As we move into the decisive days of the Championships，the Great Shuffler seems intent on delivering deals that offer the players a chance to demonstrate their not inconsiderable skills．Yesterday there were several deals that afforded the opportunity for outstanding play and many of them were taken as you will discover when you read the reports that appear in this and tomorrow＇s Bulletins．
France still hold sway in the Open，with Germany and Sweden in hot pursuit and the teams behind them represent the cream of European bridge．
In the Women＇s Championship France，Poland and England lead the way，while in the Seniors＇，Israel is well ahead of Sweden and Poland．

VERY IMPORTANT：ONLY AUTHORIZED STAFF ALLOWED ON THE $3^{\text {RD }}$ FLOOR DURING PLAYING SESSIONS！

## TIME TABLE AND BBO SCHEDULE TODAY

10．00：
O／W／S Teams

## BBO：

© BEL－GER（O）${ }^{2}$
DEN－ITA（S）
POR－BEL（S）
BUL－FIN（O）
SPA－POL（O）
ITA－HUN（O）

13．20：
O／W／S Teams

## BBO：

© IRE－FRA（O）
NOR－POL（O）
MON－GER（O）
DEN－NED（O）
SWE－POL（S）
SPA－HUN（S）

16．00：
O／W／S Teams
BBO：
© RUS－POL（W）
FRA－DEN（W）
IRE－MON（O）
NED－TUR（W）
POR－POL（S）
HUN－ENG（O）

18．40：
O／W／S Teams

## BBO：

ITA－SWE（O）
ENG－GER（O）
ISR－POL（O）
BUL－FRA（O）
ISR－ITA（S）
HUN－NED（S）
（c）ON SITE VG＋BBO

```
B BBO ONLY
```

(4) VOICE + BBO
－$\bullet$ Bravogrouk

## MATCHES TODAY

| OPENR 23 | OPENR 24 | OPENR 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROM CRO | ENG ROM | ITA ROM |
| CYP ENG | CRO LAT | SPA LAT |
| RUS LAT | CYP RUS | UKR NOR |
| ISR GRE | ISR CZE | SWE BEL |
| DEN CZE | DEN NED | IRE MON |
| POR NED | POR EST | WAL BUL |
| SCO EST | SCO GRE | FRA POR |
| BUL FIN | BUL SER | TUR DEN |
| MON SER | MON GER | AUT ISR |
| BEL GER | BEL ICE | BLR SCO |
| ITA HUN | ITA GEO | POL CRO |
| NOR GEO | NOR POL | SWI RUS |
| SPA POL | SPA SWI | HUN ENG |
| UKR SWI | UKR HUN | GEO CYP |
| SWE AUT | SWE BLR | GER GRE |
| IRE BLR | IRE FRA | ICE EST |
| WAL FRA | WAL TUR | FIN NED |
| FAR TUR | FAR AUT | SER CZE |
| ICE Bye | FIN Bye | FAR Bye |
| TIVIE8 10, 00 | TIVIE: 13.20 | TIME8 16.00 |

OPENR. 26
CZE ROM
EST GRE
LAT NED
ENG GER
RUS SER
CRO FIN
CYP ICE
ISR POL
DEN GEO
POR HUN
SCO SWI
BUL FRA
MON BLR
BEL AUT
ITA SWE
NOR FAR
SPA WAL
UKR IRE
TUR Bye TIME 18.40

| WONIENB 11 | WOVIENR 12 | WONIENB 13 | WOMENR 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRA HUN | FRA GRE | FRA DEN | FRA BUL |
| RUS IRE | RUS FIN | RUS POL | RUS SER |
| SCO GER | SCO ENG | SCO EST | SCO NED |
| SMR SWE | SMR ISR | SMR SPA | SMR NOR |
| ITA FIN | SWE BUL | NED TUR | ENG SPA |
| TUR GRE | GER SER | NOR ITA | ISR EST |
| POR ENG | IRE NED | BUL POR | GRE POL |
| POL SER | HUN NOR | FIN IRE | FIN DEN |
| DEN BUL | ITA SPA | ENG GER | GER POR |
| SPA NOR | TUR EST | ISR SWE | IRE ITA |
| EST NED | POR DEN | GRE HUN | HUN TUR |
| ISR Bye | POL Bye | SER Bye | SWE Bye |
| TIVIEs 10000 | TIVIEs 13.20 | TIMIE8 16.00 | TIME 818.40 |
| 8-7etr ${ }^{4}$ |  | Yilin | - |
| SENTOBR 171 | SENORR 12 | SENTORR 13 | SENTOPR 14 |
| POL TUR | SWE POL | POR POL | POL FIN |
| HUN EST | SPA HUNL | DEN HUN | HUN NED |
| ROM ISR | SCO ROML | FIN TUR | ROM FRA |
| SWE NED | DEN BULL | IRE ROM | BUL WAL |
| SCO FIN | AUT ESTL | ENG SPA | ISR ITA |
| NOR FRA | IRE TURL | BEL SWE | TUR BEL |
| SPA GER | POR ISRL | ITA SCO | EST ENG |
| DEN ITA | ENG FRAL | WAL NOR | SWE POR |
| AUT WAL | BEL FIN | FRA EST | SCO IRE |
| IRE ENG | ITA NED | GER BUL | NOR AUT |
| POR BEL | WAL GER | NED ISR | SPA DEN |
| BUL SER | SER NOR | AUT SER | SER GER |
| TIVIE8 10.00 | TIVIE8 13.20 | TIMIE3 16,00 | TIVIE 18.40 |

## ADVENTURES WITH OTTLIK

## SOLUTION то YESTERDAY'S PROBLEM

Dealer East. Both Vul.

| $\wedge$ | Q 954 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | A 53 |
| $\diamond$ | A 4 |
| $\&$ | K 754 |

4. A 6
$\checkmark$ K J 7
$\diamond$ Q 108
\& Q 9632

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 刃^{*}$ | 3NT |

All Pass
20 Weak
Play Instructions:
3NT by South.
West to lead $\diamond 6$.


Taking the diamond lead you will surely play a club to the king. Since West is short in hearts, the odds are that he started with three clubs and four spades. If so, he can be subjected to an early squeeze in three suits by playing ace and a heart finesse. (Do not try ace and a spade towards the queen or West will win and clear diamonds.) If West parts with a diamond you can set about establishing clubs, while a spade pitch yields three spade tricks to you.

## NEW PROBLEM

Warning! This is a very tough problem!
Dealer South.


West
-
2
$2 \diamond 3$ suiter $11-15$


Play Instructions: $4 \boldsymbol{\wedge}$ by West. North leads $\diamond 2$, and dummy plays low. As South, plan the defence.


## POLAND vs BELGIUM

## by David Bird

## Open Teams, Round 16

First match up on a damp Monday morning and we had 1750 kibitzers at the open table of BBO1. Marvellous! Were the deals worthy of such an audience? You will be the judge. We will start with a top-class defence:

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

```
A Q J
© K 7
\(\diamond 53\)
\& AKQ 10953
```



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bahbout | Gawrys | VandervorstKlukowski |  |
| - | - | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $2 \Omega$ | Dble |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Vandervorst, who could place the $\triangle \mathrm{K}$ in declarer's hand, found the splendid lead of the A . If West had discouraged, on some different lay-out, he would have had the option of seeking a diamond entry in partner's hand. As it was, a spade to the king allowed West to switch to the $\triangle 6$ and the contract was two down. In the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | De Donder | Mazurkiewicz De Roos |  |
| - | - | $1 \&$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

North chose to pass on the first round and then collected an easy +110 .
I always enjoy watching top-class players bidding five-level competitive hands. What do you make of the action on this deal?

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
A 106
© A 10643
$\diamond$ AKQ 102
\& 5


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bahbout | Gawrys | Vandervorst Klukowski |  |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 18 | 29 | 45 |
| 4a | $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | 54 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pa |  |

East's $2 \triangle$ showed 'highest and lowest', the black suits. What should he say over $5 \triangle$, do you think? Although he has defined his hand already, it might be right to bid 5 (with the sixth spade and a void heart). As the cards lay, Vandervorst made the right decision when he passed.
Now the spotlight turns to West. Should he double $5 \bigcirc$ ? He knew that the opponent had a double fit in the red suits. He had two likely tricks in his own hand and could not be sure of any more from his partner, particularly as he held five clubs opposite partner's length there. Well, he did double and again this seemed to be the successful action, with the defenders due to take two spades and a heart.
East had apparently left any further action to his partner and his decision to bid 50w was puzzling. North doubled and the defenders scored two diamonds, the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and a club ruff for +500 . Meanwhile, in the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | De Donder | Mazurkiewicz De Roos |  |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | 19 | $4 \varnothing$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |


| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

With six cards in the major, East preferred to overcall
14. Belgium scored a peaceful +420 and only 2 IMPs changed hands.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bahbout | Gawrys | Vandervorst Klukowski |  |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{n}$ | Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $3 \mathbf{4}$ | Pass | $4 \varrho$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ (a king lead showing any holding headed by the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Q})$. East decided to play the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ and declarer played low. The $\diamond 10$ continuation was won with the ace and declarer then ran the do to East's $\% \mathrm{Q}$. When East returned a club, declarer overtook the 9 with the 10 . He ran the $\vee 9$ to the king, allowing West to cash the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and give his partner a diamond ruff for two down.
What would happen in the Closed Room?

