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## MATCHES TODAY



## ADVENTURES WITH OTTLIK

## by Mark Horton

## SOLUTION то YESTERDAY'S PROBLEM

Dealer South.E/W Vul.

A Q 96
$\checkmark$ K Q 102
$\diamond$ Q 4
\& K 964


A A 7
© J 95
$\diamond$ KJ1093
\& Q 107

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | $1 \wedge$ | Pass | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Play Instructions: 3NT by West.
N/S play Acol and a weak no-trump.
North to lead 4 .


If you play the small spade from dummy to the opening lead, South may insert his ten-spot (or jack) instead of the king, a little manoeuvre which ensures at least five tricks for the defence. A very simple way to prevent it is to go up with the ace. South may well have an opening bid without the king of spades, but against a North holding of five spades to the king your contract is doomed anyway.

## NEW PROBLEM

Dealer East. Both Vul.

| $\wedge$ | Q 954 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ | A 53 |
| $\diamond$ | A 4 |
| \& | K 754 |

4. A 6
$\bigcirc$ KJ7
$\diamond$ Q 108
\& Q 9632

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 \Omega^{*}$ | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Play Instructions:
3NT by South.
West to lead $\diamond 6$.

South
3NT

## ENGLAND vs ITALY

## by Ron Tacchi

## Women Teams, Round 3

All participants were playing five-card majors with a strong no-trump system.

After two rounds England had started well and were in fourth place, whilst Italy had stuttered and were just two places off the bottom of the table.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.
A A 52
© AKQJ6
$\diamond$ J 98
\& 92

| ค J 83 |  | N | 4 | Q 764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 102$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 95 |
| $\diamond$ Q 53 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | 10 |
| \& AK 876 |  | S | 9 | Q J 10543 |
|  | 4 | K 109 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 8743 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | AK 7642 |  |  |
|  | \& | - |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Draper | Piscitelli | Brown | Chavarria |
| - | $1 \varnothing$ | $3 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 5\% | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | 60 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When East intervened with a weak club bid South showed her control and heart support with a cuebid. West continued the barrage, I am not privy to the agreements of $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ as to whether North's $5 \bigcirc$ bid showed additional values but South had no hesitation in bidding the excellent slam.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rosetta | Brock | Vanuzzi | Smith |
| - | $1 \varnothing$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |

In the closed room East did not value her hand worthy of an intervention. The N/S convention card defines the 4\% bid as heart support with a club void. In that case then you are probably playing with a thirtypoint pack and I cannot construct a hand where South does not have at least a solid second-round control of
the diamond suit, all of which suggests North should have done more than sign off in $4 \checkmark$. It was 11 IMPs to Italy.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 今 } 72 \\
& \diamond \\
& 875 \\
& \diamond \text { AQ862 } \\
& \& \quad 965
\end{aligned}
$$



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Draper | Piscitelli | Brown | Chavarria |
| $1 \wp_{0}$ | Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ | 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$1 \diamond$ Hearts

East's $1 \diamond$ bid showed a heart suit and when South bravely interjected 1NT the English pair could not find a way back into the auction South was not denied her seven top tricks for +90 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rosetta | Brock | Vanuzzi | Smith |
| $1 \boldsymbol{\infty}$ | Pass | $1 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

With no intervention $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ had a comfortable ride to 2 which was made without any fuss for -110 and another 5 IMPs to Italy


| 4 | Gо to page: | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |  |  |  |  |  |

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  |  |  | - Q 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| * 9854 |  | N |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 75 |  | W E | $\bigcirc$ Q J 98 |
| $\diamond$ K Q 73 |  | W E | $\diamond 986$ |
| \& 106 |  | S | \& A 974 |
|  | 4 | K J 1073 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | 106 |  |
|  |  | - |  |
|  | 4 | K Q J 532 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Draper | Piscitelli | Brown | Chavarria |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\omega}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 \varsigma$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

South reached a thin game in spades. West commenced hostilities with a small trump lead. Declarer rose with the ace and immediately made the understandable error of cashing the ace of diamonds to discard a heart from hand. Declarer could have put East to the test by leading dummy's club but preferred to draw trumps and play clubs from hand and now the $4-2$ break thwarted the contract, down one.


Declarer received the more benign lead of the ace and another heart won by the king. Dummy's singleton club was led and East leapt in with her ace. This made life much easier for declarer. Had she ducked, the contract can still be made but requires careful play. England had their first sizeable swing and were now losing 17-15.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

| A Q J <br> A K Q <br> 6 <br> Q 32 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Draper | Piscitelli | Brown | Chavarria |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass | 3 NT |
| Pass | $6 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |

North's clearly artificial 2NT led to a swift auction to the excellent slam, which was made without difficulty.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Rosetta | Brock | Vanuzzi | Smith |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \wedge *$ |
| Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |  |

The English pair had another slam bidding accident handing 11 IMPs to Italy. Had their failure been to arrive at the optimistic grand slam then there would have been no difficulty in making it. Assuming a trump lead, you draw trumps and attempt to ruff out the diamonds. Noting the discard by West on the second round you take the club finesse and when it succeeds you claim as East is squeezed in the minors.
At the end of the match Italy had run out 28-16 winners.

## CROATIA vs FRANCE

## by Jos Jacobs

## Open Teams, Round 12

After the first day, Croatia were proudly leading the field whereas France had not started particularly well. However, after three days, Croatia had dropped to 8th but France were leading the field. On Sunday morning, the two teams were scheduled to meet in what turned out to be the most spectacular round so far. After a warming-up first board, this was the second of the day:

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinovski |
|  |  | $3 \propto$ | 3NT |
| 4 | 4 | All Pass |  |

Combescure did well to raise to $4 \%$ as it deprived North of his 'natural' 4\& bid. Borevkovic then settled for 44, holding something in reserve and Marinovski passed this, despite holding something in reserve as well. Twelve tricks, Croatia +680 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
|  |  | 3\% | 3NT |
| Pass | 44*** | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | 6a |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The French got the extra bidding space they needed and used it to perfection for a well-deserved +1430 and a 13-IMP gain. Declarer gave up a trump trick
and then established the diamond suit.
The next board was a matter of politeness, one might say.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinouski |
|  |  |  | $2 \Omega$ |
| Dble | $3 \Omega$ | 4 | All Pass |

Opposite partner's weak two-suiter, North was polite enough not to double the opposition in their pretty normal $4 \boldsymbol{4}$. Down two when South led a heart to declarer's king. Only then did declarer get the unpleasant surprise...

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 20* | Pass |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

After a Staymanesque auction, the same normal contract was reached in the other room. As West had become declarer, Lorenzini was on lead. As he could see a good lead against the opponents' clear 4-4 fit, he knew the contract would go down so he made a legal announcement. After four rounds of trumps, declarer found out that the diamonds were blocked and thus he had to lose one more trick for down two. The double was thus worth 7 IMPs to France.

| 6 | Go to page: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |  |  |  |  |

In the Netherlands $v$. Hungary match, the Hungarians reached 4 in mainly the same way as the Croatians. However, Miklós Dumbovich, East for Hungary, rescued himself in $5 \diamond$ when North doubled 44. He too got doubled but with South on lead, the contract proved unbeatable so Hungary scored +750 here and got 17 well-deserved IMPs for their effort, as the Dutch went down 800 in 4 doubled.
A double game swing was to come on the next board:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Q } & \text { K 6 5 } \\
\diamond & \text { K } \\
\diamond & \text { Q J } 74 \\
\& & \text { Q J } 642
\end{array}
$$

|  | 32 | N A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | AJ1097642 | W E $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\diamond$ | 9 | W E $\diamond$ | AK 86532 |
| of | 93 | S \% | A 875 |
|  | 4 | A Q J 9874 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 853 |  |
|  |  | 10 |  |
|  |  | K 10 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinouski |
| $4 \varnothing$ | Pass | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Rombaut did well to sacrifice against 4 , since this is a pretty cold contract. More important was that $5 \circlearrowleft$ proved cold too; even a trump (king) lead by North would not beat it.
When North led a spade to South who followed with his singleton diamond, declarer won with dummy's ace but when he played the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ next, South ruffed and declarer overruffed. The last spade was ruffed, a diamond ruffed in hand and when the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$ next brought down the king, declarer lost just one more club and thus made his contract. France +850 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
| $3 \Phi$ | Pass | $4 \Phi$ | $4 \Phi$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

In the other room, Quantin's 4\$ silenced everyone. JCQ lost the obvious three tricks so France could add 620 to 850 for a 16-IMP swing. The score now stood
at 37-0 to them after just four boards.
More was to come for France when they reached game on the next board:

Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
A A 10875
$\checkmark$ J 8
$\diamond$ A Q 85
\& K 6


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinovski |
|  | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | 1 NT |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

When Combescure overcalled in hearts, the Croatians wisely stayed out of 3NT and settled for their partscore in diamonds which just made. Croatia +110 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
|  | $1 N T$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\%}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Lorenzini elected to open 1NT with his bad five-card suit in spades and a 5-4 shape as well, Quantin's jump to 3 would start the further explorations for the French. The side effect of it was that Zoric could no longer overcall so Stanicic had a blind lead against 3NT. His choice of a passive diamond was not a success as it enabled declarer to win in dummy and immediately present the $\mathbf{Q} \mathrm{Q}$, playing low when West covered with the king. West did his best by continuing $\smile \mathrm{A}, \bigcirc \mathrm{Q}$ but it was already too late as the spades were now due to bring in four more tricks on this layout. France a fortunate +600 , good for 10 more IMPs to them.


The score had gone up to $55-1$ when Croatia hit back strongly:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.
A AQ 10654
$\checkmark$ AQ7
$\diamond$ A Q 102
$\%$ -

| ¢ J 87 |  | N | a | K 92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K J 98 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 652 |
| $\diamond 96$ |  |  | $\diamond$ | 543 |
| \& J 987 |  | S | \& | K 542 |
|  | 4 | 3 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 1043 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | K J 87 |  |  |
|  | 4 | A Q 1063 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinouski |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | 20* | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \%$ |
| Pass | 40 | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

$2 \%$ was Gazzilli. Once North showed diamonds, South's cards improved dramatically so the slam was duly reached. When diamonds and spades behaved, declarer made all the tricks for +1390 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 1 NT |
| Pass | 2\%** | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The French also play Gazzilli but Quantin apparently was afraid of a misfit, in which case there might not have been sufficient tricks. France +630 but 13 IMPs back to Croatia.
And the next board:
Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- AKJ
$\checkmark$ K 4
$\diamond$ Q 7643
\& K Q 4


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinouski <br>  <br>  <br> Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ |  | Pass |  |
| $2 \AA$ | All Pass |  | Pass |

When Combescure passed as dealer, the French lost an important piece of information. This time, it was Rombaut who was fearing a misfit and therefore did not bother to show his second suit. France +110 when Combescure finished with nine tricks.

Closed Room

| West <br> Zoric | North <br> Lorenzini | East <br> Stanicic | South <br> Quantin <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \boldsymbol{1 \%}$ | 1 NT | $2 \boldsymbol{2 *}$ | Pass |
| $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room, Zoric did open his hand - not a bad idea with two aces and 6-5 distribution. It did not help Lorenzini any more to overcall 1NT as this enabled Stanicic to show both his majors by bidding $2 \boldsymbol{6}$. Zoric then had no problem and made 11 tricks in his now obvious contract. Croatia +450 and another 8 IMPs to them.


| 8 | Gо to page: | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | ROSTERS | RESULTS |  |  |  |  |

On the board below, a Croatian misunderstanding set a new Championship record.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinouski |
|  | 1NT | Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Dble | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

As far as we know, $4 \diamond$ by South was a transfer to spades, in which case $5 \bigcirc$ should simply show a second suit. Even though Rombaut gave them a second chance, the Croatians persisted with their

misunderstanding and thus lost the round number of 2,000 points.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
|  | $1 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{4} \%$ |
| Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{\$}$ | All Pass |  |

Once Lorenzini opened 1 1 , the chances of a serious French misunderstanding were reduced to zero.
The contract just made for another +650 to France, which was worth a swing of 21 IMPs to them, the highest swing in the Championship to date.
Not in the least disturbed, or so it seemed, the Croatians hit back immediately:

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combescure | Boreukovic | Rombaut | Marinouski |
|  |  | Pass | 14 |
| $2 \%$ | 20 | 4\% | 40 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Over 2\&, Borevkovic could introduce his hearts so Croatia quickly were in game here. With the trumps behaving, 12 tricks were easy enough. Croatia +480 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zoric | Lorenzini | Stanicic | Quantin |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| 1NT | Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Zoric overcalled 1NT, Lorenzini could not freely introduce his hearts. When partner ran to $2 \diamond$, he no longer believed in a possible heart fit either. Eleven tricks, France +150 but 8 IMPs to Croatia.

```
Gо то PAGE: 11 2 2 3
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```

On the next board, the Croatian declarer missed the chance to shine:

Board 31. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- AKQ 9
© 62
$\diamond$ AJ 32
\& $A K 2$

| A 10 |  | N | ヘ 743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A Q J 4 |  |  | $\checkmark 9875$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 9876 |  | W E | $\diamond 4$ |
| \& J 65 |  | S | ¢ 109843 |
|  | 9 | J 8652 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K 103 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | K 105 |  |
|  | \& | Q 7 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Combescure | Borevkovic | Rombaut | Marinouski |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $26^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \Omega^{*}$ |
| Pass | 6 | All Pass |  |

$2 \%$ was a number of strong hands and $3 \bigcirc$ was a transfer.
North took the bull by the horns and immediately jumped to slam, leaving the opponents in the dark. A heart lead from East would have set the contract
out of hand but East led his singleton diamond which ran to declarer's jack. Declarer drew trumps and then might well have cashed his top clubs first for a heart discard before playing off the remaining trumps. In that case, West would have been forced to blank his $\wp \mathrm{A}$ as he has to keep three diamonds. A low heart from both hands then sees the contract home. As West's discards would have been the ©QJ, the winning line seems to be automatic.
With the clubs not yet cashed, the timing was wrong, so the squeeze without the count no longer worked. One down, France +100 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Zoric | North <br> Lorenzini | East <br> Stanicic | South <br> Quantin <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $5 \varsigma^{*}$ | Pass | $5 ゝ^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

The French managed to rightside the contract but the squeeze disappeared when West led a diamond to declarer's $\diamond 10$. France +680 and 13 IMPs to them, which might easily have gone the other way.

The final score: $90-31$ or 19.93 - 0.07 V.P. to France.

## NEVER ON SUNDAY

## by Mark Horton

In times gone by Sunday was, in many western countries, observed as a day of rest. That ceased to be the case some time ago, but historically sporting contests did not take place on Sundays. In 1640, Puritan clerics at both Maidstone and Harbledown, near Canterbury, denounced cricket as 'profane', especially if played on Sunday. It was only in 1969 that the limited over Sunday League started in England \& Wales.
Sunday January 6th 1974 was the historic day which saw four FA Cup Third Round ties played, the first match on a Sunday being the game between Cambridge United \& Oldham Athletic which kicked off in the morning.

Round 14 of the Opens Series (Round 3 for the Women \& Seniors) delivered this deal:

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.
A A Q J
$\checkmark$ AKQ10 98
$\diamond 6$
\& Q 32


In the Open Series 7 pairs bid a Grand Slam in hearts or no trumps.
Playing in no-trumps, declarer takes the diamond finesse at some point, cashes the ace and plays all his winners, which executes a show up squeeze against East.
In the Women's Championship only two pairs played in game, the rest settling in $6 \circlearrowleft$ or 6 NT , while in the

Senior teams, four pairs bid a Grand Slam.
In $7 \circlearrowleft$ the obvious line is to take the diamond finesse and then attempt to ruff out the suit.

If declarer draws trumps and then tries to ruff out the king of diamonds, playing a diamond to the ace and ruffing a diamond West discards, so declarer crosses to the king of spades, ruffs a diamond and then cashes a fifth heart, forcing East to come down to $\%$ K1085 $\diamond \mathrm{KJ}$. two rounds of spades reduce everyone to four cards, and dummy keeps $\& \diamond$ Q10. East has been forced to come down to $\$ \mathrm{~K} 10 \diamond \mathrm{KJ}$ so declarer can take the club finesse, cash the ace and return to hand with a diamond ruff to cash the J .
Alternatively, declarer can simply cash six hearts and three spades, the last of these squeezing East in the minors.

So, it seems that it is impossible to go down in a grand slam, but as I'm sure you are aware that is a word that should not be used lightly.
One way for declarer to go down is to pitch a club from dummy on the third round of hearts and then cash two more trumps and three rounds of spades, reducing dummy to ¢AJ $\diamond$ AQ10, when declarer must take the diamond finesse rather than play a diamond to the ace and ruff a diamond.
If declarer keeps \&AJ7 $\diamond$ AQ10 in dummy then East will have to come down to $\& \mathrm{~K} 108 \diamond \mathrm{KJ} 8$, but has no good move when declarer plays a third spade. The best chance is to pitch a club, hoping declarer does the same and then goes wrong by playing a diamond to the ace.

As far as I am aware, bridge has always been played on Sundays, but there are doubtless times when players wish they had the day off - especially the two unfortunate declarers who found a way to go down in $7 \Omega$.

## ENGLAND vs TURKEY

## by David Bird

## Open Teams, Round 13

Turkey has a huge number of BBO users and there was an impressive audience of $1500+$ for this match. Gold picked up a big hand on the deal below and had to judge how high to go on an apparent misfit:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | ^ Q J |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A AK10752 |  |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | Q |  |  |
|  | 0 | A K 72 |  |  |
| 963 |  | N | 4 | A 108 |
| $\checkmark 96$ |  | W E | $\bigcirc$ | Q 843 |
| $\diamond 10953$ |  |  | $\diamond$ | K J 76 |
| \& 8543 |  | S | 4 | 106 |
|  | 4 | K 7542 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | J |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | A 842 |  |  |
|  | 4 | Q J 9 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Gold | Ucar | Bakhshi |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $2 凶$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 N T$ | Pass | $6 \mathbf{6 4}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North's $2 \diamond$ was a forcing-to-game transfer. I am not au fait with the finer details of their system (as David Gold pointed out after the Bermuda Bowl in a very polite email). However, I wonder if $3 \bigcirc$ would have been better than 3 at his third turn. This would give South a chance to bid his spades again if that was the best trump suit available.
Anyway, Gold had to consider his next move over 3NT. He held a splendid hand, of course, but no fit had come to light. Had he shown his heart length, he might have been turned off when partner's subsequent 3NT suggested a shortage there. As it was, he bid a pick-a-slam 5NT and ended in 6*
East gave the declarer a small chance by leading a low diamond. If he runs this to his queen, he can make twelve tricks on a cross-ruff, benefiting from the $3-3$ spade break. Gold chose to rise with the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$.

He led a spade to the queen and ace, ruffing the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ return. After cashing the $\mathbf{~ J}$, he played ace, king and another heart, ruffing in the dummy. West took the opportunity to ditch his last remaining spade and was able to ruff when the K was played. Declarer overruffed but was one trick short.

In the Closed Room:

| West | North <br> Jason H. | East <br> Koksoy | Sustin H. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cengiz |  |  |  |
| Cengiz |  |  |  |

Koksoy chose to rebid his hearts and felt able to stop in game at his fourth turn.
That was +650 and 13 IMPs to Turkey.
For the next hour the boards were drab and there were no swings. The learned BBO commentators soldiered on manfully, hoping that at least some of the kibitzers were still awake.
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This board then favoured the Hacketts＇bidding methods：

Board 10．Dealer East．All Vul．
－ 104
© Q 97
$\diamond$ J 54
\＆K Q 874

| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 今 } & \text { AK } 976 \\ \diamond & \text { J } 86 \\ \diamond & \text { AK } 7 \\ \& & \text { J } 6 \end{array}$ |  | N | a | Q J 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | W E | $\bigcirc$ | K 1043 |
|  |  |  | $\diamond$ | Q 109 |
|  |  | S | \＆ | 953 |
|  | 4 | 853 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | A 52 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | 8632 |  |  |
|  |  | A 102 |  |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jason H． | Koksoy | Justin H． | Cengiz |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{~ A l l ~ P a s s ~}$ |  |

The Hacketts play a 15－17 1NT，like most of the competitors．They are able to open 14 on the West hand because they use the Gazilli convention（19 -1 NT -26 ）to show $16+$ points．Nine tricks were made in spades－the heart pips are not quite strong enough for only one loser in the suit．

| In the Open Room： |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Zorlu | Gold | Ucar | Bakhshi |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass |
| 3\＆ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |



TURKEY

Ucar＇s 2 showed 8－9 points and Zorlu accepted the try．Five clubs and the $\triangle A$ meant two down and 8 IMPs to England．
This was an interesting bidding board：
Board 12．Dealer West．N／S Vul．
A 8643
$\checkmark$ A 7
$\diamond A$ Q 102
\＆J 76