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | De Donder | Mazurkiewicz De Roos |  |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | Pass | $4 \varnothing$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and this time East followed with the $\diamond 6$. A low diamond to the jack and ace was followed by the $\& \mathrm{~J}$ run to the $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Q}}$. Now the play differed. East was concerned that dummy's clubs might provide a parking spot for a singleton spade with declarer. He attempted to cash the A and declarer ruffed. He then crossed to the A and discarded two diamonds on the $\mathrm{AK}-\mathrm{Q}$. A trump finesse lost but that was still +420 and 11 IMPs to Belgium.

Have you ever witnessed back-to-back swings of 14 IMPs? Well, you will now.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
A 84
$\checkmark$ K 5
$\diamond$ Q 9653
\& 9652


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bahbout | Gawrys | Vandervorst Klukowski |  |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $4 』$ | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West's multi $2 \diamond$ showed 6 hearts, or five hearts and a minor, or various strong hands. The $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ lead was ruffed with the $\triangle 8$. With the perfect heart lie, the contract can be made by scoring five hearts, four clubs and the diamond ruff. When declarer attempted to score three club tricks at the start, South ruffed and played another high diamond. The contract went two down for 500 .
In the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | De Donder | Mazurkiewicz De Roos |  |
| $2 \Omega$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | Dble |

All Pass
South won the spade lead with the jack and returned the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, ruffed with the $\triangle 8$. Jassem decided to work on the spades. He led the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, covered and ruffed. He finessed the $\bigcirc \mathrm{J}$ successfully and led another spade, ruffed with the $\triangle 7$ and overruffed with the $๑ \mathrm{~K}$. He won the club exit with the jack and cashed the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$. He then overtook the of Q and drew South's remaining trump. A club to dummy's ace and he was able to enjoy the established spades. The resultant overtrick gave Poland +690 and 14 IMPs.


| оо то Page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 14 |  | 15 |  | 16 | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 | 20 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |

This was the next board:

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

```
A 10987643
\(\checkmark\) K 5
\(\diamond\) Q 109
\& 2
```



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bahbout | Gawrys | Vandervorst Klukowski |  |
| - | $2 \downarrow$ | $5 \diamond$ | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South led a top club and declarer gazed in dismay at the hopeless dummy that he had been awarded. The defenders took three clubs, a club ruff and a spade for +800 .

In the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | De Donder | Mazurkiewicz De Roos |  |
| - | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ |

All Pass
West led the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ to the king and East returned a low diamond. Declarer ditched the $\mathbf{Q} \mathrm{Q}$ and West ruffed with the $\checkmark 2$. After a spade return to the bare ace, declarer led the $\checkmark 10$ and this was not covered. Can you

believe that to make the contract declarer now needs to play dummy's $๑ \mathrm{~K}$ ?!
It's true. He continues with a spade ruff, three club winners, a club ruff and another spade ruff. Down to the $\triangle \mathrm{A}-9-8$, he then leads a low heart to endplay West with his $\triangle$ Q-J-6.
Back in the real world, De Roos did indeed overtake with the $\smile \mathrm{K}$. However he continued with a club to his hand, a club ruff with the $\triangle 5$ and a spade ruff to hand. The ace and king of clubs stood up. Since only one spade ruff had been taken, declarer was down to $\triangle$ A-9-8-7. West could win the low trump exit and return the J for one down.
That was another 14 IMPs to Poland, who won the match by 41 IMPs to 23 (14.60VPs to 5.40 ).

## TOURINANIENT DIBECTOR WOPMSETOP

A tournament director workshop will take place in Athens, Greece from $2 / 2 / 17$ to $5 / 2 / 17$.

The course is for TDs who are not on the Official TD Register of the EBL. The aim is to support local TD training in the NBOs by improving the knowledge and synchronizing the work and methodology of TDs.
The language of the workshop is English.
The cost of the Workshop, including accommodation and full board, is Euro 350.
Further details will be published on the EBL site and sent to NBOs in July.

## ITALY vs TURKEY

## by Barry Rigal

## Open Teams, Round

This was a match of missed and taken opportunities.
After Italy broke quickly from the starting gates, bidding and making (the wrong) slam - one that could have been set on an inspired opening lead rather than the ones that were cold from the other hand, they then bid a delicate non-vulnerable game and brought it home on a favourable lie of the cards. It was 19-0 after three deals - and board four could have brought them more.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Koksoy | Lauria | Cengiz |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 10 |
| $2 \diamond$ | 20 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Gandoglia | Ucar | Donati |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Donati reached 3NT and won the low diamond lead in dummy, then crossed to the club ace to lead a heart up. Very nicely done. That simultaneously set up hearts and took out West's entry. Declarer ducked the next diamond then ducked the club shift round
to East. He won the next diamond and scored three clubs and three hearts and three tricks in the pointed suits for +600 .

Cengiz won the high diamond lead and also crossed to the club king to play a heart up. Endplayed, Versace shifted to $\$ 10$, covered by the queen and king and ducked.


With Lauria, East on lead, can you see the winning defence? At the table a top heart exit let Cengiz win in dummy and lead a heart to the eight to ruff a diamond. Then he crossed to A and cashed his red winners pitching clubs, and led a third spade, scoring the $\$ 9$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at tricks 12 and 13.
Lauria had to exit with his low club, dislodging dummy's entry prematurely (the club jack leads to an endplay on West later on).


| Gо то Page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 14 | 15 |  | 16 | 17 |  | 18 |  | 19 | 20 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |  |

Two deals later a Turkish accident gave the Italians another chance for a big gain.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Gandoglia | Ucar | Donati |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 2 NT |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

I know the audience of the bulletin tends to have a sensitive disposition so the auction from the Open Room has been censored to avoid apoplexy. It started with South opening 1NT, and ended with North passing 4NT...down three. So if Gandoglia could bring home $4 \bigcirc$ he would be amply rewarded. Ucar accurately led a clubs and the defenders cashed two clubs and played a third. Declarer ruffed, finessed hearts, and cashed $\backsim$ A. so far so good; now declarer tried ace king and a third diamond. He ruffed low
and was overruffed, and a club exit left dummy with a losing diamond. Down one.
Declarer's mistake was to cash the second top diamond. Simply ruff the second diamond in hand and you can cash two spades pitching diamonds. Dummy is down to two hearts and the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and all East gets is his trump.

Versace/Lauria then conjured another remarkable game swing out of thin air on Board 7, reported elsewhere in this Bulletin.

Closed Room

| West <br> Zorlu | North <br> Gandoglia | East <br> Ucar | South <br> Donati <br> $1 \uparrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

The closed room sequence is what one might expect around the room if North responds to $1 \boldsymbol{N}$. But when Koksoy elected to respond 1NT it gave Versace the chance to take two remarkable calls. The first was not to jump to $3 \diamond$ at his second turn but to pass 1 NTx (yes you do defeat the contract even on a heart lead). Secondly he chose to treat his hand as two-suited, rather than bid $3 \diamond$. When Lauria corrected $3 \diamond$ to $3 \diamond$ Versace raised to game...and right he was. $4 \checkmark$ had 10 tricks when diamonds behaved, and Italy led 32-1 now.

Turkey clawed their way back into the match when a wildly optimistic Turkish game saw Lauria go passive on opening lead instead of setting up the setting trick by leading from one of the two unbid suits - either would have worked.

# CAVENDISH V MONACO, ғROм 13 то 19 FEBRUARY, 2017 

## WWW.CAVENDISH.BRIDGEMONACO.COM



But that concluded the serious exchange of IMPs. However, the following deal had its funny side:

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.
© J
$\bigcirc 1094$
$\diamond$ Q 9543
\& A 1092

| a | N | A A1086432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 8732 |  | $\checkmark$ K 5 |
| $\diamond$ K J 107 | W E | $\diamond 82$ |
| \& 765 | S | \& $\mathrm{K} Q$ |
|  | A KQ975 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 6 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 6 |  |
|  | J J 843 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Koksoy | Lauria | Cengiz |
| - | - | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 3 3\&* | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Gandoglia | Ucar | Donati |
| - | - | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{\iota}^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |

3\% Invitational in hearts
What are the chances that both Wests would have a gadget nobody in the audience had seen before? Both tables played $4 \bigcirc$ by East. Both Souths followed the dictum "If you want ruffs lead a trump". The 〇J travelled round to the king. Both Easts quite naturally, and fatally, led a diamond to the jack and queen. Now the killing defence is simple enough. Return a diamond and let South win, cross to the $\& \mathrm{~A}$, and lead a third diamond to promote the lowly $\triangle 6$. Both Norths promptly returned a trump; game over.
The match finished 41-17 to Italy.

# FISU WORLD UNIVERSITY TEAMS BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIPS 2016 - POLAND 

From 22-28 August 2016,
FISU (International University Sports Federation) will organize the
$8^{\text {th }}$ World University Bridge Championships in Lodz, Poland.
Teams can be composed of students from different universities and participants must be between 18 and 28 years old.

Entries should be made through the National University Sports Federation.