```
ヘ J 7
\(\checkmark\) Q 1092
\(\diamond 75\)
\＆AK 853
```



A A Q 1052
$\checkmark$ KJ54
$\diamond 964$
d 9
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Gold | Ucar | Bakhshi |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 』$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Beginners are taught that you should use the＇Rule of 15 ＇to decide whether to open light in 4th seat． ＂Add your points to the number of spades and open if it comes to 15 or more．＂Bakhshi demonstrated awareness of this guideline and Gold＇s 2NT showed a sound 4 －card raise．
Many South players would now sign off，rating their hand as a minimum．No，Bakhshi rebid 3ऽ to allow his partner to judge the fit．Since South was still unlimited， Gold made a disciplined cue－bid in diamonds on his way to accepting the try．Two diamonds had to be lost but the perfect trump lie allowed Bakhshi to ruff his two heart losers in the dummy for +620 ．

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jason H． | Koksoy | Justin H． | Cengiz |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{~}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3 | All Pass |  |

North bid a Drury 2\＆，continuing with a raise，but South could find no reason to bid further．That was 10 IMPs to England
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Zorlu bid resourcefully on this board:
Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

- J43
$\checkmark$ Q 3
$\diamond 1075$
\& Q 6432
- Q 762
$\checkmark$ K 1042
$\diamond K$ J
\& AK7

| N | $\wedge$ - |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 876 |
|  | $\diamond$ A 9843 |
| S | ¢f J 1085 |
| AK 10985 |  |
| A 95 |  |
| Q 62 |  |
| 9 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zorlu | Gold | Ucar | Bakhshi |
| - | Pass | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| 1NT | $2 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass |

Ucar's double was for take-out and I was expecting Zorlu to bid $3 \bigcirc$. No, he bid $4 \bigcirc$. He could place his partner with spade shortage and liked the look of his honours in the suits that partner was likely to hold. Whatever the eventual result on the deal, I could see that he had made a very fine bid.
Zorlu ruffed the spade lead and continued with the $\diamond A$ and $\diamond K$, aiming for a cross-ruff. After ruffing a spade, a diamond (the suit breaking 3-3) and a third spade, he returned to hand with the $\% \mathrm{~A}$. He placed the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$ on the table and the key moment had been reached.

If Gold discards a club, the contract will go down. Declarer will ruff and play a club, but South can ruff and lead a spade to ensure that the defenders score two further trump tricks. When Gold chose to ruff with the Q , he had to find an exit from $\triangle 3$ Q643. Not easy! A trump would have worked because South could win and force declarer with a spade. The defenders would then score another trump and a club. Gold returned a club instead, ruffed by South, and declarer now had trump control. He discarded the of K on the spade exit and ruffed with dummy's bare $\backsim \mathrm{J}$. He then ruffed a club with the $\checkmark 2$ and claimed a tenth trick from his $9 \mathrm{~K}-10 .+620$.

In the Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jason H. | Koksoy <br> Justin H. | Cengiz |  |
| - | Pass | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | Pass | $2 \varnothing$ | $2 \Lambda$ |
| $3 \varnothing$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

Justin ruffed the A lead and led a trump. That esteemed cardplayer, Mr GIB, tells me that he would now make the contract by rising with the $๑ \mathrm{~K}$ ! Jason finessed the $\triangle 10$ and the defenders drew two further rounds of trumps for two down.
That was 13 IMPs to Turkey, who won the match by 40 IMPs to 25 (13.97 VPs to 6.03).

## BULGARIA vs NORWAY

## by Jos Jacobs

## Open Teams，Round 13

At the start of this match，on early Sunday afternoon， Norway and Bulgaria were lying $8^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ in the table， a short distance from the last qualifying spot（ $\left.6^{\text {th }}\right)$ and with only 1 V．P．between them．So there was quite a lot at stake for them，even though the Championship had not yet reached its halfway point．
The match turned out to be a little disappointing for the neutral observer，mainly because the rather optimistic views taken by the Bulgarians almost never resulted in good scores for them．Below are a few examples．

Board 2．Dealer East．N／S Vul．
A Q J
$\checkmark$ AK 10752
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
\＆AK7 7


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charlsen | Skorchev | Hoftaniska Popov <br>  <br>  <br> Pass | $2 ゅ$ |
| Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |  |  |
| Pass | $3 \mathbf{6}$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | All Pass |

An understandable quantitative raise by North with a probably useful QJ backfired badly when West led a diamond．Down two very quickly，Norway +100 ． Please note that East did not open the bidding．

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marashev | Lindquist | Tsonchev <br> Brogeland |  |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

In the other room，East did open the bidding，which immediately tempered any N／S ambitions beyond game level．The Norwegians settled for a quiet game in hearts，scoring +450 and 11 IMPs in the process．

On board 7，we saw a very effective Norwegian defence．
Board 7．Dealer South．All Vul．
A KJ765
© 94
$\diamond$ A 64
of J 84

| a | － 104 | N | ＾Q 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | AK63 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 8 |
| $\diamond$ | KQ98752 | W E | $\diamond$ J 3 |
| \＆ | －－ | S | \＆Q 109532 |
|  | 4 | A 832 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 10752 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | 10 |  |
|  | 8 | AK 76 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East <br> Hoftaniska Popov <br> Charlsen | Skorchev |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \triangleleft$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

$2 \diamond$ showed a Precision（11－15 hcp．）three－suiter with short diamonds，so 4ヵ was quickly reached．
When $4 \boldsymbol{A}$ ran to him，Charlsen found a fine Lightner double to beat the contract．Club lead，ruffed，$\smile A$ on which East played the queen，low heart to East and another club ruff．One down，Norway +200 ．

Closed Room

| West <br> Marashev | North <br> Lindquist | East <br> Tsonchev | South <br> Brogeland <br> $1 母$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \circlearrowleft$ |  | Pass |
| $3 \circlearrowleft$ | $3 \uparrow$ | $4 \circlearrowleft$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \circlearrowleft$ | All Pass |

$1 \checkmark$ was a transfer to spades．
When West did not venture a Lightner double，East thought it a good idea to bid on in what might well be a $4-3$ fit only．It did not matter that much，as $5 \diamond$ is down one and 50 went down three．
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Anyway, Norway scored again, +300 amounting to 11 more IMPs.
Two boards later, Brogeland beat par when the defence did not come up with the best lead:

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Charlsen | Skorchev | Hoft | Popov |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 20* |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 40 |

All Pass
The Bulgarians reached the proper contract, making 11 tricks for a score of +450 .
The proceedings in the Closed Room were much more interesting, however:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marashev | Lindquist | Tsonchev | Brogeland |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 10 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 30** |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4NT* |
| Pass | 50* | Pass | 60 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

2NT was a general force and after North had described his 5-5-3-0, South could use $4 \diamond$ as the "last train" to the slam. Not that the slam is particularly good but as long as the defence does not lead the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, declarer has some chances.
Brogeland won the actual trump lead with dummy's ace and immediately led a club to the jack. West won the king and now had to attack diamonds as the side entry to the clubs had to be removed - he could see
that the clubs were 3-3.
Brogeland, however, won the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, unblocked the $\propto \mathrm{A}$, ruffed a spade and cashed dummy's $\& \mathrm{Q}$ for a diamond discard. He now could ruff a diamond in hand, ruff his last spade and ruff another diamond to get back to his hand. When the outstanding trumps fell under his $\triangle \mathrm{KQ}$, the $\uparrow \mathrm{AK}$ were trick 11 and 12. Well played indeed, perfectly exploiting the chances given to him.
At the table, East twice ruffed in when diamonds were led from dummy, but this did not matter, as the cards lie, as you can see.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charlsen | Skorchev | Hoftaniska Popou |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| $2 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | $2 N T$ | $5 \boldsymbol{\phi}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $5 \$$ | All Pass |  |

There should be a way, I would think, to find out in time that a weakish opening hand and a weakish support hand probably are not enough for 11 tricks. Down two, Norway +200 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marashev | Lindquist | Tsonchev | Brogeland |
| $2 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Dble |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \boldsymbol{\%}$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

After the Precision 2\%, the Bulgarians went for an advanced sacrifice once $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ announced they were going to bid game. Game was on but the sacrifice was a little expensive, even more so in view of what had happened in the other room.
Down four, Norway +800 and 14 more IMPs to them.
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Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Charlsen | Skorchev | Hoft | Popov |
|  |  | Pass | 1\%** |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 1 NT |
| Pass | $2 \%$ | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$1 \%$ was $16+$ (Precision) and $1 \circlearrowleft$ showed $8-11$ hcp. Due to their system, Bulgaria were in 3NT by South, which made all the difference.
West led a passive spade to dummy's jack. Declarer immediately led a low diamond to his ten. West won the queen and tried the $\triangle 8$ which ran to declarer's queen. Another low diamond was taken by East's jack and now, finally, a club could come back. Declarer's queen lost to West's king but when the diamonds broke 3-3, declarer was home with four spades, two hearts, two diamonds and the 4 . Bulgaria +400 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Marashev | Lindquist | Tsonchev <br> Brogeland |  |
| Pass | $1 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | 1 NT | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| 3NT |  |  |  |