It is not too late to enter, For all information, please consult: www.unibridge.eu or www.fisu.net or on the WBF website.

All your questions can be answered by contacting: geert.magerman@telenet.be.

See you in Poland!
Geert Magerman
Technical Delegate Bridge FISU and EUSA

## ITALY vs ENGLAND

## by Ron Tacchi

## Senior Teams, Round 6

At the start of the match England were in the last qualifying spot whilst Italy were in the bottom half of the rankings. All partnerships were using a strong no-trump and save for Collins \& Kendrick five-card majors.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 94
$\bigcirc$ A Q
$\diamond 107$
\& K 1098763


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| - | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3.\%* | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| Rdbl | Pass | 3 | Pass |

## 3\% Puppet Stayman

When North doubled West's Puppet Stayman East's pass showed a stop in the suit. West redoubled to repose the original question, whereupon he learnt of a five-card spade suit opposite. With East having bid both no-trumps and spades the only slam available to $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ was in diamonds and that was no longer a possibility. Thus subsiding in 4 was the winning option.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \varsigma$ | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Rdbl | All Pass |

With the English pair not employing Puppet Stayman East elected to open 19 and West showed four-card support with a 9-11 count. North bravely entered the arena with a club bid and after a succession of cue-bids the slam was reached. North doubled, ostensibly for a heart lead, and East redoubled on the basis that if the contract was making that would net an extra 410 points with the downside being only 100 if it was failing plus the extra chance of the redouble might confuse the opponents, but no such luck, the heart lead took the doomed contract one down and an early 12 IMPs to Italy.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| - | - | $1 \Omega$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | Pass | Pass | $2 \&$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

With the fortunate heart position and friendly spade split 3NT was untouchable.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| - | - | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | $1 ヵ$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \wedge$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

South's intervention with a four-card spade overcall proved to be inspired, though had someone found a way of doubling the $2 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ bid for penalties then the inspiration would have been on the other foot. $3 \diamond$ did not overly tax declarer but another 7 IMPs to Italy.

| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | - Q 974 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 54 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  | \& A 1097 |  |  |
| A 1085 | N | A | K J 3 |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  | $\bigcirc$ | 10962 |
| $\diamond$ QJ 8732 |  | $\diamond$ | 964 |
| \& Q 84 | S | 4 | J 62 |
|  | A A62 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 873 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 105 |  |  |
|  | \& K 53 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |

At this table $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ were playing four-card majors and so opened with $1 \Omega$. South's response was forcing to game (the editor insisted I leave this comment here) with heart support and North duly converted to $4 \triangle$. With the contract being played from the North hand East elected to lead a small trump which solved declarer's problems in that suit and soon was successful in his contract with an overtrick.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 45 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



In the closed room North had to start with 1\& and now South was first to mention hearts and so he became the declarer. West led his queen of diamonds and when dummy won with the king the lead of a small trump to the king lost to the singleton ace and now declarer had several losing options. Without the benefit of the sight of all four hands he failed by one trick to give back 13 IMPs to England.

Each team gained a solitary IMP over the next five boards.

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.
A 5
$\diamond$ KQ73
$\diamond$ KJ987
\& A 73

| - J832 |  | N | 9 | A K 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ J 1092 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | A 865 |
| $\diamond 52$ |  |  | $\diamond$ | 1043 |
| \& K 54 |  | S | 4 | J 62 |
|  | 9 | Q 10764 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | A Q 6 |  |  |
|  | 8 | Q 1098 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

North's agricultural bid concluded the auction, his dim prospects were temporarily brightened when East led the ace of spades, (though double dummy the spade lead was the only lead to guarantee the contract's demise) but the faint illumination was soon dimmed when he switched to a small heart. Rather than heed Harrison Gray's advice of running his long suit he correctly played for East to hold Kxx in the club suit, not unlikely in view of the bidding. This was not his lucky day and so the contract failed.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| - | - | $1 \sigma^{*}$ | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \Omega$ | All Pass |

After the same start to the auction West took a rosier view of his five-count than his Italian counterpart. However his boldness was not rewarded, as $2 \circlearrowleft$ dribbled three off for +300 and 9 IMPs to Italy.


Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| - | - | - | $1 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |

Another triumph for four-card majors as South first card from his bidding box was $1 \checkmark$. North's immediate preempt of $4 \checkmark$ left $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ with no recourse and the contract was allowed to make as West had little information from the bidding. His opening lead was a club, setting up a discard for one of declarer's losing diamonds.


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| - | - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{\sim} \%$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | All Pass |  |  |

Senior was unwilling to sell out at the two-level and came back into the auction with a reopening double and was allowed to play unmolested in 2 $\boldsymbol{1}$. This drifted three off but represented a swing in to England of 7 IMPs.

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 10972
$\checkmark$ AK5
$\diamond$ K62
\& Q J 10


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| 1ヵ | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4 $\boldsymbol{\$}$ | All Pass |  |  |

2NT 64, 4 other suit - strong
When East responded to West's opening bid he was not going to stay out of game, but it had no hope and thanks to less than perfect defence failed by only one trick.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Sensing a massive misfit Senior left his partner in his second suit, which turned out to be a good decision as Holland managed to scramble nine tricks and 4 IMPS to bring England to within 2 IMPs of Italy.
7
8

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

| か | $J$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | 1094 |
| $\diamond$ | $Q 9543$ |
| \& | A10 92 |



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mina | Collins | Pulga | Kendrick |
| - | - | $1 \Phi$ | Pass |
| $3 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

$3 \circlearrowleft$ Invitational with hearts
Pulga accepted his partner's invitation. On the lead of the jack of spades dummy's ace took the trick whilst declarer pitched a diamond, followed the king of clubs. North took his ace and switched to a trump to remove
any hope of making the contract. To succeed in the contract declarer had to discard a club and play South for doubleton ace of diamonds, too difficult for mere mortals who did not have sight of all four hands.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Holland | Failla | Senior | Buratti |
| - | - | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |  |

At this table declarer rested in the part-score and received the same lead and discarded his losing club. To my simple way of thinking if trumps behave then the contract is cast-iron and I would have heeded my grandmother's advice and drawn trumps and taken nine tricks gracefully. However declarer was a trifle more 'gourmand' and immediately played a diamond from dummy to the jack and queen. North got off lead with a trump, taken in a dummy and another diamond was led, taken by South's ace who then promptly put North in with a club and received a diamond ruff to take the contract one off for a push instead of gain 5 IMPs. That would have meant England winning the match by 3 IMPs rather than losing by two.
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 Handelsbanken IU CONVENTUM

by Barry Rigal

## Open Teams, Round 18

There were two deals that took my attention in this match.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
A K J 10754
$\checkmark 3$
$\diamond$ Q 4
\& A 1083

↔ Q 632
$\checkmark$ K 4
$\diamond 7653$
\& 752


At one table the Irish had defended $2 \triangle$ by East, a perfectly reasonable contract that was sunk on the rocks of distribution. $2 \checkmark$ went down one for +100 to Ireland.
In the other room these were the developments:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carroll | Kemeny | Garvey | Szalka |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{~ A l l ~ P a s s ~}$ |  |  |

Tommy Garvey led a top diamond and received a count signal. Now he found the first accurate and necessary play when he shifted to a spade to dislodge the fastentry to dummy. Declarer led a second diamond

and West followed with the $\diamond 7$, suit preference for hearts. Garvey won his $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and made the second necessary play for the defence when he shifted to a small club. This play looks unnatural but Garvey could see the necessity for dislodging dummy's remaining possible entry as soon as possible. Declarer gave it his best shot when he tried to cash a diamond from dummy, but Garvey ruffed and exited with $๑ A$. All declarer could do was ruff and play K and J . Carroll won this and shifted back to clubs, letting Garvey win his jack and get off play with a second heart. He scored his $\& \mathrm{~K}$ at trick 13 for down one.
A few deals later Garvey was back in the hot seat, this time as declarer.

Board 30. Dealer East. Vul none.

| ↔ J 104 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | J 6 2 |
| $\diamond$ | J 853 |
| \& | J 92 |

A A 2
© A 10954
$\diamond$ Q 1064
\& 64


Q 95
$\checkmark$ KQ 83
$\diamond K 7$
\& AKQ 3
A K8763
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ A 92
\& 10875

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carroll | Kemeny | Garvey | Szalka |
|  | 2NT | Pass |  |
| $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4\%** | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 54** | Pass |
| 60 | All Pass |  |  |

A well-constructed auction, with 4* showing a fit for hearts and a source of tricks in clubs. That left Tamas Szalka on lead to the slam, knowing his partner had a near Yarborough, and that declarer had all the top trump honours. While one can make a case for a club lead, you can absolutely understand his desire to go passive with a trump lead. Declarer won this in hand with the eight (yes, playing the nine from dummy looks better) and advanced the $\diamond K$. Now Szalka did very well to shift to a spade, knowing that declarer had enough high clubs to pitch dummy's spade winner, and wanting to knock out the entry to dummy for possible diamond ruffs to hand.

Garvey took the bait and flew up with A, then crossed to hand with $\varsigma \mathrm{Q}$, played $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ and ruffed a diamond, and had reached this ending.


We can all see that the straightforward way to make
the hand is to cash three top clubs pitching a spade to dummy, then ruff a spade to dummy and ruff the last diamond, before ruffing a spade to dummy to draw the last trump. Had South not shifted to an early spade, the entries to dummy would have been far more secure.
From Garvey's point of view this would require North to be specifically $3-3-4-3$ or 4-3-3-3, since otherwise declarer would be able to ruff in or overruff dummy at some point. An alternative approach, the one he followed, was to run trumps, playing for a diamond club squeeze or positional spade club squeeze.
In practice I believe his line would succeed over the crossruff only when South had started with an original 3-1-4-5 pattern, or when North had an original 2-3-4-4 pattern since you would misguess how to reenter dummy at trick 12. But there again if North has the doubleton $\mathbf{~ K}$ declarer will see it appear on the second spade. Then he can draw the last trump ending in hand, so as to pitch the diamond on $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$. It is hard to say which line is better - the Monday Morning quarterbacks in the Bulletin Office favouring the crossruff - but when the squeeze failed to bite it was a 22 IMP turnover in the out-column.


| 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 20 |

10 $\begin{array}{cc}0 & 11 \\ \text { ROSTERS }\end{array}$ 12

## AND THE WINNERS ARE...

## by Mark Horton

On Sunday, the thousands of spectators following the Championship online were treated to a series of tremendous deals that generated hundreds of IMPs.

Things were a little calmer on Monday, but there were still plenty of opportunities for excellence.
Here are a couple of deals from Round 17 of the Open Series where the East-West Pairs deserve to be nominated for their achievements in the bidding:

The first is from Italy v Turkey (see Barry Rigal's match report in this issue).

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.
A J 1082
$\bigcirc 874$
$\diamond 762$
\& Q 76

| A 73 | N | ค 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ J 105 |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 32 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 1094 | W E | $\diamond$ K 85 |
| \& K 94 | S | \& A 1052 |
|  | - AKQ96 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 96 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 3 |  |
|  | ¢ J 83 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Koksoy | Lauria | Cengiz |
| - | - | - | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | 1 NT | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| 2 NT $^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

With so many high card points West was happy to convert his partner's take out double and North naturally ran to $2 \boldsymbol{A}$. West's 2 NT asked East to bid $3 \%$ and he then bid $3 \diamond$, showing his main suit. When East bid $3 \circlearrowleft$ West was able to raise to game and with trumps 3-3 declarer was soon in a position to claim, +620 and a game swing against the $3 \diamond$ reached at the other table after 1 - 2 -(Dble).

The second is from the match between England and Estonia.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul. - J 1085

- Q 8743
$\diamond$ A 9
993

| $\oplus$ | A Q 74 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | 10 |
| $\diamond$ | K Q 62 |
| $\&$ | A J 104 |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robson | Karpov | Forrester | Laanemae |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 24** | Pass |
| $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 44** | Pass |
| 40* | Pass | 49** | Pass |
| 5\%** | Pass | 6\% | All Pass |

$2 \diamond$ Weak 2M (4-8 vul/ 3-9 NV) Or 16+ 4441
2 - Pass or correct
$3 \diamond$ 4-1-4-4 16+
4* Sets clubs as trumps with slam interest
$4 \varnothing$ Agrees slam is a possibility
4ヘ Cue bid

Tony Forrester was hoping that Andrew Robson would be able to ask for key cards over his 4 , as he had a very informative response.

South led the two of clubs and declarer won in hand with the five and played a diamond for the king and ace. When North returned a heart declarer went up with the ace, cashed the king of spades, played a club to the ten took two spades and a diamond and could claim on the high cross ruff.
If North returns a trump, declarer can still succeed. He cashes the ace of hearts, ruffs a heart, returns to hand with a spade, ruffs a heart, cashes the queen of diamonds, ruffs a diamond and draws the outstanding trump pitching a diamond, squeezing North in the majors.

It was a well deserved swing against the game reached at the other table.
78
9
20
10

18

## NETHERLANDS vs CROATIA

by Ram Soffer

## Open Teams, Round 16

At the start of the 5th day, Netherlands were 10th and Croatia 15th. Both teams were seeking improvement, and an exciting set of deals gave them plenty of opportunities. Netherlands opened the scoring with 6 IMPs when Croatia overbid to game with 23 HCP and added another overtrick IMP in board 2. The next two boards were even bigger:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 106
© A 10643
$\diamond$ AKQ 102
\& 5


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marinouski | Bas Drijuer | Boreukovic Brink |  |
| 1NT* |  |  |  |

South's hand is far from standard even for a 10-12 1 NT , but the favourable vulnerability was tempting. His subsequent double probably showed heart support, and West made a costly mistake when he failed to raise his partner's 2 to the three level. Later it was too hard for both East and West to bid the necessary $4 \uparrow$ over $4 \bigcirc$.
The contract was cold, and the after a Q lead the defenders failed to read the distribution, not cashing one of their spade tricks and allowing a discard on diamonds. Drijver made 11 tricks and +450 .

| West <br> De Wijs | North <br> Suer | East <br> Muller | South <br> Pilipovic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | $3 \uparrow$ | $4 \Omega$ |
| Pass |  |  |  |
| $4 \uparrow$ | 50 | All Pass |  |

A brave 3 overcall by Muller at unfavourable colours made sure Croatia were not allowed to play $4 \bigcirc$ at this table. Muller led the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ and the Dutchmen cashed their spade tricks immediately, ensuring +50 and 11 IMPs. The best their opponents could do was +200 by doubling 4 4 and beating it with a club ruff.


Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marinouski | Bas Drijuer | Borevkovic Brink |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond{ }^{*}$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \boldsymbol{\beta}$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Very well bid by Brink-Drijver, even though the slam is not that great - essentially depending on finding $\diamond$ Q. $2 \diamond$ showed both majors and $4 \&$ was an advance cue bid even before a trump suit was agreed. $4 \diamond$ was what South wanted to hear. Of course, his Pass over $5 \diamond$ was forcing.
In the play (after a club lead) the 4-0 distribution did not prove to be such a big obstacle one declarer started trumps with $\vee \mathrm{K}$. Next he finessed, played $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and ruffed a spade to finesse again. After drawing all of West's trumps he could claim the rest with dummy's clubs. Netherlands +1460 . The slam is also cold after a diamond lead.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| De Wijs | Suer | Muller | Pilipovic |
| $1 \diamond$ | 14 | Pass | $2 \%$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | 20 | $5 \diamond$ | Dble |

All Pass

The Croatians didn't use Michaels Cuebid, bidding naturally, and a well-timed jump to $5 \diamond$ by Muller nipped their slam aspirations (if any) in the bud. Croatia defended well, finding the spade ruff, but +500 was a poor reward - 14 more IMPs to the Netherlands completing a 32:0 blitz over the first four deals.

Croatia pulled back 6 IMPs in the next board after finding a thin $4 \checkmark$ unbeatable game which was not bid at the other table. In the following boards the excitement dwindled somewhat. We rejoin the match with the Netherlands leading 40:14.

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marinouski | Bas Drijuer | Borevkovic Brink |  |
| $2 \Phi$ | Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{\omega}^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

$2 \circlearrowleft$ was weak one-suited, promising at least 4 cards. Initially East had some slam interest, but his partner showed a minimum. South kept quiet.
North led a spade. Declarer finessed hearts successfully and established dummy's spades, making 12 tricks easily.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Suer | Muller | Pilipovic |
| $2 \varnothing$ | Pass | $4 \varnothing$ | Dble |

All Pass
According to their convention card, West $2 \triangle$ shows (at this vulnerability and position) 0-9 HCP, often with 5 cards. Muller didn't have slam interest and Pilipovic decided to double, perhaps out of fear that the opponents were stealing. North left the double in due to the absence of a more attractive alternative.
A spade was led also at this table, and De Wijs could make his doubled contract with two overtricks by following a similar line to the other table. Instead he cross-ruffed diamonds and spades and continued with three rounds of clubs. Now it was the defenders' turn to cross-ruff: South ruffed the $3^{\text {rd }}$ club, played a spade for North to overruff West and ruffed another club (dummy had already ruffed three diamonds and had no more trumps left at this stage). This uninspired piece of declarer play cost the Netherlands 11 IMPs, but they recouped them promptly.


Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.


A KJ 2
© QJ632
$\diamond$ J
8643


A 5
$\checkmark-$
$\diamond$ AK765432
\& J 1075

- $A Q$
© A109874
$\diamond 8$
\& AKQ 9

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marinouski | Bas Drijver | Borevkovic Brink |  |
|  | $2 \downarrow$ | $5 \diamond$ | Dble |

All Pass

Preempt over preempt is not considered a sound strategy by the theoreticians, and Brink must have extremely pleased to punish his luckless opponent instead of trying to bid his hand constructively in the best way possible.
Perfect defence would have netted six tricks, but the Dutch pair took only the five obvious ones: +800 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| De Wijs | Suer | Muller | Pilipovic |
|  | $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | $6 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Muller chose a more sensible initial call of $3 \diamond$, and Pilipovic started slam investigations with $4 \diamond$. Her partner showed interest with $4 \triangle$, so it was time to ask for keycards with 4NT (and stop at 5 - already too high). Muller blocked that option with $5 \diamond$, but exposed himself to a merciless penalty double. Fortunately for him, his opponent was already pre-occupied with slam ambitions and decided to gamble on $6 \boldsymbol{1}$.
Muller underled his diamond honours, hoping for a heart ruff. When Sver took the trick with her $\diamond$ Q, a second consecutive disaster for the pair looked likely. Not this time - West had 2 solid trump tricks, so the diamond ace was not required in order to beat the over-ambitious contract. Netherlands +100 and 14 IMPs.