All Pass

After a natural auction (1ऽ being a transfer to spades), North became declarer in 3NT, so a club lead by East defeated the contract out of hand. West won the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ and returned the ten, so declarer could no longer establish his diamonds in time. Bulgaria another +50 for a much-needed 10 IMPs back.
On the last board, both $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ pairs were overboard but the natural optimism shown by the Bulgarians throughout this match led to a much worse result than they deserved.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlsen | Skorchev | Hoftaniska Popou |  |
| 14 | 24* | 4* | 4® |
| 40 | Pass | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 54 | All Pass |  |  |

2 showed a rounded two-suiter.
In a sense, E/W were just unlucky when both the pointed finesses were wrong but North's 2 might as well have been taken by them as a warning sign.
Down one, Bulgaria +100.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marashev | Lindquist | Tsonchev | Brogeland |
| 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 4\%** | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 44 | Pass |
| 4NT** | Pass | 50* | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

Looking at this auction, I wondered whether 2NT followed by $4 \diamond$ over the club splinter would deny the $\diamond K$. Would West have raised diamonds immediately, holding Kxx? Should he have bid $4 \triangle$ instead?
Anyway, on the $\diamond 6$ lead declarer had no option but to finesse, so the defenders got two ruffs in the suit as well. Down four, +400 to Norway and 7 more IMPs to make the final score 69-13 or 19.69-0.31 in V.P.
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## ISRAEL vS DENMARK

## by Ram Soffer

## Open Teams, Round 11

## In the Slam Zone

Slam deals are the favourites of spectators and commentators alike. They often lead to swings, and the swings are always big. Besides, they play is often (but not always) easier to understand or analyse compared to game or part-score deals.
In our featured match there were three big swings when one side bid a slam which was not bid at the other table, and another potential swing which didn't materialize when at one table a slam could have gone down after a challenging lead, but didn't (more on that later).
Israel started well when a clever play by Josef Roll saved two non-vulnerable undertricks in 3NT, and then came a successful solo sacrifice by his partner Amir Levin in $5 \%$ over the opponents' $4 \boldsymbol{\$}$. The score was $11: 1$ in Israel's favour before the following deal:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | 4 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 10873 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | A Q 83 |  |
|  | 0 | A 9754 |  |
| ¢ J 863 |  | N | A Q 9542 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 962 |  | W E | $\bigcirc$ A 5 |
| $\diamond 75$ |  |  | $\diamond$ J 102 |
| \& Q 32 |  | S | \& 1086 |
|  | 4 | A K 107 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | K J 4 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | K 964 |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M. Bilde | Barel | D. Bilde | Zack |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ | $2 \uparrow *$ |
| $3 \uparrow$ | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

South's $2 \boldsymbol{d}$ indicated a strong hand without heart support, and Michael Barel didn't waste any time bidding a small slam with his maximal passed hand.
West led 3 and declarer took East's queen with his ace. Zack immediately went after dummy's clubs, ruffing the third round with his $\diamond 9$. When that suit broke 3-3, it was all about guessing hearts, but East
(the overcaller) was a clear favourite to hold the $\curvearrowright \mathrm{A}$. Zack drew trumps and cashed dummy's club tricks before tackling the critical suit. Naturally he guessed correctly (East who overcalled 14 turned out to have only 3 HCP outside hearts). In addition, West kept his $\triangle$ Q protected to preserve any chance for a set, so after East ducked the $\bigcirc \mathrm{A}$ and declarer won his $\vee \mathrm{K}$, West's $\uparrow \mathrm{J}$ dropped under the king for an overtrick. Israel +1390.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levin | Schaltz | Roll | Christiansen |
|  | $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

According to the system used by the Danish pair, North's hand qualified for a 1 S opening. There followed a relay sequence, with North presumably showing a minimum. Somewhat surprisingly, South quickly settled for 3NT with his 18-count. A diamond was lead. Declarer misguessed hearts, but West returned the favour by leading clubs immediately thereafter. Denmark +660 and Israel's advantage grew to 23:1.
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Later on, Denmark staged a comeback based on their superior efforts of their East/West pair in the slam department.

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 7
© 974
$\diamond$ KQ10932
\& QJ 4

| 4 9 |  | N | a | A K 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 105 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | J 8632 |
| $\diamond 864$ |  | W E | $\diamond$ | A |
| \& A9732 |  | S | 8 | K 8 |
|  | 4 | Q J 8532 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | Q |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | J 75 |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1065 |  |  |



DENMARK

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. Bilde | Barel | D. Bilde | Zack |
|  |  | 14 | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 4\%** | Pass | $4 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | $50^{*}$ | Pass |
| 60 | All Pass |  |  |

East/West's convention card indicates "Gazzili" meaning that a 26 rebid after 1-1NT incorporates all strong hands. Thus the 3-level is preserved for showing special hands in the intermediate range, and Dennis was able to describe his 15 HCP 5-5 hand by bidding $3 \diamond$. Thereafter West took control with a 4\% cuebid which was followed by a keycard asking bid over his partner's $4 \diamond$ cuebid on the way to the excellent slam.
Declarer's plan of play was ruffing spades three times in dummy (if necessary), and it worked out conceding only one trump trick to North.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levin | Schaltz | Roll | Christiansen |
|  |  | $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass |
| $2 \cdot$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 40 | All Pass |  |  |

The auction looks strange, but according to East/ West's convention card all $2 \&$ responses to 1 -level openings are artificial game forces. Their convention card does not elaborate on the meaning of the 2 a rebid - presumably it showed hearts. Levin, who earlier forced to game with a 11-HCP hand decided to be cautious, so he continued with a direct $4 \Omega$ call showing weakness, even though his shape and controls were very good. Thus the good slam was missed.
Notably, in both this deal and the previous on, the pair choosing the "stronger" option in the first round was the one that ended up missing the slam.
Having bid only $4 \triangle$, Levin did have more freedom in the play and he established his own club suit in order to make all 13 tricks after ruffing only one spade. That extra overtrick cut Israel's loss to 12 IMPs rather than 13.

The next deal enabled Denmark to take the lead for good in this match. One may argue that it is not really a slam hand, since the field bid to $5 \%$ almost unanimously (and at some tables this contract went down). Still, in our featured match the Israeli pair did bid a slam and the odds of making 12 tricks were only slightly below $50 \%$.

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 1042
$\bigcirc$ KQ75
$\diamond 752$
\& Q 32
ค 8
$\checkmark 104$
$\diamond$ K 94
\& AKJ9754

| N | - 73 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ AJ 6 |
| S | $\diamond$ A Q 8 |

A AKQJ 965
$\diamond 983$
$\diamond$ J 106
$\&-1$

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M. Bilde | Barel | D. Bilde | Zack |
| $1 \&$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $5 \&$ | All Pass |  |  |

Morten Bilde showed a lot of restraint by passing over 3 with seven good clubs. It turns out that the important thing is not only to bid your hand but also to give partner an accurate picture of your strength. When East reopened with a non-penalty double and West jumped to $5 \%$, East knew exactly what kind of hand his partner had.
Didn't West risk a part-score swing in case 3as passed out? Well, in case East is too weak to balance, there is a good chance that $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ can make $4 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$, in which case it would be better not to push them.
Then there was the question of how to make $5 \%$,
which was complicated by this particular diamond distribution, since after South drops $\diamond 10$ (or $\diamond$ J) in the second round of the suit, declarer must guess what to do.
Morten delayed this guess until trick 12. Meanwhile Barel (not too cleverly) led the 9 K upon winning the $\propto \mathrm{Q}$, and the $\triangle \mathrm{Q}$ didn't show up. Declarer assumed that North's remaining unplayed card was not the $\diamond \mathrm{J}$, and he was correct - Denmark +400 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Levin | Schaltz | Roll | Christiansen |
| $1 \boldsymbol{\infty}$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| $4 \boldsymbol{\&}$ | $4 \uparrow$ | $6 \%$ | All Pass |

Amir Levin did bid 4\% over 34, and after North's raise Roll had to choose between 5\%, 6\% and double (the winning action in this case).
He had to estimate his partner's strength according to his bids, and not unreasonably he assumed that West should be somewhat stronger than his actual hand, which would have given the pair very good odds of making a slam.
The play followed a similar pattern to the other table, with the same result of 11 tricks. Israel - 50 . With diamonds "behaving", it was a pity that the player who overcalled a vulnerable $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ would be so indecent as to possess a club void.

There was one more slam deal lurking:
Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- A 109
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond 1053$
\& AKQ10864

| * Q J 72 |  | N | A K 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 10752$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ KJ9843 |
| $\diamond$ Q 84 |  | W ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\diamond 97$ |
| \& 95 |  | S | ¢ 32 |
|  | 4 | 863 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | A Q 6 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | AK J 62 |  |
|  | 4 | J 7 |  |

West

M. Bilde $\quad$\begin{tabular}{lll}
North <br>
Barel

$\quad$

East <br>
D. Bilde

 

South <br>
Zack <br>
1NT
\end{tabular}

Barel started with a transfer to clubs, and then showed heart shortness (possibly a singleton). Zack's 3NT indicated some wasted values, but Barel persisted