The final Dutch blow came at the penultimate board when their East/West pair showed far better judgement in the bidding.

Board 31. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | 4 | 74 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | J 1084 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | K 86 |  |  |
|  | 9 | AK 53 |  |  |
|  |  | N | 0 | AK 932 |
| $\checkmark$ | 3 |  | $\checkmark$ | K Q 62 |
| $\diamond$ | 975432 |  | $\diamond$ | A 10 |
| 4 | 10762 | S | 8 |  |
|  | 4 | J 1085 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | A 975 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | Q J |  |  |
|  | 0 | 984 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marinouski | Bas Drijuer | Borevkouic | Brink |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \%$ | Dble | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | 49 | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Drijver opened $1 \%$ lightly, but in my opinion the main culprit is East's 2 bid. According to my book a takeout double followed by a 1 already shows about 18-20 HCP with $5+$ spades, and East did not have a special hand. West hoped for more, so he raised to 3^, and East also hoped for more from his partner, bidding a game. South's axe was a wake-up call for the Croatian pair.
Brink led $\diamond$ Q. Declarer played a trump to dummy and tried a heart. When Brink took the $\circlearrowleft \mathrm{K}$ with his ace and continued trumps, he was booked for four down. Nevertheless, Drijver mysteriously ducked the second round of clubs (don't they give count anymore?) and North/South had to be content with +500 .

| West <br> De Wijs | North <br> Suer | East <br> Muller | South <br> Pilipovic <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{6}$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

A nice strong-club sequence. East's $1 \circlearrowleft$ rebid showed any hand with $18+\mathrm{HCP}$ and 14 must have been some sort of "second negative". 2 was obviously natural and non-forcing.
Even 2 is too high - and would have been two down after a trump lead - but North naturally led her \&A, switching to a trump. De Wijs won with dummy's A and played another club. This time it

```
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { со то page: } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lllllllll}14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & \text { ROSTERS } & \text { RESULTS }\end{array}\)
```

was correct for North to duck, since West still had an entry(although the defender could not have known that this was the case - in the bidding West had shown extreme weakness). Sver won the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ and played one more rounds of trumps. De Wijs won in hand, discarded a diamond on the $\propto 10$. Noting the drop of $\boldsymbol{\rho} 98$ from South, he then discarded a heart on the \& 7 while Pilipovic ruffed with her natural trump trick.
West's hand had proved quite useful, as far as 2-HCP hands go. Making a part score was worth 11 more IMPs for the Dutch. Their final margin of victory (67:29 IMPs) was sufficient to lift them into 5th place, and they stayed there at the end of the day.
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Vedran ZORIC
Tvrtko PERKOVIC npc Alexander HYDES coach

## CYPRUS

Aleka ASTREOU
Philippos FRANGOS
George GEORGIADES
George KOLETTIS
Takis POLITIS
Frosso TILLYRI
Philippos FRANGOS pc

## CZECH REPUBLIC

Patrik BOURA
Frantisek KRALIK
Jan MARTYNEK
Petr PULKRAB
Jakub SLEMR
David VOZABAL
David VOZABAL pc

## DENMARK

Dennis BILDE
Morten BILDE
Knut BLAKSET
Mathias BRUUN
Soren CHRISTIANSEN
Martin SCHALTZ
Bo Loenberg BILDE npc
Jacob RON coach

ENGLAND
David BAKHSHI
Tony FORRESTER
David GOLD
Jason HACKETT
Justin HACKETT
Andrew ROBSON
David PRICE npc
Alan MOULD coach

## ESTONIA

Maksim KARPOV
Tiit LAANEMAE
Vassili LEVENKO
Leo LUKS
Lauri NABER
Sven SESTER
Aarne RUMMEL npc

## FAROE ISLANDS

Arant BERJASTEIN
Roi A Rogvu JOENSEN
Magni JOKLADAL
Simin LASSABERG
Arne MIKKELSEN
Bogi SIMONSEN
A. MARQUARDSEN npc Ossur WINTHEREIG coach

## FINLAND

Vesa FAGERLUND
Kauko KOISTINEN
Vesa LESKELA
Clas NYBERG
Kauko KOISTINEN npc
Sanna KITTI coach

## FRANCE

Thomas BESSIS
Francois COMBESCURE Cedric LORENZINI
Jean-Christophe QUANTIN Jerome ROMBAUT
Frederic VOLCKER
Lionel SEBBANE npc

## GEORGIA

George ABZIANIDZE
Revaz BERIASHVILI
Rati BURDIASHVILI
Grigol GOGOBERIDZE
Gocha GOSHADZE
Giorgi UCHAVA

## GERMANY

Sabine AUKEN
Michael GROMOELLER
Julius LINDE
Martin REHDER
Christian SCHWERDT
Roy WELLAND
Kevin CASTNER npc Andrea SCHWERDT coach

## GREECE

Konstantinos DOXIADIS Aris FILIOS K. KONTOMITROS Tassos KOUKOUSELIS Thanassis MATZIARIS Petros ROUSSOS
Y. PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS
npc

HUNGARY
Miklos DUMBOVICH
Gal HEGEDUS
Gyorgy KEMENY
Tamas SZALKA
Laszlo SZILAGYI
Gabor WINKLER
Peter TALYIGAS npc
Gyorgy SZALAY coach

## ICELAND

Sveinn Runar EIRIKSSON
Throstur INGIMARSSON
Birkir JONSSON
Thorlakur JONSSON
Adalsteinn JORGENSEN
Magnus E. MAGNUSSON
Ragnar HERMANNSSON npc
Anna T.JONSDOTTIR coach

## IRELAND

Rory BOLAND
John CARROLL
Tommy GARVEY
Tom HANLON
Hugh McGANN
Mark MORAN
Grainne BARTON npc

## ISRAEL

Ilan BAREKET
Michael BAREL
Assaf LENGY
Amir LEVIN
Josef ROLL
Yaniv ZACK
Ilan BAREKET pc
Eldad GINOSSAR coach

## ITALY

Massimiliano DI FRANCO
Giovanni DONATI
Alessandro GANDOGLIA
Lorenzo LAURIA
Andrea MANNO
Alfredo VERSACE
Gianni MEDUGNO npc

## LATVIA

Nauris ARMANIS
Martins LORENCS
Janis NEIMANIS
Bruno RUBENIS
Ivars RUBENIS
Karlis RUBINS

## NORWAY

Erik BERG
Boye BROGELAND
Thomas CHARLSEN Thor Erik HOFTANISKA
Espen LINDQVIST
Steffen F. SIMONSEN
Christian VENNEROED npc
Sten BJERTNES coach

## POLAND

Piotr GAWRYS
Krzysztof JASSEM
Jacek KALITA
Michal KLUKOWSKI
Marcin MAZURKIEWICZ
Michal NOWOSADZKI
Piotr WALCZAK npc
S. GOLEBIOWSKI coach

## PORTUGAL

Joao BARBOSA
Pedro MADEIRA
Antonio PALMA
Nuno PAZ
Sofia PESSOA
Paulo SARMENTO
Rui PINTO npc
A. MORTAROTTI coach

## ROMANIA

Ionut COLDEA
Filip FLORIN
Marius IONITA
Bogdan MARINA
Dan MORARU
Marina STEGAROIU
Marius GEORGESCU npc R. SPIRIDONESCU coach

## RUSSIA

Igor KHAZANOV
Maria LEBEDEVA
Sergei ORLOV
Dmitri PROKHOROV
Vladmir TATARKIN
Andrez VORONOV

## SCOTLAND

Alan GOODMAN
Irving GORDON
Danny KANE
Stephen PETERKIN
Derek SANDERS
Brian SHORT
Sandy DUNCAN npc

## SERBIA

Dejan JOVANOVIC
Zoran KOLDZIC
Darko PAREZANIN
Marko PERISIC
Goran RADISIC
Dimitraki ZIPOVSKI

Pierre ZIMMERMANN
Jean Charles ALLAVENA pc

## NETHERLANDS

Sjoert BRINK
Simon DE WIJS
Bas DRIJVER
Bob DRIJVER
Bauke MULLER
Bart NAB
Anton MAAS npc
Ton BAKKEREN coach

## MONACO

Jean Charles ALLAVENA
Geir HELGEMO
Tor HELNESS
Krzysztof MARTENS
Franck MULTON
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Gabriel CARRASCO
Gabriel FRACTMAN
Federico GODED
Gonzalo GODED MERINO
Ramon GOMEZ HIERRO
Pedro GONCALVES
Enrique PINTO POCH npc

## SWEDEN

Fredrik NYSTROM
Mikael RIMSTEDT
Ola RIMSTEDT
Johan SYLVAN
Johan UPMARK
Frederic WRANG
Jan LAGERMAN npc