with $4 *$. When his partner cuebid $4 \diamond$ he jumped immediately to slam (had South not bid 3NT earlier, he may have been looking for a grand slam).
In the play, there are basically two possible lines: drawing trumps, discarding a spade on the $\varsigma \mathrm{A}$ and finessing diamonds, or playing $\diamond$ AK before drawing a second round of trump, discarding a diamond on the $\bigcirc \mathrm{A}$ and establishing diamonds with a ruff.
After Morten Bilde's trump lead, the second line depended on 3-2 diamonds and 2-2 trumps, while the first line offered $100 \%$ safety. Unsurprisingly, declarer drew trumps and finessed diamonds for +1370 .

| West <br> Levin | North <br> Schaltz | East <br> Roll | South <br> Christiansen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | $2 ゝ^{*}$ | Dble | $2 \wedge$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

According to North/South system, the $2 \diamond / 2 \diamond$ responses to a 1 NT opening are transfers, but they don't necessarily promise five cards in the major! The same contract was reached, this time from North's side, and Josef Roll led a spade.
After this challenging lead there was no longer any safety play, so there was a real choice between the two lines described above. Line 1 depended on the location of the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ (odds slightly higher than $50 \%$ ). Line 2 was more complicated. In order to succeed declarer had to find a singleton or doubleton $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ or else find $\diamond \mathrm{Qxx}$ at either defender's hand together with clubs 2-2 .According to my calculations the odds of this line were slightly lower, but not by much, and there were some factors not taken into account such as East's lead directing double over $2 \triangle$ and his actual lead of 4 ( $3 / 5$ best leads).
In such cases table feel may be more useful than science. Schaltz chose the second line, and his reward was making all 13 tricks. Denmark +1390 . This final 1-IMP swing completed their rally and the final scoreline was 29:25 in Denmark's favour.
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| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## POLAND vs ICELAND

## by Jos Jacobs

## Open Teams, Round 14

At the start of this match on Sunday late afternoon, Poland were enjoying $4^{\text {th }}$ spot in the rankings but Iceland found themselves back in a disappointing $20^{\text {th }}$ place. In this tournament, considered by many to be the world's strongest event with so many good teams participating, no match is ever easy. This match proved no exception, as we shall see.
On the opening board, the Poles immediately ran away from their opponents.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { A } & \text { A } 52 \\
\diamond & \text { AK Q J } 6 \\
\diamond & \text { J } 98 \\
\text { \& } & 92
\end{array}
$$

| ง J 83 |  | N | A Q 764 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 102$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 95$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 53 |  |  | $\diamond 10$ |
| \& AK876 |  | S | \& Q J 10543 |
|  | 4 | K 109 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 8743 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | AK 7642 |  |
|  | 8 | - |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Th Jonsson | Nowosadzki | MagnussonKalita |  |

The E/W intervention offered Kalita an easy chance to describe the structure of his hand, so the slam was quickly reached for a fine +980 to Poland.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | Jorgensen | MazurkiewiczB Jonsson |  |
|  | 10 | Pass |  |
| Dble | 40 | All Pass |  |

South's 4\% showed a void by agreement so it is difficult to see why North contented himself with 40 only. Iceland +480 but the first 11 IMPs to Poland.

On the next board, we saw the first of the many problems in the play during this match. Both the declarers and the defenders were in position to win or lose a bushel of IMPs by solving them correctly or not. Here is the first example.

Board18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
A AJ
『 QJ98643
$\diamond$ A 10
\& 95


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th Jonsson | Nowosadzki | MagnussonKalita |  |
|  |  | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

$2 \triangle$ showed a weak two in spades so North's first problem was to find a lead. When he hit upon the $\diamond A$ and another, all seemed well for the defence until South elected to cash his ऽA first before giving partner a diamond ruff. As declarer could ruff the $\checkmark \mathrm{A}$ and lead a spade, he went on to make his contract with the help of a working club finesse. Iceland +420 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Jassem | North <br> Jorgensen | East <br> MazurkiewiczB Jonsson |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \propto$ | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ |

All Pass

In the Closed Room, spades were never mentioned so N/S peacefully went down one in 40 when the $\propto \mathrm{K}$ was wrong for them. Poland +100 but 8 IMPs back to Iceland.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |  |

10 11

More IMPs for Iceland on the next board:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Th Jonsson | Nowosadzki | MagnussonKalita |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2\& | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

A simple natural auction to the proper contract. Declarer won the heart lead and next ran the $\$ 9$ successfully, ending up with no less than 12 tricks. Iceland +690 .

Closed Room

| West <br> Jassem | North <br> Jorgensen | East <br> Mazurkiewicz BJonsson <br> 1NT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3\% | All Pass |  |

Apparently, the Poles were not on the same wavelength against a weak NT opening bid. Eleven tricks, Poland +150 but 11 IMPs to Iceland.

A play problem caused a big swing on board 26.
Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- Q 10654
$\checkmark$ AK
$\diamond$ Q 652
\& 63


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Th Jonsson | Nowosadzki | MagnussonKalita |  |

All Pass
After East's well-timed double, West hit upon the best lead of a low club but declarer would still have made his contract had he handled the spades successfully. When he cashed the A and played a low spade to the ten and East's jack, he could no longer make the contract. Iceland +100 .
The same contract was reached in the Closed Room:
Closed Room

| West | North <br> Jorgensen | East <br> MazurkiewiczBJonsson |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | 1थ |

Without anything to guide him, West still hit upon a heart lead, which was good for the defence.

However, when declarer next played a spade to his ace and a spade to dummy's queen, he had time to establish his $5^{\text {th }}$ spade as the ninth trick, losing just two spades and two hearts. Iceland +600 and 12 more IMPs to them.
The question just remains: What is the best way to tackle this spade combination and why? Missing all the intermediates, it seems everybody's guess... but the real reason to "guess" spades right is that if your RHO has Kx he may sink you with a club shift, whereas if your LHO wins the second spade the club play comes from the other side.

Two boards later, a nice misfit came by:
Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
ค A 6
© K 432
$\diamond$ AJ 10542
\& 8


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Th Jonsson | Nowosadzki | MagnussonKalita |  |

All Pass
With the help of a nice little relay, the Poles reached a sensible enough contract which just made for +140 . West led $\wp \mathrm{A}$ and switched to a trump. Declarer won in hand and immediately led the $\& \mathrm{~K}$, scoring five spades, two clubs and a trick in either red suit.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Jassem | Jorgensen | MazurkiewiczB Jonsson |  |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Against this more ambitious contract, Jassem, too, led the $\triangle A$ and played a trump next. Declarer ran this to his hand, cashed the A and led a club to his king. He went on to draw trumps on which East is sort of squeezed. When he discarded a heart, the hand was effectively over as declarer could now ruff himself back to his hand in hearts with his last trump, setting up dummy's last heart in the process, with the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ still there as an entry to it. East had to give the lead to dummy after winning his second club trick.
However: if East discards two diamonds on the spades and is then given his first club trick, he can establish a heart winner for himself when he is given his second club trick.

So at the table, Iceland scored +620 and gained 10 IMPs to lead 51-22.

The penultimate board settled the issue for Iceland. Both N/S pairs reached the normal 3NT played by South and neither West found the spade lead but led a low club instead.

Board 31. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- K 5
© Q J 2
$\diamond 10976$
\& A 984

| A A 762 |  | N | 4 | Q J 1098 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10874$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | K 6 |
| $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ |  | ${ }^{\sim}$ L | $\diamond$ | J 543 |
| \& Q 1076 |  | S | 4 | 53 |
|  | 9 | 43 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | A 953 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | AK 82 |  |  |
|  | 4 | K J 2 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Th Jonsson | Nowosadzki | MagnussonKalita |  |

Kalita won the $\% \mathrm{~J}$ in hand and chose the line of a low heart to dummy's queen, hoping for three tricks in each rounded suit. When East won the king and returned the Q to dummy's king, he might have cashed a top diamond first to create an extra chance if the hearts would not break. When he immediately went after the clubs, he could no longer make the contract, even though four diamond tricks would have been there for the taking. One down, Iceland +100 .

Closed Room

| West | North <br> Jassem | East <br> Jorgensen | South <br> MazurkiewiczBJonsson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1NT |

Jonsson won the club lead with dummy's eight and immediately ran the $\diamond 10$. West won the queen and led a low spade, dummy's king winning. When West showed out on the next round of diamonds, Jonsson knew he had three club tricks, three diamonds and a spade so he simply took a heart finesse of the king for his ninth trick. Iceland +600 and 12 more IMPs to win the match 68-22 or 18.77-1.23 V.P.
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## POLAND vs FRANCE

## Senior Teams, Round 5

Poland met France in Round 5 of the Seniors. Although they'd both been among the pre-tournament favourites, for the time being they were languishing in the middle of the pack.

Things were pretty quiet for the first five boards, with Poland taking a 4-3 lead, when ...

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- KQJ96
$\diamond 9$
$\diamond 983$
\& A 1072


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kowalski | Schmidt | Romanski | Toffier |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Pass | $1 ヵ$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 2 NT | Pass | $4 \circlearrowleft$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Toffier's auction conveyed quite a bit of information to the defence. Kowalski led the king of diamonds nevertheless. Everyone played low on that, so West shifted to the king of clubs: two, four, jack. Kowalski continued with a low club, but Toffier was not taken in: he won with dummy's ace, dropping the queen from Romanski. To make his contract from here, he thought, declarer needed the singleton or doubleton king of hearts onside and diamonds 3 -3. If that were the case, he'd lose just one more trick, a diamond. So, declarer ran the nine of hearts to Kowalski's king. With the entry to dummy gone, it was safe for West to play spades, and declarer ruffed out East's ace. Toffier drew trumps, blanching when West showed out, and led a diamond. No matter whether West won the queen or East the jack, that player would be
endplayed for down one, minus 50. Seemed a normal enough result.

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Guillaumin | Lasocki | Palau | Russyan |
| - | - | Pass | $4 \varnothing$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Russyan provided the defence with no more information than was necessary. Again the king of diamonds was led, but this time an unwary East unblocked the jack. That was good news to declarer, who won with his ace of diamonds and played another. West won with his ten, cashed the queen and led a third round for East to ruff. Unfortunately for Guillaumin, East could not overruff dummy's nine of hearts. When the nine of hearts won the trick, Russyan led the king of spades from the dummy and, when East played low, discarded a club on it. Declarer took the ace of clubs and ruffed a club, cashed the ace of hearts, seeing the drop of the king as nothing more than his due, drew trumps and claimed an overtrick! That 450 , added to the other table's plus 50 , meant 11 IMPs to Poland.

It would have been more prudent, after the jack-of-diamonds unblock, for West to win the ten of diamonds and let East ruff the third round of the suit. That would have allowed East to shift to a trump, denying a fourth-round ruff and ensuring one off and a flat board unless declarer divines the singleton king in West's hand and the defence gets careless.


Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
A 84
$\checkmark$ K 5
$\diamond$ Q 9653
9652


Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kowalski | Schmidt | Romanski | Toffier |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Toffier made a decent speculative double. He was very disappointed to find himself with the expected three trump tricks and no more. Plus 590 to Poland.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guillaumin | Lasocki | Palau | Russyan |
| $2 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

$2 \checkmark 5+$ hearts and 4+ either minor, 5-10 HCP
Palau could have bid three spades, invitational. When he chose the low road, Poland had a 9-IMP gain.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.
A 10987643
$\checkmark$ K 5
$\diamond$ Q 109
42

| K |
| :---: |
| - Q J 6 32 |
| $\diamond$ |
| 8643 |




- A Q
$\checkmark$ A109874
$\diamond 8$
\& $A K Q 9$
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kowalski | Schmidt | Romanski | Toffier |
| - | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | $5 \circlearrowleft$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guillaumin | Lasocki | Palau | Russyan |
| - | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | $5 \circlearrowleft$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Either Toffier was rather unlucky or Russyan was rather fortunate, take your pick. Kowalski led the diamond jack to the queen and king. Romanski led his spade, declarer winning with his ace. Toffier ran the ten of hearts through West, then started on the clubs. He had to ruff the fourth with the king of hearts and could not quite trump-endplay Kowalski. Thus he was two off for minus 500.

At the other table, Guillaumin was 'content' with five hearts until the scoring up. He also led a diamond and made one spade trick, two heart tricks and a diamond trick. That was the same two off, but 7 IMPs to Poland.

Board 30 was a curiosity, with both Romanski, East for Poland, and Russyan, South for Poland at the other table, opening a Multi Two Diamonds.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.
A AK 106
$\checkmark$ Q 8
$\diamond$ A Q 8
\& KQ 52

| 4. 3 |  | N | 4 | Q J 7542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 762$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | K 4 |
| $\diamond$ K J 102 |  | W E | $\diamond$ | 543 |
| \& A 10643 |  | S | 4 | 98 |
|  | , | 98 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | A J 109 | 3 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ | 976 |  |  |
|  | 0 | J 7 |  |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kowalski | Schmidt | Romanski | Toffier |
| - | - | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass* | Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft(!)$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

$2 \diamond$ Weak two in hearts or spades, 6-11 HCP
2 $\uparrow$ Pass or correct
Pass $2 \mathrm{NT}=16-18$;
Double $=$ takeout of spades, promising $4+$ hearts. Thus pass by North.

Toffier saved his side's bacon with that seemingly incredible protective three hearts. Since West had shown a willingness to go on to three hearts himself if East had had that suit, it was a brave venture. Twelve tricks were easy with no possibility of a heart misguess, plus 490 for North/South.

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Guillaumin | Lasocki | Palau | Russyan <br> - |
| - | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |  |
| Pass | 2NT* | Pass | $3 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

$2 \diamond$ Weak two in hearts or spades, no strong option
2NT Asking bid
$3 \diamond$ Bad hand with hearts

The defence and play were moderately amusing (on a deal like this, we must take our amusement where we can). Palau led a low spade. Rather than win with his ten to take a heart finesse, Lasocki won in dummy and led a heart to the queen and king. That restricted him to 11 tricks. East shifted to the nine of clubs to take their side's second trick, but Guillaumin ducked the ace. Lasocki won and was back up to 12 tricks (three spades, five hearts, two diamonds with the finesse, one club, and a minor-suit squeeze on West). Alas, he led a second club and made only 11 tricks after all, losing an IMPs.

It did not go all Poland's way. They missed bid two games reached by France, one of which could have been beaten. The final score was 31-25 to Poland.

## DUPLRMATE AND CARDS

The Duplimates used for the duplication during the championship are already sold out

You can either pre-order a new Duplimate for delivery at a special price during the World Championships in Wroclaw, or buy an older model for EUR 1280 here in Budapest Contact dannerstens at thebridgestall in the Reception area, or drop aline to per@jannerstencom.

The [new] Brdapestcards that yon find in theboards will be sold after usage ior [EUB 136 per 200 decks


## THE PLAYS THE THING

## by Mark Horton

As I am sure you are all aware this year marks the 400th anniversary of the death of William Shakespeare. References to cards and card play a significant role in the Bard's writings and I can unreservedly state that he would have revelled in the outstanding play that took place on this deal from the match between Poland and France in Round 7of the Women's Championship.

Board 32. Dealer West. EW Vul.

- 952
© K J 93
$\diamond$ Q 87
\& AJ 9

France led 16-14, and in the Open Room Croatia had played in 4 ,recording ten tricks for +620 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sarniak | Cronier | Baldysz | Willard |
| $2 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \Omega^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

$2 \bigcirc$ Hearts and a minor
With a flat hand North's decision to bid 3NT was totally understandable, but theoretically it was the wrong action and gave Poland a chance to secure a last gasp victory.

East led the nine of diamonds and when West made the understandable error of winning with the king before returning a diamond declarer was handed the thinnest of lifelines.


Even so, it was apparent that only a seemingly miraculous series of plays could deliver nine tricks and it was hard to imagine that they might be found.

Declarer won the diamond return with dummy's jack, cashed the ace of hearts and played a heart to the nine. When that passed off peacefully, she played the jack of clubs from her hand, running it when East followed impassively with the two. A club to the king was followed by the ace of spades and declarer returned to hand with the ace of clubs and exited with the queen of diamonds. West could win and cash two more diamonds but then had to lead into declarer's heart tenace.

We were following the play as it happened and each winning view was accompanied by an ever increasing sense of incredulity and delight.
France lost an IMP, but had won the match 16-15.
To quote the Bard it was 'A dish fit for the gods'. (Julius Caesar)

## VIDEOS FROM THE CHAMPIONSHIPS

Readers who are interested in more detailed view of the Championships whether it is news, interviews or simply looking at the surroundings can find it on youtube on the EBL channel: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCN6ZMmC9FxsgBVn9PermDuw

## OPEN TEAMS ROSTERS

## AUSTRIA

Andreas BABSCH
Torbjorn JONSSON
Arno LINDERMANN
Gunther PURKARTHOFER Josef SIMON
Christian TERRANEO
Andreas BABSCH pc
Arno LINDERMANN coach

## BELARUS

Andrei KAVALENKA
Aleksandr KORZUN
Igor RADJUKEVICH
Andrej SOTNIKAU
Aleh TSIMAKHOVICH
Alexander ZHUKOV
Sviatlana BADRANKOVA
npc \& coach

## BELGIUM

Sam BAHBOUT
Philippe COENRAETS
Steven DE DONDER
Steve DE ROOS
Zvi ENGEL
Mike VANDERVORST
Patrick BOCKEN npc

## BULGARIA

Diyan DANAILOV
Vladimir MARASHEV
Borislav POPOV
Stefan SKORCHEV Jerry STAMATOV
Ivan TSONCHEV
Vladislav N. ISPORSKI npc

## CROATIA

Goran BOREVKOVIC
Kiril MARINOVSKI
Marina PILIPOVIC
Ognjen STANICIC
Nikica SVER
Vedran ZORIC
Tvrtko PERKOVIC npc Alexander HYDES coach

## CYPRUS

Aleka ASTREOU
Philippos FRANGOS
George GEORGIADES
George KOLETTIS
Takis POLITIS
Frosso TILLYRI
Philippos FRANGOS pc

## CZECH REPUBLIC

Patrik BOURA
Frantisek KRALIK
Jan MARTYNEK
Petr PULKRAB
Jakub SLEMR
David VOZABAL
David VOZABAL pc

## DENMARK

Dennis BILDE
Morten BILDE
Knut BLAKSET
Mathias BRUUN
Soren CHRISTIANSEN
Martin SCHALTZ
Bo Loenberg BILDE npc
Jacob RON coach

ENGLAND
David BAKHSHI
Tony FORRESTER
David GOLD
Jason HACKETT
Justin HACKETT
Andrew ROBSON
David PRICE npc
Alan MOULD coach

## ESTONIA

Maksim KARPOV
Tiit LAANEMAE
Vassili LEVENKO
Leo LUKS
Lauri NABER
Sven SESTER
Aarne RUMMEL npc

## FAROE ISLANDS

Arant BERJASTEIN
Roi A Rogvu JOENSEN
Magni JOKLADAL
Simin LASSABERG
Arne MIKKELSEN
Bogi SIMONSEN
A. MARQUARDSEN npc Ossur WINTHEREIG coach

## FINLAND

Vesa FAGERLUND
Kauko KOISTINEN
Vesa LESKELA
Clas NYBERG
Kauko KOISTINEN npc
Sanna KITTI coach

## FRANCE

Thomas BESSIS
Francois COMBESCURE Cedric LORENZINI
Jean-Christophe QUANTIN Jerome ROMBAUT
Frederic VOLCKER
Lionel SEBBANE npc

## GEORGIA

George ABZIANIDZE
Revaz BERIASHVILI
Rati BURDIASHVILI