## SWITZERLAND

Bachar ABOU CHANAB
Stephan MAGNUSSON
Dmitrij NIKOLENKOV
Fernando PIEDRA
Marco SASSELLI
Cedric THOMPSON
Fernando PIEDRA pc

## TURKEY

Nuri CENGIZ
Orhan EKINCI
Yusuf KAHYAOGLOU
Enver KOKSOY
Ali UCAR
Nafiz ZORLU
Mustafa Cem TOKAY npc
Dundar CIFTCIOGLU coach

## UKRAINE

Volodymyr DRAGAN
Oleksandr NYEMTSEV
Volodymyr PORKHUN
Oleg ROVYSHYN
Gennadii RYBNIKOV
Borys SHUKHMEYSTER
Volodymyr DRAGAN pc

## WALES

Gary JONES
Patrick JOURDAIN
Richard Mark PLACKETT
Julian POTTAGE
Tony RATCLIFF
Simon RICHARDS
Alan STEPHENSON npc
Krista P. EDWARDS coach

## WOMEN TEAMS ROSTERS

## BULGARIA

Daniella GRIGOROVA Desislava MALAKOVA Miriana MITOVSKA Stefka PASKALEVA Mariana RIBARSKA Valya YANEVA

## DENMARK

Nadia BEKKOUCHE
Lone BILDE
Tina EGE Stense FARHOLT Maria Marit RAHELT Helle RASMUSSEN Kirsten Steen MOLLER npc Birgitte NIELSEN coach

## ENGLAND

Sally BROCK
Fiona BROWN
Heather DHONDY
Catherine DRAPER
Nevena SENIOR
Nicola SMITH
Derek PATTERSON npc
David BURN coach

## ESTONIA

Maarja ORAS
Ines PIIBELEHT
Tuul SEPP
Aire TAUBE Irene TEINEMAA
Triinu VIILUP

## FINLAND

Agneta BERGLUND
Elina LAUKKANEN
Mirja MANTYLA
Maria MYLLAERI
Maria NORDGREN
Kati SANDSTROM
Kati SANDSTROM npc
Jyrki MALLIUS

## FRANCE

Benedicte CRONIER Catherine D'OVIDIO Nathalie FREY
Vanessa REESS
Sylvie WILLARD Joanna ZOCHOWSKA
Laurent THUILLEZ npc

## GERMANY

Marie EGGELING
Anne GLADIATOR Barbara HACKETT Susanne KRIFTNER Claudia VECHIATTO Elke WEBER
Paul GRUENKE npc

## GREECE

Efi KATSARELI
Georgia MITSI
TINA MOULIOU
Vivian SPANOU
R. STATHAKOPOULOU

Anastasia VELONI
Dionysios LOVERDOS npc C. SRAKOPOULOU coach

## HUNGARY

Szilvia CSIPKA
Brigitta FISCHER
Orsolya HEGEDUS
Krisztina ORMAY
Els TOUTENEL
Agnes ZALAI
Karoly KELEN npc
Csaba SZABO coach

## IRELAND

Valarie BURKE-MORAN
Diane GREENWOOD
Joan KENNY
Louise MITCHELL
Lucy PHELAN
Kathleen VAUGHAN
Brendan J O'BRIEN npc

## ISRAEL

Adi ASULIN
Hila LEVI
Michal NOSACKI
Nathalie SAADA
Dana TAL
Noga TAL
Shachar ZACK npc
Michael BAREL coach

## ITALY

Margherita CHAVARRIA
Beatrice DELLE COSTE
Francesca PISCITELLI
Annalisa ROSETTA
Vanessa TORIELLI
Marilina VANUZZI
Gianni MEDUGNO npc
Gianpaolo RINALDI coach

## NETHERLANDS

Laura DEKKERS
Marion MICHIELSEN
Jet PASMAN
Anneke SIMONS
Doris VAN DELFT
Meike WORTEL
Alex VAN REENEN npc
Hans KELDER coach

## NORWAY

Maja Rom ANJER
Ann Karin FUGLESTAD
Marianne HARDING
Torild HESKJE
Pernille LINDAAS
Gunn Tove VIST
Tormod ROREN npc Oyvind SAUR coach

## POLAND

Cathy BALDYSZ
Grazyna BREWIAK
Katarzyna DUFRAT
Danuta KAZMUCHA
Anna SARNIAK
Justyna ZMUDA
Miroslaw CICHOCKI npc

## PORTUGAL

Billie ANTUNES
Ana BRITO
Teresa KAY
Anabella OLIVEIRA
Alexandra ROSADO
Ana TADEU
Juliano BARBOSA npc
Juliano BARBOSA coach

## RUSSIA

Victoria GROMOVA
Anna GULEVICH Elena KHONICHEVA
Tatiana PONOMAREVA Diana RAKHMANI
Maria YAKOVLEVA

## SAN MARINO

Nadia BRIZI
Maria Beatrice GORRA
Daniela MALDINI
Antonia PECCI
Maurizia RITIANI
Rossella TANTINI
Antonia PECCI pc

## SCOTLAND

Michele GLADSTONE
Helen KANE
Paula LESLIE
Elizabeth McGOWAN
Sam PUNCH
Anne SYMONS
Elizabeth McGOWAN pc

## SERBIA

Aleksandra DJORDJEVIC
Sanja LAZIC
Selena PEPIC
Milka SABLJIC
Biljana TALIJAN
Jovana ZORANOVIC

## SPAIN

Marta BARNES
Marina CASTELLS
Laura CASTELLS-CONRADO
Margarita F. MENENDEZ
M. Eugenia HERNANDEZ

Angeles MURUAGA
Cristina V. CASTELLS npc
Marina D.C.CONRADO coach

## SWEDEN

Catharina AHLESVED
Pia ANDERSSON
Kathrine BERTHEAU
Ida GRONKVIST
Maria GRONKVIST
Cecilia RIMSTEDT
Bent-G. OLOFSSON npc
Carina WADEMARK coach

## TURKEY

Vera ADUT
Ozlem OYMEN
Funda OZBEY
Hatice OZGUR
Filiz UYGAN
Dilek YAVAS
Mustafa Cem TOKAY npc

## AUSTRIA

Johannes BAMBERGER
Robert FRANZEL
Jan FUCIK
Hubert OBERMAIR
Franz TERRANEO
Sylvia TERRANEO
Franz TERRANEO pc
Sylvia TERRANEO coach
BELGIUM
Faramarz BIGDELI
David JOHNSON
Alain KAPLAN
Jean-Pierre
LAFOURCADE
Guy POLET
Jacques STAS

## BULGARIA

VLADIMIR BEREANU
Georgi GERGOV
Hristo HRISTOV
Ludmil LAZAROV
Lilo POPLILOV
Tony RUSEV
Tony RUSEV pc
Matilda POPLILOV coach

## DENMARK

Knud-Aage BOESGAARD Jorgen HANSEN
Hans Christian NIELSEN
Dorthe SCHALTZ
Peter SCHALTZ
Steen SCHOU
Peter MAGNUSSEN npc
Jytte IBSEN coach

## ENGLAND

Patrick COLLINS
John HOLLAND
David KENDRICK
Sandra PENFOLD
Norman SELWAY
Brian SENIOR
Paul D HACKETT npc

## ESTONIA

Paul LEIS
Jaan LINNAMAGI
Peeter LOND
Tonis PLEKSEPP
Aarne RUMMEL
Jaak SIMM
Aarne RUMMEL pc

## FINLAND

Kari ENGELBARTH Joakim FABRITIUS
Seppo NIEMI
Osmo PARVIAINEN
Matti SIHVOLA
Lasse UTTER
Lasse UTTER pc Leena BUTZOW coach

## FRANCE

Nicholas DECHELETTE
Pierre-Yves GUILLAUMIN Georges IONTZEFF
Jean-Jacques PALAU
Pierre SCHMIDT
Philippe TOFFIER
Eric GAUTRET npc

## GERMANY

Claus DAEHR Berthold ENGEL Loek FRESEN Herbert KLUMPP Reiner MARSAL Waltraud VOGT Joerg FRITSCHE npc

## HUNGARY

Peter GAL Sandor JAKAB Gabor KOLTAI Mihaly KOVACS Peter MAGYAR Geza SZAPPANOS Gyorgy BARANY npc

## IRELAND

Nicholas FITZGIBBON Gay KEAVENEY Patrick McDEVITT Adam MESBUR Micheal O'BRIAIN Padraig O'BRIAIN David A JACKSON npc

## ISRAEL

Meir BERKMAN Avi KALISH
Leonid PODGUR Adrian SCHWARTZ Noah TYMIANKER
Shalom ZELIGMAN
Etan ORENSTEIN npc

## ITALY

Andrea BURATTI
Amedeo COMELLA
Giuseppe FAILLA Aldo MINA
Ruggero PULGA
Stefano SABBATINI
Peter PAUNCZ npc

## NETHERLANDS

Nico DOREMANS Willem GOSSCHALK Andre MULDER Chris NIEMEIJER Jaap TROUWBORST Willem VAN EIJCK Chris NIEMEIJER pc

## NORWAY

Rune B. ANDERSSEN
Tor BAKKE
Arve FARSTAD
Helge MAESEL
Roald MAESEL
Peter MARSTRANDER
Peter MARSTRANDER pc Leif-Erik STABELL coach

## POLAND

Julian KLUKOWSKI Apolinary KOWALSKI Krzysztof LASOCKI Victor MARKOWICZ Jacek ROMANSKI Jerzy RUSSYAN Wlodzimierz WALA npc

## PORTUGAL

Jorge C. CASTANHEIRA Jorge CRUZEIRO. M. d' OREY CAPUCHO.