Grigol GOGOBERIDZE
Gocha GOSHADZE
Giorgi UCHAVA

## GERMANY

Sabine AUKEN
Michael GROMOELLER
Julius LINDE
Martin REHDER
Christian SCHWERDT
Roy WELLAND
Kevin CASTNER npc
Andrea SCHWERDT coach

## GREECE

Konstantinos DOXIADIS Aris FILIOS
K. KONTOMITROS

Tassos KOUKOUSELIS
Thanassis MATZIARIS
Petros ROUSSOS
Y. PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS
npc

HUNGARY
Miklos DUMBOVICH
Gal HEGEDUS
Gyorgy KEMENY
Tamas SZALKA
Laszlo SZILAGYI
Gabor WINKLER
Peter TALYIGAS npc
Gyorgy SZALAY coach

## ICELAND

Sveinn Runar EIRIKSSON
Throstur INGIMARSSON
Birkir JONSSON
Thorlakur JONSSON
Adalsteinn JORGENSEN
Magnus E. MAGNUSSON
Ragnar HERMANNSSON npc
Anna T.JONSDOTTIR coach

## IRELAND

Rory BOLAND
John CARROLL
Tommy GARVEY
Tom HANLON
Hugh McGANN
Mark MORAN
Grainne BARTON npc

## ISRAEL

Ilan BAREKET
Michael BAREL
Assaf LENGY
Amir LEVIN
Josef ROLL
Yaniv ZACK
Ilan BAREKET pc
Eldad GINOSSAR coach

## ITALY

Massimiliano DI FRANCO
Giovanni DONATI
Alessandro GANDOGLIA
Lorenzo LAURIA
Andrea MANNO
Alfredo VERSACE
Gianni MEDUGNO npc

## LATVIA

Nauris ARMANIS
Martins LORENCS
Janis NEIMANIS
Bruno RUBENIS
Ivars RUBENIS
Karlis RUBINS

## MONACO

Jean Charles ALLAVENA
Geir HELGEMO
Tor HELNESS
Krzysztof MARTENS
Franck MULTON
Pierre ZIMMERMANN
Jean Charles ALLAVENA pc

## NETHERLANDS

Sjoert BRINK
Simon DE WIJS
Bas DRIJVER
Bob DRIJVER
Bauke MULLER
Bart NAB
Anton MAAS npc
Ton BAKKEREN coach

## NORWAY

Erik BERG
Boye BROGELAND
Thomas CHARLSEN
Thor Erik HOFTANISKA
Espen LINDQVIST
Steffen F. SIMONSEN
Christian VENNEROED npc
Sten BJERTNES coach

## POLAND

Piotr GAWRYS
Krzysztof JASSEM
Jacek KALITA
Michal KLUKOWSKI
Marcin MAZURKIEWICZ
Michal NOWOSADZKI
Piotr WALCZAK npc
S. GOLEBIOWSKI coach

## PORTUGAL

Joao BARBOSA
Pedro MADEIRA
Antonio PALMA
Nuno PAZ
Sofia PESSOA
Paulo SARMENTO
Rui PINTO npc
A. MORTAROTTI coach

## ROMANIA

Ionut COLDEA
Filip FLORIN
Marius IONITA
Bogdan MARINA
Dan MORARU
Marina STEGAROIU
Marius GEORGESCU npc
R. SPIRIDONESCU coach

## RUSSIA

Igor KHAZANOV
Maria LEBEDEVA
Sergei ORLOV
Dmitri PROKHOROV
Vladmir TATARKIN
Andrez VORONOV

## SCOTLAND

Alan GOODMAN
Irving GORDON
Danny KANE
Stephen PETERKIN
Derek SANDERS
Brian SHORT
Sandy DUNCAN npc

## SERBIA

Dejan JOVANOVIC
Zoran KOLDZIC
Darko PAREZANIN
Marko PERISIC
Goran RADISIC
Dimitraki ZIPOVSKI

## SPAIN

Gabriel CARRASCO
Gabriel FRACTMAN
Federico GODED
Gonzalo GODED MERINO
Ramon GOMEZ HIERRO
Pedro GONCALVES
Enrique PINTO POCH npc

## SWEDEN

Fredrik NYSTROM
Mikael RIMSTEDT
Ola RIMSTEDT
Johan SYLVAN
Johan UPMARK
Frederic WRANG
Jan LAGERMAN npc

## SWITZERLAND

Bachar ABOU CHANAB
Stephan MAGNUSSON
Dmitrij NIKOLENKOV
Fernando PIEDRA
Marco SASSELLI
Cedric THOMPSON
Fernando PIEDRA pc

## TURKEY

Nuri CENGIZ
Orhan EKINCI
Yusuf KAHYAOGLOU
Enver KOKSOY
Ali UCAR
Nafiz ZORLU
Mustafa Cem TOKAY npc
Dundar CIFTCIOGLU coach

## UKRAINE

Volodymyr DRAGAN
Oleksandr NYEMTSEV
Volodymyr PORKHUN
Oleg ROVYSHYN
Gennadii RYBNIKOV
Borys SHUKHMEYSTER
Volodymyr DRAGAN pc

## WALES

Gary JONES
Patrick JOURDAIN
Richard Mark PLACKETT
Julian POTTAGE
Tony RATCLIFF
Simon RICHARDS
Alan STEPHENSON npc
Krista P. EDWARDS coach



## WOMEN TEAMS ROSTERS

## BULGARIA

Daniella GRIGOROVA Desislava MALAKOVA Miriana MITOVSKA Stefka PASKALEVA Mariana RIBARSKA Valya YANEVA

## DENMARK

Nadia BEKKOUCHE
Lone BILDE
Tina EGE Stense FARHOLT Maria Marit RAHELT Helle RASMUSSEN Kirsten Steen MOLLER npc Birgitte NIELSEN coach

## ENGLAND

Sally BROCK
Fiona BROWN
Heather DHONDY
Catherine DRAPER
Nevena SENIOR
Nicola SMITH
Derek PATTERSON npc
David BURN coach

## ESTONIA

Maarja ORAS
Ines PIIBELEHT
Tuul SEPP
Aire TAUBE Irene TEINEMAA
Triinu VIILUP

## FINLAND

Agneta BERGLUND
Elina LAUKKANEN
Mirja MANTYLA
Maria MYLLAERI
Maria NORDGREN
Kati SANDSTROM
Kati SANDSTROM npc
Jyrki MALLIUS

## FRANCE

Benedicte CRONIER Catherine D'OVIDIO Nathalie FREY
Vanessa REESS
Sylvie WILLARD Joanna ZOCHOWSKA
Laurent THUILLEZ npc

## GERMANY

Marie EGGELING
Anne GLADIATOR Barbara HACKETT Susanne KRIFTNER Claudia VECHIATTO Elke WEBER
Paul GRUENKE npc

## GREECE

Efi KATSARELI
Georgia MITSI
TINA MOULIOU
Vivian SPANOU
R. STATHAKOPOULOU

Anastasia VELONI
Dionysios LOVERDOS npc
C. SRAKOPOULOU coach

## HUNGARY

Szilvia CSIPKA
Brigitta FISCHER
Orsolya HEGEDUS
Krisztina ORMAY Els TOUTENEL
Agnes ZALAI
Karoly KELEN npc Csaba SZABO coach

## IRELAND

Valarie BURKE-MORAN Diane GREENWOOD
Joan KENNY
Louise MITCHELL
Lucy PHELAN
Kathleen VAUGHAN
Brendan J O'BRIEN npc

## ISRAEL

Adi ASULIN
Hila LEVI
Michal NOSACKI
Nathalie SAADA
Dana TAL
Noga TAL
Shachar ZACK npc Michael BAREL coach

## ITALY

Margherita CHAVARRIA Beatrice DELLE COSTE
Francesca PISCITELLI
Annalisa ROSETTA
Vanessa TORIELLI
Marilina VANUZZI
Gianni MEDUGNO npc
Gianpaolo RINALDI coach

## NETHERLANDS

Laura DEKKERS
Marion MICHIELSEN
Jet PASMAN
Anneke SIMONS
Doris VAN DELFT
Meike WORTEL
Alex VAN REENEN npc
Hans KELDER coach

## NORWAY

Maja Rom ANJER
Ann Karin FUGLESTAD
Marianne HARDING
Torild HESKJE
Pernille LINDAAS
Gunn Tove VIST
Tormod ROREN npc Oyvind SAUR coach

## POLAND

Cathy BALDYSZ
Grazyna BREWIAK
Katarzyna DUFRAT
Danuta KAZMUCHA
Anna SARNIAK
Justyna ZMUDA
Miroslaw CICHOCKI npc

## SERBIA

Aleksandra DJORDJEVIC
Sanja LAZIC
Selena PEPIC
Milka SABLJIC
Biljana TALIJAN
Jovana ZORANOVIC

## SPAIN

Marta BARNES
Marina CASTELLS
Laura CASTELLS-CONRADO
Margarita F. MENENDEZ
M. Eugenia HERNANDEZ

Angeles MURUAGA
Cristina V. CASTELLS npc
Marina D.C.CONRADO coach

## SWEDEN

Catharina AHLESVED
Pia ANDERSSON
Kathrine BERTHEAU
Ida GRONKVIST
Maria GRONKVIST
Cecilia RIMSTEDT
Bent-G. OLOFSSON npc
Carina WADEMARK coach

## TURKEY

Vera ADUT
Ozlem OYMEN
Funda OZBEY
Hatice OZGUR
Filiz UYGAN
Dilek YAVAS
Mustafa Cem TOKAY npc

Michele GLADSTONE
Helen KANE
Paula LESLIE
Elizabeth McGOWAN
Sam PUNCH
Anne SYMONS
Elizabeth McGOWAN pc

PORTUGAL
Ana BRITO
Teresa KAY
Anabella OLIVEIRA
Alexandra ROSADO
Ana TADEU
Juliano BARBOSA npc
Juliano BARBOSA coach

## RUSSIA

Victoria GROMOVA
Anna GULEVICH Elena KHONICHEVA
Tatiana PONOMAREVA Diana RAKHMANI
Maria YAKOVLEVA

## SAN MARINO

Maria Beatrice GORRA
Daniela MALDINI
Antonia PECCI
Maurizia RITIANI
Rossella TANTINI
Antonia PECCI pc

## SCOTLAND

## AUSTRIA

Johannes BAMBERGER
Robert FRANZEL
Jan FUCIK
Hubert OBERMAIR
Franz TERRANEO
Sylvia TERRANEO
Franz TERRANEO pc
Sylvia TERRANEO coach
BELGIUM
Faramarz BIGDELI
David JOHNSON
Alain KAPLAN
Jean-Pierre
LAFOURCADE
Guy POLET
Jacques STAS

## BULGARIA

VLADIMIR BEREANU
Georgi GERGOV
Hristo HRISTOV
Ludmil LAZAROV
Lilo POPLILOV
Tony RUSEV
Tony RUSEV pc
Matilda POPLILOV coach

## DENMARK

Knud-Aage BOESGAARD Jorgen HANSEN
Hans Christian NIELSEN
Dorthe SCHALTZ
Peter SCHALTZ
Steen SCHOU
Peter MAGNUSSEN npc
Jytte IBSEN coach

## ENGLAND

Patrick COLLINS
John HOLLAND
David KENDRICK
Sandra PENFOLD
Norman SELWAY
Brian SENIOR
Paul D HACKETT npc

## ESTONIA

Paul LEIS
Jaan LINNAMAGI
Peeter LOND
Tonis PLEKSEPP
Aarne RUMMEL
Jaak SIMM
Aarne RUMMEL pc

## FINLAND

Kari ENGELBARTH Joakim FABRITIUS
Seppo NIEMI
Osmo PARVIAINEN
Matti SIHVOLA
Lasse UTTER
Lasse UTTER pc Leena BUTZOW coach

## FRANCE

Nicholas DECHELETTE
Pierre-Yves GUILLAUMIN Georges IONTZEFF
Jean-Jacques PALAU
Pierre SCHMIDT
Philippe TOFFIER
Eric GAUTRET npc

## GERMANY

Claus DAEHR Berthold ENGEL Loek FRESEN Herbert KLUMPP Reiner MARSAL
Waltraud VOGT Joerg FRITSCHE npc

## HUNGARY

Peter GAL Sandor JAKAB Gabor KOLTAI Mihaly KOVACS Peter MAGYAR Geza SZAPPANOS Gyorgy BARANY npc

## IRELAND

Nicholas FITZGIBBON Gay KEAVENEY Patrick McDEVITT Adam MESBUR Micheal O'BRIAIN Padraig O'BRIAIN David A JACKSON npc

## ISRAEL

Meir BERKMAN Avi KALISH
Leonid PODGUR Adrian SCHWARTZ Noah TYMIANKER Shalom ZELIGMAN
Etan ORENSTEIN npc

## ITALY

Andrea BURATTI
Amedeo COMELLA
Giuseppe FAILLA Aldo MINA
Ruggero PULGA
Stefano SABBATINI
Peter PAUNCZ npc

## NETHERLANDS

Nico DOREMANS Willem GOSSCHALK Andre MULDER Chris NIEMEIJER Jaap TROUWBORST Willem VAN EIJCK Chris NIEMEIJER pc

## NORWAY

Rune B. ANDERSSEN
Tor BAKKE
Arve FARSTAD
Helge MAESEL
Roald MAESEL
Peter MARSTRANDER
Peter MARSTRANDER pc Leif-Erik STABELL coach

## POLAND

Julian KLUKOWSKI Apolinary KOWALSKI Krzysztof LASOCKI Victor MARKOWICZ Jacek ROMANSKI Jerzy RUSSYAN Wlodzimierz WALA npc

## PORTUGAL

Jorge C. CASTANHEIRA Jorge CRUZEIRO. M. d' OREY CAPUCHO.

Joao Paulo Rocha PINTO. Jorge M. Dos SANTOS. Rui Silva SANTOS.
Acacio FIGUEIREDO npc
Maria Joao LARA coach

## ROMANIA

Mihail BALUNA
Emil DOGARU
Victor MARCULETIU
Catalin POPESCU
Christian POPESCU
Nicolae VARTANOVICI
Nicolae VARTANOVICI pc Mehmet Emin COPUR npc

## SCOTLAND

Derek DIAMOND
Gerald HAASE
John MATHESON
John MURDOCH
Victor SILVERSTONE
Iain SIME
Anne PERKINS npc
Patricia MATHESON coach Krista P.EDWARDS coach

## SERBIA

Miroslav BLAGOJEVIC
Milan CVOROVIC
Mihajlo FILIPOVIC
Slobodan FILIPOVIC
Ljubomir MIJOVIC
Vladimir STOSIC

## SPAIN

Juan ESTERUELAS
Aurelio GRACIA
Pedro GUERRERO
Joao PASSARINHO
Antonio S. Y MORENO Gerardo WICHMANN Maria FERRER npc Paloma ANTON coach

## SWEDEN

Mats AXDORPH
Sven-Ake BJERREGARD
Bengt-Erik EFRAIMSSON
Per Gunnar ELIASSON
Anders MORATH
Johnny OSTBERG
Tommy GULLBERG npc
Carina WADEMARK coach

## TURKEY

Ibrahim AKSOY
Mehmet Ali INCE
Mesut KARADENIZ
Namik KOKTEN
Mehmet SIRIKLIOGLU
Veysel YILDIZ

WALES
Paul DENNING
Diane KURBALIJA
Filip KURBALIJA
John SALISBURY
Patrick SHIELDS
Mike TEDD
Alan STEPHENSON npc
Krista P.EDWARDS coach

## RESULTS OPEN TEAMS

|  | 1 | ROMANIA | WALES | 68 | 5 | 20.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | FAROE ISLANDS | SWEDEN | 8 | 41 | 2.83 | 17.17 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | IRELAND | LATVIA | 59 | 18 | 18.21 | 1.79 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | FRANCE | SPAIN | 18 | 58 | 1.91 | 18.09 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | TURKEY | UKRAINE | 26 | 34 | 7.71 | 12.29 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | AUSTRIA | ITALY | 32 | 63 | 3.12 | 16.88 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | BELARUS | NORWAY | 37 | 41 | 8.80 | 11.20 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | POLAND | BELGIUM | 40 | 23 | 14.39 | 5.61 |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | HUNGARY | BULGARIA | 43 | 61 | 5.40 | 14.60 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 10 | GEORGIA | MONACO | 2 | 43 | 0.79 | 18.21 |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | GERMANY | PORTUGAL | 21 | 38 | 5.61 | 13.89 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | ICELAND | SCOTLAND | 48 | 13 | 17.45 | 2.55 |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | FINLAND | ISRAEL | 11 | 68 | 0.23 | 19.77 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | SERBIA | DENMARK | 18 | 43 | 4.08 | 15.92 |  |  |  |
|  | 15 | NETHERLANDS | CROATIA | 67 | 29 | 17.85 | 2.15 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | ESTONIA | CYPRUS | 36 | 34 | 10.61 | 9.39 |  |  |  |
|  | 17 | GREECE | ENGLAND | 32 | 26 | 11.76 | 8.24 |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | CZECH REPUBLIC | RUSSIA | 40 | 27 | 13.52 | 6.48 |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\square}$ | 19 | SWITZERLAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | SWEDEN | ROMANIA | 30 | 18 | 13.28 | 6.72 | RANKING AFTER ROUND 19 |  |  |
|  | 2 | WALES | LATVIA | 23 | 66 | 1.56 | 18.44 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | FAROE ISLANDS | IRELAND | 25 | 44 | 5.20 | 14.80 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | FRANCE | UKRAINE | 48 | 6 | 18.33 | 1.67 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | TURKEY | ITALY | 17 | 41 | 4.26 | 15.74 | 1 | FR | 27197 |