Joao Paulo Rocha PINTO. Jorge M. Dos SANTOS. Rui Silva SANTOS.
Acacio FIGUEIREDO npc
Maria Joao LARA coach

## ROMANIA

Mihail BALUNA
Emil DOGARU
Victor MARCULETIU
Catalin POPESCU
Christian POPESCU
Nicolae VARTANOVICI
Nicolae VARTANOVICI pc

## SCOTLAND

Derek DIAMOND
Gerald HAASE
John MATHESON
John MURDOCH
Victor SILVERSTONE
Iain SIME
Anne PERKINS npc $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Anne PERKINS npc } & \text { Alan STEPHENSON npc } \\ \text { Patricia MATHESON coach Krista P.EDWARDS coach }\end{array}$

## SERBIA

Miroslav BLAGOJEVIC
Milan CVOROVIC
Mihajlo FILIPOVIC
Slobodan FILIPOVIC
Ljubomir MIJOVIC
Vladimir STOSIC

## SPAIN

Juan ESTERUELAS
Aurelio GRACIA
Pedro GUERRERO
Joao PASSARINHO
Antonio S. Y MORENO
Gerardo WICHMANN
Maria FERRER npc
Paloma ANTON coach

## SWEDEN

Mats AXDORPH
Sven-Ake BJERREGARD
Bengt-Erik EFRAIMSSON
Per Gunnar ELIASSON
Anders MORATH
Johnny OSTBERG
Tommy GULLBERG npc
Carina WADEMARK coach

## TURKEY

Ibrahim AKSOY
Mehmet Ali INCE
Mesut KARADENIZ
Namik KOKTEN
Mehmet SIRIKLIOGLU
Veysel YILDIZ
Mehmet Emin COPUR npc

## WALES

Paul DENNING
Diane KURBALIJA
Filip KURBALIJA
John SALISBURY
Patrick SHIELDS
Mike TEDD
Alan STEPHENSON npc
Krista P.EDWARDS coach

## RESULTS OPEN TEAMS

|  | 1 | POLAND | ROMANIA | 43 | 23 | 15.00 | 5.00 | RANKING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | HUNGARY | LATVIA | 42 | 41 | 10.31 | 9.69 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | GEORGIA | SWITZERLAND | 26 57 | 60 28 | 2.69 16.58 | 17.31 3.42 | AFTER ROUND 22 |  |  |
|  | 5 | ICELAND | FRANCE | 29 | 34 | 8.52 | 11.48 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | FINLAND | TURKEY | 29 | 31 | 9.39 | 10.61 | 1 | FRANCE | 301.13 |
|  | 7 | SERBIA | AUSTRIA | 52 | 18 | 17.31 | 2.69 | 2 | GERMANY | 298.64 |
|  | 8 | NETHERLANDS | FAROE ISLANDS | 40 | 18 | 15.38 | 4.62 | 3 | SWEDEN | 291.45 |
|  | 10 | ESTONIA | SWEDEN | 17 37 | 31 32 | 6.25 11.48 | 13.75 8.52 | 4 | MONACO | 282.24 |
| - | 11 | CZECH REPUBLIC | WALES | 30 | 19 | 13.04 | 6.96 | 5 | ENGLAND | 270.59 |
|  | 12 | ENGLAND | UKRAINE | 55 | 39 | 14.18 | 5.82 |  | ENGLAND |  |
| - | 13 | RUSSIA | ITALY | 43 | 50 | 7.97 | 12.03 | 6 | NETHERLAN | 269.89 |
| Q | 14 | CROATIA | NORWAY | 37 | 48 | 6.96 | 13.04 | 7 | NORWAY | 269.66 |
| E | 15 16 | CYPRUS | SPAIN | 25 37 | 49 20 | 4.26 14.39 | $\begin{array}{r}15.74 \\ 5.61 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8 | ITALY | 268.93 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 17 | PORTUGAL | MONACO | 15 | 63 | 1.03 | 18.97 | 9 | POLAND | 264.32 |
|  | 18 | SCOTLAND | BELGIUM | 44 | 37 | 12.03 | 7.97 |  | POLAND |  |
| - | 19 | ISRAEL | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 10 | BULGARIA | 261.94 |
|  | 1 | GEORGIA | ROMANIA | 48 | 28 | 15.00 | 5.00 | 11 | IRELAND | 256.24 |
|  | 2 | SWITZERLAND | HUNGARY | 32 | 73 | 1.79 | 18.21 | 12 | ISRAEL | 253.59 |
|  | 3 | LATVIA | POLAND | 12 | 23 | 6.96 | 13.04 | 13 | HUNGARY | 251.87 |
|  | 4 | GERMANY | TURKEY | 65 | 28 | 17.72 | 2.28 | 13 | HUNGARY |  |
|  | 5 | ICELAND | AUSTRIA | 56 | 36 | 15.00 | 5.00 | 14 | ICELAND | 244.04 |
|  | 6 | FINLAND | BELARUS | 80 | 8 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 15 | FINLAND | 242.90 |
|  | 7 | SERBIA | FRANCE | 50 | 37 | 13.52 | 6.48 | 16 |  |  |
|  | 9 | ESTONIA | WALES | 34 | 10 | 15.74 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | GREECE | FAROE ISLANDS | 61 | 29 | 17.03 | 2.97 | 17 | BELGIUM | 230.62 |
| N | 11 | CZECH REPUBLIC | SWEDEN | 23 | 56 | 2.83 | 17.17 | 18 | GREECE | 227.68 |
| N | 12 | ENGLAND | NORWAY | 53 54 | 32 54 | 15.19 | 4.81 | 19 | SERBIA | 222.12 |
| - | 13 | RUSSIA | SPAIN | 54 | 54 | 10.00 | 10.00 |  |  |  |
| Z | 14 | CROATIA | UKRAINE ITALY | 38 9 | 48 98 | 7.20 0.00 | 12.80 20.00 | 20 | DENMARK | 219.42 |
|  | 16 | ISRAEL | MONACO | 43 | 20 | 15.56 | 4.44 |  |  |  |
|  | 17 | DENMARK | BELGIUM | 28 | 63 | 2.55 | 17.45 | 22 | CROATIA | 210.52 |
| - | 18 | SCOTLAND | BULGARIA | 48 | 46 | 10.61 | 9.39 | 23 | WALES | 202.40 |
| - | 19 | PORTUGAL | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 24 | ESTONIA | 202.24 |
|  | 1 | ROMANIA | RUSSIA | 12 | 26 | ${ }^{6.25}$ | 13.75 | 25 | AUSTRIA | 199.05 |
|  | 2 | LATVIA | CYPRUS | 50 | 25 | 15.92 | 4.08 |  | AUSTAA | 199.05 |
|  | 3 | ENGLAND | CROATIA | 32 | 23 | 12.55 | 7.45 | 26 | RUSSIA | 196.88 |
|  | 4 | ISRAEL | NETHERLANDS | 26 | 32 | 8.24 | 11.76 | 27 | ROMANIA | 196.86 |
|  | 5 | DENMARK | ESTONIA | 7 | 48 | 1.79 | 18.21 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | PORTUGAL | GREECE | 4 | 47 | 1.56 | 18.44 | 28 | UKRAINE | 192.88 |
|  | 7 | SCOTLAND | CZECH REPUBLIC | 7 | 30 | 4.44 | 15.56 | 29 | CZECH REP | 7.51 |
|  | 8 | BULGARIA | GERMANY | 38 | 25 | 13.52 | 6.48 |  | CzECH REP. |  |
|  | 9 | MONACO | ICELAND | 19 | 45 | 3.91 | 16.09 | 30 | SWITZERLA | 172.75 |
| N | 10 | BELGIUM | FINLAND | 22 | 27 | 8.52 | 11.48 | 31 | LATVIA | 171.68 |
| N | 11 | ${ }^{\text {ITALY }}$ NORWAY | POLAND | 31 | 24 | 12.03 | 7.97 | 32 | PORTUGAL | 169.89 |
| - | 13 | SPAIN | HUNGARY | 21 | 32 | 6.96 | 13.04 | 33 | BELARUS | 157.08 |
| 2 | 14 | UKRAINE | GEORGIA | 41 | 18 | 15.56 | 4.44 | 34 | FAROE ISLA | S148.16 |
|  | 15 | SWEDEN | FRANCE | 20 | 24 | 8.80 | 11.20 | 35 | GEORGIA | 1 |
|  | 17 | WALES | AUSTRIA | 6 | 49 | 1.56 | 18.44 | 35 | GEORGIA | 138.41 |
|  | 18 | FAROE ISLANDS | BELARUS | 19 | 32 | 6.48 | 13.52 | 36 | CYPRUS | 123.84 |
| $\pm$ | 19 | SERBIA | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 37 | SCOTLAND | 120.30 |


|  | 21 | NORWAY | SERBIA | 18 | 40 | 4.62 | 14.88 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | NETHERLANDS | BULGARIA | 58 | 28 | 16.73 | 3.27 |
|  | 23 | DENMARK | ESTONIA | 42 | 28 | 13.75 | 6.25 |
|  | 24 | POLAND | SPAIN | 42 | 18 | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| $\infty$ | 25 | TURKEY | PORTUGAL | 54 | 24 | 16.73 | 3.27 |
| - | 26 | FRANCE | SCOTLAND | 53 | 1 | 19.34 | 0.66 |
|  | 27 | GERMANY | HUNGARY | 58 | 25 | 17.17 | 2.83 |
| $\square$ | 28 | SWEDEN | IRELAND | 29 | 22 | 12.03 | 5.97 |
|  | 29 | ISRAEL | FINLAND | 28 | 58 | 3.27 | 16.73 |
|  | 30 | ENGLAND | GREECE | 55 | 22 | 17.17 | 2.83 |
|  | 31 | RUSSIA | SAN MARINO | 82 | 11 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 32 | ITALY | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |
|  | 21 | IRELAND | FRANCE | 35 | 54 | 5.20 | 14.80 |
|  | 22 | GERMANY | RUSSIA | 70 | 30 | 18.09 | 1.91 |
|  | 23 | SWEDEN | SCOTLAND | 38 | 61 | 4.44 | 15.56 |
|  | 24 | HUNGARY | SAN MARINO | 46 | 25 | 15.19 | 4.81 |
|  | 25 | ITALY | GREECE | 64 | 26 | 17.85 | 2.15 |
| 0 | 26 | TURKEY | ISRAEL | 43 | 50 | 6.47 | 9.53 |
|  | 27 | PORTUGAL | FINLAND | 23 | 24 | 9.69 | 10.31 |
| $\square$ | 28 | POLAND | BULGARIA | 32 | 39 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| $\omega$ | 29 | DENMARK | NORWAY | 42 | 25 | 14.39 | 5.61 |
|  | 30 | SPAIN | NETHERLANDS | 46 | 51 | 8.52 | 11.48 |
|  | 31 | ESTONIA | SERBIA | 68 | 30 | 17.85 | 0.15 |
| $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | 32 | ENGLAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |
|  | 21 | NORWAY | FRANCE | 2 | 60 | 0.15 | 19.85 |
|  | 22 | BULGARIA | RUSSIA | 19 | 23 | 8.80 | 11.20 |
|  | 23 | SERBIA | SCOTLAND | 12 | 16 | 8.80 | 11.20 |
|  | 24 | NETHERLANDS | SAN MARINO | 69 | 6 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 25 | ENGLAND | DENMARK | 8 | 16 | 7.71 | 12.29 |
| ค | 26 | ISRAEL | SPAIN | 37 | 31 | 11.76 | 8.24 |
|  | 27 | GREECE | ESTONIA | 21 | 35 | 6.25 | 13.75 |
| $\square$ | 28 | FINLAND | POLAND | 25 | 23 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
|  | 29 | SWEDEN | TURKEY | 47 | 18 | 16.58 | 3.42 |
|  | 30 | IRELAND | PORTUGAL | 7 | 17 | 7.20 | 12.80 |
|  | 31 | HUNGARY | ITALY | 27 | 25 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| ค | 32 | GERMANY | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |

RANKING AFTER ROUND 10

| 1 | FRANCE | 151.43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | POLAND | 140.61 |
| 3 | ENGLAND | 135.01 |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS 134.28 |  |
| 5 | RUSSIA | 130.49 |
| 6 | DENMARK | 129.65 |
| 7 | ISRAEL | 128.10 |
| 8 | GERMANY | 113.71 |
| 9 | TURKEY | 112.27 |
| 10 | ITALY | 105.77 |
| 11 | SWEDEN | 101.87 |
| 12 | NORWAY | 97.41 |
| 13 | HUNGARY | 95.43 |
| 14 | FINLAND | 90.02 |
| 15 | PORTUGAL | 88.29 |
| 16 | SCOTLAND | 85.95 |
| 17 | GREECE | 81.69 |
| 18 | SERBIA | 80.92 |
| 19 | ESTONIA | 77.47 |
| 20 | SPAIN | 73.82 |
| 21 | BULGARIA | 65.76 |
| 22 | IRELAND | 63.52 |
| 23 | SAN MARINO | 24.03 |


|  | 41 | POLAND | IRELAND | 41 | 27 | 13.75 | 6.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RANKING } \\ & \text { AFTER ROUND } 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 42 | HUNGARY | PORTUGAL | 34 | 50 | 5.82 | 14.18 |  |  |  |
|  | 43 | ROMANIA | AUSTRIA | 37 | 51 | 6.25 | 13.75 |  |  |  |
| $\infty$ | 44 | ENGLAND | NORWAY | 63 21 | 17 26 | $\begin{array}{r}18.77 \\ 8.52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.23 11.48 | 1 | ISRAEL | 148.57 |
| 0 | 46 | ITALY | SWEDEN | 45 | 23 | 15.38 | 4.62 | 2 | SWEDEN | 129.17 |
| - | 47 | WALES | SCOTLAND | 28 | 38 | 7.20 | 12.80 | 3 | POLAND | 126.31 |
| , | 48 | FRANCE | TURKEY | 5 35 | 28 | 4.44 | 15.56 | 4 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | 49 | GERMANY NETHERLANDS | ESTONIA | 35 32 | 19 37 | 14.18 8.52 | 5.82 11.48 | 4 | ENGLAND | 118.59 |
|  | 51 | FINLAND | ISRAEL | 24 | 40 | 5.82 | 14.18 |  | AUSTRIA | 114.24 |
| ¢ | 52 | SERBIA | DENMARK | 34 | 63 | 3.42 | 16.58 | 6 | ITALY | 113.80 |
|  | 41 | ESTONIA | POLAND | 12 | 70 | 0.15 | 19.85 | 7 | BULGARIA | 111.94 |
|  | 42 | BULGARIA | HUNGARY | 21 | 31 | 7.20 | 12.80 | 8 | DENMARK | 110.78 |
|  | 43 | TURKEY | ROMANIA | 46 | 18 | 16.42 | 3.58 | 9 | HUNGARY | 108.31 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 44 | SWEDEN SCOTLAND | FINLAND | 88 28 | 30 39 | 19.85 6.96 | 0.15 13.04 | 10 | TURKEY | 105.71 |
| - | 46 | NORWAY | GERMANY | ${ }^{28}$ | 49 | 6.85 6.25 | 13.75 | 11 | GERMANY | 104.14 |
|  | 47 | SPAIN | NETHERLANDS | 41 | 74 | 2.83 | 17.17 |  |  |  |
| Z | 48 | DENMARK | WALES | 67 | 31 | 17.59 | 2.41 | 12 | PORTUGAL | 103.54 |
|  | 49 | AUSTRIA | ENGLAND | 72 | 34 | 17.85 | 2.15 | 13 | NETHERLAN | 102.86 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 50 | IRELAND | BELGIUM | 56 | 50 | 11.76 | 8.24 | 14 | IRELAND | 99.10 |
| A | 51 | PORTUGAL ISRAEL | ${ }_{\text {SERBIA }}$ | 22 63 | 52 37 | 3.27 16.09 | 16.73 3.91 | 15 | BELGIUM | 96.36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | NORWAY | 94.68 |
|  | 41 | AUSTRIA | POLAND | 27 | 38 | 6.96 | 13.04 |  |  |  |
|  | 42 | IRELAND | HUNGARY | 34 | 21 | 13.52 | 6.48 | 17 | SCOTLAND | 92.81 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 43 | FINLAND | BULGARIA | 16 | 33 | ${ }_{5}^{5.61}$ | 14.39 | 18 | ROMANIA | 91.11 |
| - | 44 | DENMARK | ROMANIA | 15 20 | 32 14 | 5.61 11.76 | $\begin{array}{r}14.39 \\ 8.24 \\ \hline 18\end{array}$ | 19 | FRANCE | 90.37 |
|  | 46 | BELGIUM | NORWAY | 8 | 50 | 1.67 | 18.33 | 20 | FINLAND | 81.26 |
|  | 47 | ITALY | SPAIN | 15 | 33 | 5.40 | 14.60 |  |  |  |
| Z | 48 | WALES | SWEDEN | 3 | 25 | 4.62 | 15.38 | 21 | ESTONIA | 75.17 |
|  | 49 | FRANCE | ISRAEL | 8 | 41 | 2.83 | 17.17 | 22 | SPAIN | 74.98 |
|  | 50 | GERMANY | TURKEY | 28 | 13 | 13.97 | ${ }^{6} 1.03$ | 23 | WALES | 53.27 |
|  | 51 | NETHERLANDS | ESTONIA | 23 | 27 | 8.80 | 11.20 |  |  |  |
|  | 52 | SERBIA | PORTUGAL | 42 | 30 | 13.28 | 6.72 | 24 | SERBIA | 44.93 |