|  | 6 | AUSTRIA | NORWAY | 29 | 26 | 10.91 | 9.09 |  | FRANCE | 271.97 |
|  | 7 | BELARUS | SPAIN | 19 | 89 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 2 | GERMANY | 257.86 |
|  | 8 | SWITZERLAND | BULGARIA | 22 | 44 | 4.62 | 15.38 | 3 | MONACO | 254.92 |
|  | 9 | HUNGARY | MONACO | 23 | 15 | 12.29 | 7.71 | 3 | MONACO | 254.92 |
| N | 10 | GEORGIA | BELGIUM | 10 | 62 | 0.66 | 19.34 | 4 | SWEDEN | 251.73 |
|  | 11 | GERMANY | SCOTLAND | 54 | 28 | 16.09 | 3.91 | 5 NETHERLANDS234.23 |  |  |
|  | 12 | ICELAND | ISRAEL | 26 | 33 | 7.97 | 12.03 |  |  |  |
|  | 13 | FINLAND | DENMARK | 26 | 8 | 14.60 | 5.40 | 6 | BULGARIA | 233.42 |
|  | 14 | SERBIA | PORTUGAL | 62 | 24 | 17.85 | 2.15 | 7 | NORWAY | 232.47 |
|  | 15 | NETHERLANDS | CYPRUS | 56 | 7 | 19.07 | 0.93 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | ESTONIA | ENGLAND | 34 | 55 | 4.81 | 15.19 | 8 | ENGLAND | 228.67 |
|  | 17 | GREECE | RUSSIA | 42 | 18 | 15.74 | 4.26 | 9 | POLAND | 228.31 |
|  | 18 | CZECH REPUBLIC | CROATIA | 18 | 33 | 6.03 | 13.97 |  |  |  |
| - | 19 | POLAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 10 | ITALY | 224.87 |
|  | 1 | ROMANIA | AUSTRIA | 28 | 53 | 4.08 | 15.92 | 11 | IRELAND | 224.21 |
|  | 2 | BELARUS | FRANCE | 46 | 29 | 14.39 | 5.61 | 12 | ISRAEL | 217.79 |
|  | 3 | TURKEY | LATVIA | 45 | 39 | 11.76 | 8.24 | 13 | HUNGARY | 210.31 |
|  | 4 | POLAND | FAROE ISLANDS | 27 | 15 | 13.28 | 6.72 | 13 | HUNGARY | 210.31 |
|  | 5 | SWITZERLAND | WALES | 27 | 32 | 8.52 | 11.48 | 14 | SPAIN | 209.83 |
|  | 6 | HUNGARY | IRELAND | 49 | 25 | 15.74 | 4.26 | 15 | ICELAND | 204.43 |
|  | 7 | GEORGIA | SWEDEN | 19 | 47 | 3.58 | 16.42 | 15 | ICELAND | 204.43 |
|  | 8 | GERMANY | UKRAINE | 33 | 18 | 13.97 | 6.03 | 16 | FINLAND | 202.03 |
|  | 9 | ICELAND | SPAIN | 29 | 38 | 7.45 | 12.55 | 17 | DENMARK | 200.69 |
| $\infty$ | 10 | FINLAND | NORWAY | 17 | 69 | 0.66 | 19.34 | 18 | DENMARK |  |
| $\cdots$ | 11 | SERBIA | ITALY | 34 | 39 | 8.52 | 11.48 | 18 | BELGIUM | 196.68 |
|  | 12 | ESTONIA | BELGIUM | 44 | 27 | 14.39 | 5.61 | 19 | TURKEY | 191.89 |
|  | 13 | GREECE | MONACO | 37 | 71 | 2.69 | 17.31 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | CZECH REPUBLIC | BULGARIA | 24 | 48 | 4.26 | 15.74 | 20 | WALES | 189.62 |
|  | 15 | ENGLAND | SCOTLAND | 86 | 10 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 21 | CROATIA | 188.91 |
|  | 16 | RUSSIA | PORTUGAL | 33 | 64 | 3.12 | 16.88 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 17 | CROATIA | DENMARK | 25 | 52 | 3.74 | 16.26 | 22 | GREECE | 180.73 |
|  | 18 | CYPRUS | ISRAEL | 32 | 32 | 9.50 | 9.50 | 23 | ROMANIA | 180.61 |
|  | 19 | NETHERLANDS | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 24 | SERBIA | 179.29 |
|  | 1 | UKRAINE | ROMANIA | 36 | 26 | 12.80 | 7.20 | 25 | AUSTRIA | 172.92 |
|  | 2 | NORWAY | SPAIN | 52 | 45 | 12.03 | 7.97 | 25 | AUSIRIA | 172.92 |
|  | 3 | LATVIA | ITALY | 45 | 22 | 15.56 | 4.44 | 26 | RUSSIA | 165.16 |
|  | 4 | SWEDEN | BULGARIA | 21 | 23 | 9.39 | 10.61 | 27 | ESTONIA | 162.04 |
|  | 5 | SERBIA | ESTONIA | 21 | 37 | 5.82 | 14.18 | 27 | ESTONIA | 162.04 |
|  | 6 | WALES | BELGIUM | 10 | 35 | 2.08 | 15.92 | 28 | UKRAINE | 158.70 |
|  | 7 | FAROE ISLANDS | MONACO | 18 | 65 | 1.13 | 18.87 | 29 | CZECH REP. | 156.08 |
|  | 8 | FRANCE | ISRAEL | 54 | 17 | 17.72 | 2.28 | 29 | CZECH REP. | 156.08 |
|  | 9 | TURKEY | SCOTLAND | 52 | 25 | 16.26 | 3.74 | 30 | PORTUGAL | 155.30 |
| O) | 10 | AUSTRIA | PORTUGAL | 34 | 53 | 5.20 | 14.80 | 31 | SWITZERLAND | 152.99 |
| - | 11 | BELARUS | DENMARK | 36 | 31 | 11.48 | 8.52 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | POLAND | ENGLAND | 20 | 5 | 13.97 | 6.03 | 32 | BELARUS | 140.14 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 13 | SWITZERLAND | CYPRUS | 19 | 16 | 10.91 | 9.09 | 33 | LATVIA | 139.11 |
| $\square$ | 14 | HUNGARY | CROATIA | 45 | 30 | 13.97 | 6.03 | 34 FAROE ISLANDS134.09 |  |  |
| 2 | 15 | GEORGIA | RUSSIA | 0 | 64 | 0.00 | 20.00 |  |  |  |
|  | 16 | GERMANY | NETHERLANDS | 16 | 11 | 11.48 | 8.52 | 35 | GEORGIA | 116.28 |
|  | 17 | ICELAND | CZECH REPUBLIC | 38 | 33 | 11.48 | 8.52 | 36 | CYPRUS | 115.50 |
|  | 18 | FINLAND | GREECE | 32 | 43 | 6.96 | 13.04 | 36 | CYPRUS | 115.50 |
|  | 19 | IRELAND | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 37 | SCOTLAND | 93.22 |

## RESULTS WOMEN TEAMS

|  | 21 | FINLAND | FRANCE | 11 | 65 | 0.48 | 19.52 | RANKING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 22 | ENGLAND | RUSSIA | 21 | 56 | 2.55 | 17.45 |  |  |  |
|  | 23 | ISRAEL | SCOTLAND | 64 | 39 | 15.92 | 4.08 | AFTER ROUND 7 |  |  |
| 10 | 24 | GREECE | SAN MARINO | 60 | 9 | 19.25 | 0.75 |  |  |  |
| ค | 25 | SWEDEN | SERBIA | 56 | 36 | 15.00 | 5.00 18.97 |  |  |  |
| $Q$ | 26 | GERMANY | NETHERLANDS NORWAY | 3 16 | 51 | 1.03 0.93 | 18.97 19.07 | 1 | POLAND | 107.51 |
| $Z$ | 28 | HUNGARY | BULGARIA | 46 | 40 | 11.76 | 8.24 |  |  |  |
|  | 29 | ITALY | ESTONIA | 41 | 28 | 13.52 | 6.48 | 3 | ENGLAND | 98.13 |
| O | 30 | TURKEY | POLAND | 9 | 64 | 0.39 | 19.61 | 4 | FRANCE | 97.44 |
| -1 | 31 | PORTUGAL | SPAIN | 34 | 37 | 9.09 | 10.91 | 5 | RUSSIA | 97.38 |
|  | 32 | DENMARK | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 6 | DENMARK | 89.22 |
|  | 21 | SPAIN | FRANCE | 37 | 46 | 7.45 | 12.55 | 7 | NORWAY | 87.03 |
|  | 22 | DENMARK | RUSSIA | 30 68 | 21 | 12.55 19.85 | 7.45 0.15 | 8 | NETHERLANDS | 86.07 |
|  | 24 | ESTONIA | SAN MARINO | 17 | 26 | 7.45 | 12.55 | 9 | TURKEY | 85.47 |
| 6 | 25 | NORWAY | TURKEY | 36 | 34 | 10.61 | 9.39 |  | TURKEY | 85.47 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 26 | BULGARIA | ITALY | 32 | 80 | 1.03 | 18.97 | 10 | GREECE | 70.46 |
|  | 27 | SERBIA | PORTUGAL | 36 | 26 | 12.80 | 7.20 | 11 | SWEDEN | 68.82 |
| $\underline{5}$ | 28 | ENGLAND | IRELAND GERMANY | 66 20 | 6 41 | 20.00 4.81 | 0.00 15.19 | 12 | HUNGARY | 66.80 |
|  | 30 | GREECE | SWEDEN | 30 | 34 | 8.80 | 11.20 | 13 | ITALY | 66.53 |
| -1 | 31 | FINLAND | HUNGARY | 54 | 19 | 17.45 | 2.55 |  |  |  |
| [1 | 32 | NETHERLANDS | Bye | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 | 14 | GERMANY | 66.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | PORTUGAL | 62.53 |
|  | 21 | POLAND | FRANCE | 15 | 16 | 9.69 | 10.31 | 16 | SCOTLAND | 58.53 |
|  | 22 | ESTONIA | RUSSIA | 32 | 63 | 3.12 | 16.88 | 16 |  |  |
|  | 23 | SPAIN | SCOTLAND | 48 | 32 | 14.18 | 5.82 | 17 | SERBIA | 57.27 |
|  | 24 | DENMARK | SAN MARINO | 53 | 23 | 16.73 | 3.27 | 18 | SPAIN | 52.80 |
|  | 25 26 | NETHERLANDS NORWAY | ITALY | 35 21 | 26 | 12.55 5.20 | 7.45 14.80 | 19 | FINLAND | 52.37 |
|  | 27 | SERBIA | TURKEY | 27 | 51 | 4.26 | 14.74 | 20 | IRELAND | 45.15 |
| 2 | 28 | ENGLAND | SWEDEN | 50 | 22 | 16.42 | 3.58 | 20 | IRELAND | 45.15 |
|  | 29 | ISRAEL | HUNGARY | 38 | 14 | 15.74 | 4.26 | 21 | BULGARIA | 41.66 |
|  | 30 | GREECE | IRELAND | 25 | 30 | 8.52 | 11.48 | 22 | ESTONIA | 39.62 |
| - | 31 32 | FINLAND | GERMANY Bye | 31 0 | 19 0 | 13.28 12 | 6.72 0.00 | 23 | SAN MARINO | 19.22 |


|  | 41 | FRANCE | POLAND | 25 | 31 | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 42 | FINLAND | HUNGARY | 49 | 40 | 12.55 | 7.45 |
|  | 43 | GERMANY | ROMANIA | 33 | 16 | 14.39 | 5.61 |
|  | 44 | BULGARIA | ENGLAND | 22 | 39 | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| ما | 45 | ISRAEL | WALES | 34 | 31 | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| ค | 46 | TURKEY | ITALY | 51 | 25 | 16.09 | 3.91 |
|  | 47 | ESTONIA | BELGIUM | 19 | 54 | 2.55 | 17.45 |
| L | 48 | SWEDEN | DENMARK | 47 | 7 | 18.09 | 1.91 |
|  | 49 | SCOTLAND | PORTUGAL | 27 | 56 | 3.42 | 16.58 |
|  | 50 | NORWAY | IRELAND | 67 | 32 | 17.45 | 2.55 |
|  | 51 | SPAIN | AUSTRIA | 38 | 59 | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| - | 52 | NETHERLANDS | SERBIA | 63 | 24 | 17.97 | 2.03 |
|  | 41 | AUSTRIA | PORTUGAL | 30 | 62 | 2.97 | 17.03 |
|  | 42 | DENMARK | IRELAND | 21 | 30 | 7.45 | 12.55 |
|  | 43 | SCOTLAND | SPAIN | 44 | 22 | 15.38 | 4.62 |
|  | 44 | SWEDEN | NORWAY | 23 | 25 | 9.39 | 10.61 |
|  | 45 | ISRAEL | ESTONIA | 57 | 22 | 17.45 | 2.55 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 46 | BULGARIA | TURKEY | 37 | 36 | 10.31 | 7.69 |
|  | 47 | GERMANY | FINLAND | 41 | 27 | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| $\square$ | 48 | FRANCE | NETHERLANDS | 16 | 56 | 1.91 | 18.09 |
|  | 49 | BELGIUM | WALES | 30 | 25 | 11.48 | 8.52 |
| O | 50 | ENGLAND | ITALY | 27 | 29 | 9.39 | 10.61 |
|  | 51 | HUNGARY | ROMANIA | 23 | 25 | 9.39 | 10.61 |
| [4 | 52 | SERBIA | POLAND | 7 | 52 | 1.34 | 18.66 |
|  | 41 | NORWAY | POLAND | 46 | 21 | 15.92 | 4.08 |
|  | 42 | SCOTLAND | HUNGARY | 38 | 38 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
|  | 43 | WALES | FINLAND | 30 | 41 | 6.96 | 13.04 |
|  | 44 | SPAIN | ROMANIA | 31 | 40 | 6.95 | 12.05 |
| $N$ | 45 | DENMARK | TURKEY | 13 | 43 | 3.27 | 16.73 |
|  | 46 | AUSTRIA | ISRAEL | 21 | 41 | 5.00 | 15.00 |
|  | 47 | IRELAND | BULGARIA | 18 | 33 | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| $Z$ | 48 | PORTUGAL | ESTONIA | 83 | 41 | 18.33 | 1.67 |
|  | 49 | ENGLAND | NETHERLANDS | 57 | 17 | 18.09 | 1.91 |
|  | 50 | BELGIUM | GERMANY | 84 | 36 | 18.97 | 1.03 |
|  | 51 | ITALY | FRANCE | 15 | 53 | 2.15 | 17.85 |
| $\square$ | 52 | SWEDEN | SERBIA | 59 | 31 | 16.42 | 3.58 |


| RANKING |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| AFTER ROUND |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | ISRAEL | 101.13 |
| 2 | SWEDEN | 89.32 |
| 3 | ENGLAND | 85.91 |
| 4 | HUNGARY | 83.21 |
| 5 | POLAND | 79.67 |
| 6 | PORTUGAL | 79.37 |
| 7 | BULGARIA | 78.87 |
| 8 | BELGIUM | 77.93 |
| 9 | ITALY | 76.29 |
| 10 | AUSTRIA | 75.68 |
| 11 | DENMARK | 71.00 |
| 12 | FRANCE | 70.06 |
| 13 | FINLAND | 69.68 |
| 14 | NORWAY | 68.87 |
| 15 | NETHERLANDS | 68.37 |
| 16 | TURKEY | 67.70 |
| 17 | IRELAND | 67.57 |
| 18 | ROMANIA | 66.89 |
| 19 | SCOTLAND | 64.81 |
| 20 | GERMANY | 62.24 |
| 21 | ESTONIA | 58.00 |
| 22 | SPAIN | 46.07 |
| 23 | WALES | 39.04 |
| 24 | SERBIA | 24.32 |

# MARIT : INTERNATIONAL SVEAAS * BRIDGE TOURNAMENT 

The Norwegian Bridge Federation will host the 1st edition of the Marit Sveaas Intemational Bridge Toumament in August this year in Fredrikstad - you're welcome to participate!

## TOURNAMENT:

When: Fri 5th - Sun 7th August
Entry fee: 2.000 NOK
Entries: www.msibt.org
Boards: 126
Scoring: Matchpoint
Movement: Swiss

## WHERE:

The 2016 edition will be held in the city of Fredrikstad, situated 1 hour by train from Oslo.

## TRAVEL:

Oslo Airport Gardermoen has direct connections to cities all over the world and there is easy to travel by train to Fredrikstad.

Oslo Airport Rygge also has some connections to major European cities with RyanAir and is situated only 20 min from Fredrikstad.

PRIZES:

| 1st | $300.000^{*}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2nd | $150.000^{*}$ |
| 3rd | $100.000^{*}$ |
| 4th - 13th | $20.000^{*}$ |

Special prizes in these categories (top 3):
Juniors - Mixed - Seniors - Women
Norwegian beginners - Last session

* Prizes are paid in NOK and are subject to taxation all prizes are per pair.


## HOTELS:

There are several hotels in Fredrikstad which can be booked online.

All hotels will be listed on www.msibt.org
Most hotels have breakfast included.


